



Faculty of Engineering

**STUDY ON A METHOD OF SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS USED FOR  
LANDFILL SLOPE**

**Bertram Anak Thomas**

**Bachelor of Engineering with Honours  
(Civil Engineering)  
2006**

# UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

R13a

## BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

Judul: **STUDY ON A METHOD OF SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS USED  
FOR LANDFILL SLOPE**

**SESI PENGAJIAN: 2005/2006**

Saya: **BERTRAM ANAK THOMAS**

Mengaku membenarkan tesis \*ini disimpan di pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.
2. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Membuat pendigitan untuk mambangunkan Pangkalan Data Kandungan Tempatan.
4. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan Tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
5. \*\* Sila Tandakan ( ✓ ) di kotak yang berkenaan

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan).

TIDAK  
TERHAD

Disahkan oleh

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat tetap: No. 687A, Lrg 4D, Jln Belatok,  
Tmn. Malihah, 93050, Kuching,  
Sarawak.

DR. SITI NOOR LINDA BT TAIB

(Nama Penyelia)

Tarikh: 11<sup>th</sup> MAY 2006

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

CATATAN \* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah, Sarjana dan sarjana Muda.

\*\* Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT dan TERHAD.

The following Final Year Project Report:

Title : STUDY ON A METHOD OF SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS  
USED FOR LANDFILL SLOPE.

Name : BERTRAM AK THOMAS

Matrix Number: 7996

Has been read and approved by:

---

DR. SITI NOOR LINDA BT TAIB

Project Supervisor

---

Date

**STUDY ON A METHOD OF SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS USED FOR  
LANDFILL SLOPE**

**BERTRAM AK THOMAS**

This project is submitted in partial of fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Engineering with Honours  
(Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering  
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SARAWAK  
2006

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude to his supervisor, Dr. Siti Noor Linda Bt Taib for her valuable guidance, advices, encouragement and contribution towards the success of the project.

The author would also like to thank Trienekens (Sarawak) Sdn. Bhd. and Mr. James Bilong for the precious information and data for the project.

The author would also like to thank his family especially Byanne Tennilee and Isabelle Clarisse for their blessings, encouragement, sacrifices and understanding throughout the duration of the project.

Last but not the least, the author wishes to express his appreciation to all the staff of Faculty of Engineering and to all his friends who have been very kind and generous in giving their support and opinion towards the success of the project.

# ABSTRACT

Land filling is a widely used method for the disposal of wastes. With increased levels of urbanization and the location of landfills in close proximity to highly populated areas, a proper constructed landfill can avoid bad odour and hazardous leachate which can be as a result of instability of slope in the landfill. There are few methods available for checking the Factor of Safety (FOS) of the slope. In this analysis, slope is assumed to have translational failure where transitional method has been chosen for this study. This method is easy to develop and use as it allows the author to analyze the effect of waste properties by varying the different properties of waste in the assessment of FOS of landfill slope. A software program is written in MS Excel program to calculate the factor of safety based on properties of waste and Mambong Landfill Geometry that is located in Mambong, Sarawak. Analysis is performed on subgrade Cell number 2, which is currently in filling process. Height of the slope is 40 m with slope angle,  $\alpha$  of  $5.7^\circ$ , angle of side slope,  $\beta$  of  $18.4^\circ$ , subgarde angle,  $\theta$  of  $1.15^\circ$  and top width, B of 20 m were used for the present study. Waste and liner properties were varied in this analysis to see their effect on FOS. The analysis continues by maintaining a safe value of liner and soil properties at FOS equals to 1.35 and varying the geometrical properties of landfill. In addition to this analysis, a laboratory test on waste sample was performed; where the unit weight of waste obtained varies from  $8 \text{ kN/m}^3$  to  $10.5 \text{ kN/m}^3$ . FOS analysis using Translational methods shows correct effects on FOS when the properties of waste, liner and geometry are varied.

# ABSTRAK

Tapak pengumpulan sampah (Landfill) merupakan kaedah yang digunakan secara meluas untuk melupus sampah. Pada masa kini kadar urbanisasi kian meningkat dan ini mengalakan pembukaan kawasan perumahan baru yang semakin menghampiri lokasi pengumpulan sampah ini. Dengan itu, pembinaan tempat pengumpulan sampah yang terancang mampu mengatasi masalah bau busuk dan bahan larut resap (leachete) yang berbahaya. Beberapa kaedah telah diaplikasikan untuk menguji kestabilan (FOS) cerun. Dalam kajiselidik ini, cerun dianggap mengalami kegagalan 'translational'. Kaedah 'translational' telah dipilih kerana ia senang untuk diaplikasikan dan boleh menunjukkan kestabilan (FOS) mengikut perubahan nilai didalam cerun. Ms. Excel telah diprogramkan untuk mengira kestabilan (FOS) untuk nilai-nilai sampah dan tapak pengumpulan sampah di Mambong, Sarawak. Analisa telah dilakukan terhadap 'subgrade cell' kedua di tapak pengumpulan sampah Mambong yang kini dalam proses pengisian. Ketinggian cerun (H) adalah 40 m, sudut cerun belakang ( $\beta$ ) 18.4°, sudut 'subgrade cell' ( $\alpha$ ) 1.15° dan dataran puncak sampah (B) adalah 20 m telah di gunakan dalam kajian ini. Nilai-nilai sampah dan liner di pilih melalui analisa ini untuk melihat kesannya terhadap kestabilan cerun. Analisa di teruskan dengan mengekalkan nilai selamat untuk 'nilai liner' dan nilai sampah serta menguji geometri tapak pengumpulan sampah apabila nilai kestabilan bersamaan dengan 1.35. Sebagai tambahan untuk kerja analisa, ujian makmal terhadap sampel sampah telah dilakukan. Analisa kestabilan (FOS) menggunakan kaedah 'translational' memberikan kesan yang betul untuk analisa kestabilan apabila nilai-nilai sampah, 'liner' dan geometri dipelbagaikan.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF NOTATIONS</b>	x
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Project Objective	2
1.3 Organization of Thesis	2
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 General	4
2.2 Factor of Safety	5
2.3 Method of General Slope Stability Analysis	6
2.3.1 Whole Mass Procedure	6
2.3.1.1 Culmann's Method	6
2.3.2 Method of Slices	8
2.3.2.1 Bishop Simplified Method	8
2.3.3 Finite Element Method	9
2.3.4 Slope Stability Analysis For Landfill	10
2.3.4.1 Method of Slices: Bishop Simplified Method	10
2.3.4.2 Translational Method	11
2.4 Landfill	12
2.4.1 Method of Constructing Landfill	12
2.4.2 Classification of Waste	13



2.5	Engineering Properties of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)	14
2.5.1	Unit Weight	14
2.5.2	Moisture Content	15
2.5.3	Porosity of MSW	16
2.5.4	Shear Strength	17
2.5.5	Compressibility	19
2.6	Summary on some works on Slope Stability Analysis of Landfill	20
2.7	Conclusion	22
	FIGURES	23

### **CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY**

3.1	General	28
3.2	Translational (Two-wedge) Failure Analysis	29
3.3	Location of the Study	29
3.4	Description of Translational Method	29
3.5	Excel Programming	36
3.6	Description of Standard Proctor Test on MSW	36
3.6.1	Preparation of Waste Sample	37
3.6.2	Procedure of Test	38
	FIGURES	39

### **CHAPTER 4 RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	General	45
4.2	Analysis of Result and Study	45
4.2.1	Analysis on Factor of Safety	45
4.2.1.1	Effect of $\delta_A$ and $\delta_P$	47
4.2.1.2	Effect of $\gamma_{sw}$	47
4.2.1.3	Effect of $\phi_{sw}$	47
4.2.1.4	Effect of Height of Back Slope, H	48
4.2.1.5	Effect of Top Width of Waste, B	48
4.2.2	Standard Proctor Test	48

4.2.2.1 Moisture Content, Dry Unit Weight and Bulk Unit Weight	49
4.2.2.2 Limitation of Proctor Test in This Project	49
4.3 Conclusion	50
FIGURES	51
 <b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
5.1 General	56
5.2 Conclusions	56
5.3 Recommendations	57
<b>REFERENCES</b>	59
<b>APPENDICES</b>	

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>		<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Factor of safety which related to detail of slope (Terzaghi and Peck, 1967)	6
Table 2.2	Type of solid waste (Buenrostro et al., 1996)	14
Table 2.3	Index properties of solid waste (Qian et al., 2002)	18
Table 2.4	Measured shear strength from the literature (Jones et al., 1997)	18
Table 3.1	Type and percent of waste sample (Laurent et al., 2005)	38

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1 Failure plane in Culmann's method	23
Figure 2.2 Bishop's simplified method of slices: forces acting on the $n$ th slice (Das,1994)	23
Figure 2.3 Finite element method (a) & (b) deformed of mesh	24
Figure 2.4 Procedure showing Circular Arc subdivided into slices and analysis of $i^{\text{th}}$ slice	25
Figure 2.5 Translational failure (two-wedge method)	26
Figure 2.6 Trench landfill method	26
Figure 2.7 Area landfill method	27
Figure 2.8 Mound landfill method	27
Figure 3.1 Forces acting on two wedges for solid waste filled in landfill (Qian et al., 2002)	40
Figure 3.2 (a) Mambong Landfill Geometry	41
(b) Goemetry of subgrade cell	41
Figure 3.3 Particle size distribution of waste (Laurent et al., 2005)	42
Figure 3.4 Compaction Test (Apparatus and Samples)	43
Figure 3.5 Compaction test on waste sample	44
Figure 4.1 Effect of $\delta_A$ and $\delta_P$ to FOS	51
Figure 4.2 Effect of $\gamma_{sw}$ to FOS	51
Figure 4.3 Effect of $\phi_{sw}$ to FOS	52
Figure 4.4 Effect of H on FOS	52

Figure 4.5	Effect of B to FOS	53
Figure 4.6	Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for average moisture content	53
Figure 4.7	Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for upper moisture content	54
Figure 4.8	Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for middle moisture content	54
Figure 4.9	Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for bottom moisture content	55

# LIST OF NOTATIONS

- $b$  = Width of slice
- $c'$  = Cohesion coefficient
- $e$  = Void ratio
- $l$  = Length of slices
- $m$  = Moisture content
- $n$  = Porosity
- $u$  = Pore pressure force
- $w_d$  = Dry gravimetric moisture content
- $C_m$  = Mobilized cohesion
- $E_{HP}$  = Normal force from the active wedge acting on the passive wedge  
(unknown in magnitude, but with the direction perpendicular to the interface of the active and passive wedge)
- $E_{VP}$  = Frictional force acting on the side of the passive wedge (unknown in magnitude, but with the direction parallel to the interface of the active and passive wedges);
- $E_{HA}$  = Normal force from passive wedge acting on the active wedge  
(unknown in magnitude, but with the direction perpendicular to the interface of the active and passive wedges),  $E_{HA} = E_{HP}$ ;
- $E_{VA}$  = Frictional force acting on the side of the activity wedge (unknown in magnitude, but with the direction parallel to the interface of the active and passive wedges),  $E_{VA} = E_{VP}$ ;

- $F_b$  = Factor of safety  
 $FOS$  = Factor of safety  
 $F_A$  = Frictional force acting on the bottom of the active wedge (parallel to the bottom of the active wedge);  
 $F_P$  = Frictional force acting on the bottom of the passive wedge (parallel to the bottom of the passive wedge);  
 $FS_P$  = Factor of safety for the passive wedge;  
 $FS_A$  = Factor of safety for the active wedge;  
 $FS$  = Factor of safety of the entire solid waste mass  
 $F_i$  = Shearing force acting on the slices  
 $\Delta H_{total}$  = Total settlement  
 $\Delta H_i$  = Immediate settlement  
 $\Delta H_c$  = Consolidation settlement  
 $\Delta H_\alpha$  = Secondary compression or creep  
 $N_i$  = Normal force acting at the base  
 $N_A$  = Normal stress acting on the bottom of the active wedge;  
 $N_P$  = Normal force acting on the bottom of the passive wedge;  
 $P_i$  = Normal forces acting on the slices  
 $T_i$  = Resisting shear force mobilized at the base  
 $\Delta T$  = changes in shearing forces ( $T_n - T_{n+1} = \Delta T$ )  
 $U_i$  = Pore water force  
 $U_i$  = Pore water pressure acting at the base of the  $i^{th}$  slice  
 $V$  = Total volume of solid waste  
 $V_w$  = Volume of water  
 $W$  = Weight of slice

- $W_i$  = Weight of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  slice  
 $W_A$  = Weight of the active wedge;  
 $W_T$  = Total weight of the active and passive wedges;  
 $W_P$  = Weight of passive wedge;  
 $W_s$  = Dry weight of solid waste  
 $W_{wt}$  = Weight of water  
 $W_w$  = Weight of the sliding soil wedge  
 $\tau$  = Shear strength  
 $\tau_f$  = Average shear strength of soil  
 $\tau_d$  = Average shear stress developed along the potential failure surface  
 $\phi_m$  = Mobilized friction angle  
 $\alpha$  = Inclination of the failure plane  
 $\alpha_b$  = Angle between radius and vertical  
 $\gamma$  = Unit weight of soil  
 $\phi$  = Angle of internal friction  
 $\sigma_n$  = Total normal stress  
 $\mu$  = Pore pressure  
 $\theta_v$  = Volumetric moisture content of solid waste  
 $\delta_p$  = Minimum interface friction angle of multi-layer liner components  
beneath the passive wedge;  
 $\phi_{sw}$  = Friction angle of solid waste;  
 $\alpha_{sw}$  = Angle of solid waste slope, measured from horizontal, degrees;  
 $\theta_{sw}$  = Angle of the landfill cell subgrade, measured from horizontal,  
degrees;



$\delta_A$  = Minimum interface friction angle of multi-layer liner components  
beneath the active wedge;

$\beta$  = Angle of the side slope, measured from horizontal, degrees;

$\gamma_d$  = Dry density

$\gamma_b$  = Bulk density

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

Slope stability analysis is very important in landfill slopes as slope failure can cause big damage and increase the cost of maintenance. To perform the slope stability analysis is not an easy task. Engineers should carefully examine the types of waste, its seepage condition and slip potential before construction, during construction and after construction (maintenance) of landfill. As stated by Bromhead (1992) there are three factors that affect the stability of the slope. That are:-

- a. Geologic and hydrologic conditions
- b. Topography
- c. Climate weathering

In landfill, there are several ways performed to construct landfills which are used to manage wastes as there are enormous amounts of solid waste generated.

According to the Consumers' Association of Penang, at present, the per capita generation of solid waste in Malaysia varies from 0.45 to 1.44kg/day depending on the economic status of an area. In general, the per capita generation rate is about 1kg/day. Malaysian solid wastes contain very high organic waste and consequently high moisture content and bulk density of above 200kg/m<sup>3</sup>. A recent study conducted in Kuala Lumpur has revealed that the amount of organic wastes for residential area range from 62 to 72%. Disposal of solid waste is done almost solely through landfill method. These waste materials can be classified into (a) municipal waste (b) industrial waste (c) hazardous waste (d) low –level radioactive waste.

## **1.2 Project Objective**

The main purpose of this project is to evaluate method of slope stability analysis applied to landfill slopes and investigating the unit weight of waste using Standard Proctor Test. Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfill is going to be the types of landfill that will be analyzed in this project as the MSW are the highest disposal generated by human everyday especially in Malaysia. The aim of this study is to find out the effectiveness of transitional method (two-wedge method) on analyzing the factor of safety of landfill and the unit weight of local waste.

## **1.3 Organization of Thesis**

Chapter one is mainly about the slope stability and landfill. Slope stability and standard proctor test are briefly discussed. In Chapter two, a presentation of literature review is made as to review some of the methods of slope stability applied on earth

and landfill slopes. From this chapter, study is made on the different types of slope stability analysis to obtain FOS and the types of waste, its classification and properties are also included. Apart from that, reviews of other research works on landfill slopes are also included at the end of the chapter. In Chapter three, the main concern is on the translational method that is used on landfill and discussion on Standard Proctor Test in compaction test. Data involved in FOS analysis is obtained from Trienekens (SARAWAK) Sdn. Bhd. In Chapter four, the results and the discussion of data obtained from FOS analysis and compaction test are presented. Finally, Chapter five contains an outline of the conclusions drawn from the project and the recommendations for further study.

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 General**

A review of existing literature review on method of slope stability analysis is discussed here, followed by description of different types of landfill and its stability analysis. The first part of the literature review deals the factor of safety of the preexisting study on man-made slope while the second part describes the various method of slope stability analysis that are performed to determine the FOS of slope and the final part will cover landfill and its properties and explanation on its stability analysis.

## 2.2 Factor of Safety

According to Bromhead (1992), the ratio between the actual strength available, and that mobilized, gives an index of relative stability called the *factor of safety*. Factor of safety is defined as:-

$$FOS = \frac{\tau_f}{\tau_d} \quad \dots 2.1$$

Where,

FOS = factor of safety with respect to strength

$\tau_f$  = average shear strength of soil

$\tau_d$  = average shear stress developed along the potential failure surface

The factor of safety compensates for the uncertainties of engineering analysis. The lower the factor of safety, the greater the risk involved. This is because the factor of safety is not remaining valid and can be manipulated by the change in the condition of:-

- (a) Change in pore water pressure.
- (b) Weathering of spoil or coal waste.
- (c) Departure from the assumed conditions of the analysis during field construction.
- (d) Conditions can change overnight due to climate. It is difficult to assign realistic properties to an analysis.

The value factor of safety can be seen from the Table 2.1. This is the value of the factor of safety that varies with the details of the slope.

<b>FACTOR OF SAFETY</b>	<b>DETAILS OF SLOPE</b>
<1.0	Unsafe
1.0 - 1.25	Questionable safety
1.25 – 1.4	Satisfactory for routine cuts and fills, questionable for dams, or where failure would be catastrophic
>1.4	Satisfactory for dams

**Table 2.1 Factor of safety which related to detail of slope (Terzaghi and Peck, 1967)**

### **2.3 Method of General Slope Stability Analysis**

The various procedures of stability analysis of such slopes may, in general, be classified into three major groups' namely whole mass procedure, method of slices and finite element method.

#### **2.3.1 Whole Mass Procedure**

In this procedure the mass of the soil above the surface of sliding is taken as a unit. This procedure is useful when the soil forming the slope is assumed to be homogeneous. The method that uses this procedure for the analysis of slope stability is Culmann's method.

##### **2.3.1.1 Culmann's Method**

This method is used for the stability analysis of homogenous soils possessing cohesion. The analysis is based on the assumption that the failure will occur along a

plane that passes through the toe of the fill. A sliding mode of failure will occur along a resumed failure surface when the applied shearing force exceeds the resisting shearing forces. The failure surface can be of any combination of plane and curved surfaces, but for simplicity, all failure surfaces are assumed to be planes which form the bases of wedges the failure mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 2.1. By referring to the Fig 2.1, the tangential force,  $T$  tending to cause sliding is given by,

$$T = W_w \sin \alpha \quad \dots 2.2$$

Where,  $T$  = tangential force;

$W_w$  = weight of sliding soil wedge;

$\alpha$  = inclination of the failure plane.

Similarly the resisting to sliding,  $R_s$ , from the cohesion and angle of internal friction of the soil is given by

$$R_s = C_m L + W \cos \alpha \tan \phi_m \quad \dots 2.3$$

Where,  $C_m$  = mobilized cohesion;  $\phi_m$  = mobilized friction angle,  $\alpha$  = inclination of the failure plane and

$$C_m = \frac{c}{F_c} \quad \text{and} \quad \tan \phi_m = \frac{\tan \phi}{F_\phi} \quad \dots 2.4$$

Where,  $F_c$  and  $F_\phi$  are factor of safety against cohesion and angle of internal friction.

Solving for  $H$ , we get

$$H_c = \frac{4C_m \sin \beta \cos \phi}{\gamma_w (1 - \cos(\beta - \phi))} \quad \dots 2.5$$

Where,  $H_c$  = height of mass;  $\gamma_w$  = unit weight of water;  $\beta$  = side slope angle.