



Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

**Phenological Development of Sarawak Traditional Rice Landraces;
Bario Sederhana and Biris**

Franalyne Lyenang anak Luing

**Master of Science
2024**

Phenological Development of Sarawak Traditional Rice Landraces; Bario
Sederhana and Biris

Franalyne Lyenang anak Luing

A thesis submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

2024

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Except where due acknowledgements have been made, the work is that of the author alone. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

fran

.....

Signature

Name: Franalyne Lyenang anak Luing

Matric No.: 19020022

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Date : 20th June 2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Hollena Nori, my esteemed research supervisor. Throughout my research journey, Dr. Hollena has been an unwavering source of support, providing me with invaluable guidance and mentorship. Her extensive knowledge and exceptional skills in the field have played a crucial role in shaping the direction of my research and enhancing its quality. I am truly grateful for her dedication and commitment to my success.

I would be remiss not to acknowledge the invaluable contributions of my dear friends, Nur Afiqah, Putri Ainaa, and Lela. Their constant support, encouragement, and wise counsel have been instrumental in my academic journey. Whether it was discussing research ideas, offering insights, or providing much-needed motivation, they have consistently been there for me. I am deeply grateful for their friendship and unwavering support throughout my studies.

Furthermore, I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents, Luing Asun and Julai William. Their love, encouragement, and belief in my abilities have been the driving force behind my pursuit of higher education. They have always been my pillars of strength, offering unwavering support, guidance, and motivation. I am truly fortunate to have such loving and supportive parents, and I am deeply grateful for their sacrifices and dedication to my success.

ABSTRACT

Phenological development and growth of Sarawak traditional rice landraces, i.e. Bario Sederhana and Biris were quantified from a series of field and incubation experiments. In both landraces, incubation study estimated cardinal temperatures of 10 °C for base, 32 – 33 °C for optimum and 43 °C for maximum seed germination. The thermal time (Tt) requirement for 75% germination in the sub-optimal range for Bario Sederhana was 61 °Cd and 54 °Cd for Biris meanwhile within the supra-optimal range, both landraces required 27 – 29 °Cd. Bario Sederhana had 93% of seed populations germinated at 30 °C while Biris had 100% at 27.5 °C. For phenological pot experiments, both landraces had the highest Tt requirement for third leaf appearance for crops sown in June (358 °Cd for Bario Sederhana and 386 °Cd for Biris) and the lowest for crops sown in December (149 °Cd for Bario Sederhana and 165 °Cd for Biris). The leaf appearance rate (phyllochron) for Bario Sederhana decreased with each successive sowing date from 646 °Cd/leaf for April sown crops to 467 °Cd/leaf for the crops were in late October. In contrast, the phyllochron of Biris was the shortest in late June sowing (495 °Cd/leaf) and the longest (~624 °Cd/leaf) for April and late October sown crops. Irrespective of landraces, thermal time to flag leaf decreased with sowing date from 4051 °Cd in April to 2569 °Cd in late October with a total production of nine leaves on the primary stem across all sowing dates. In both landraces, crops took the longest time to reach flowering for April sowing (4790 °Cd for Bario Sederhana and 4315 °Cd for Biris) and the shortest time for October sown crop (2980 °Cd for Bario Sederhana and 2857 °Cd for Biris). Nevertheless, the duration of grain filling was the longest for October sown crops (784 – 818 °Cd, 30-33 calendar days) which resulted in the highest grain weight (48 g/pot for Bario Sederhana and 22 g/pot for Biris). For field experiment conducted at Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), UNIMAS, both landraces took almost similar

calendar days to flower (151 days). Bario Sederhana and Biris rice had almost similar yield components when planted in the field.

Keywords: Development of rice, growing degree days, linear model, *Oryza sativa*, paddy

Pembangunan Fenologi Padi Tradisi di Sarawak; Bario Sederhana dan Biris

ABSTRAK

Satu siri eksperimen lapangan dan inkubasi bertujuan untuk mengukur perkembangan pertumbuhan dan fenologi landraces padi tradisional Sarawak, iaitu Bario Sederhana dan Biris. Suhu kardinal (asas, optimum dan maksimum) telah dikira dan kedua-dua landraces mempunyai T_{base} 10 °C, T_{opt} antara 32 – 33 °C, dan T_{max} 43 °C. Keperluan masa terma (T_t) untuk 75% percambahan dalam julat sub-optimum untuk Bario Sederhana ialah 62 °Cd dan 53 °Cd untuk Biris manakala dalam julat supra-optimum, kedua-dua landraces memerlukan 27-29 °Cd. Bario Sederhana mempunyai 93% populasi benih bercambah pada suhu 30 °C manakala Biris 100% pada suhu 27.5 °C. Untuk fenologi eksperimen dalam bekas, bagi kedua-dua landrace, keperluan T_t untuk penampilan daun ketiga adalah yang tertinggi untuk semaian pada bulan Jun (358 °Cd untuk Bario Sederhana dan 386 °Cd untuk Biris) dan yang paling rendah untuk semaian pada bulan Disember (149 °Cd untuk Bario Sederhana dan 165 °Cd untuk Biris). Kadar penampilan daun (phyllochron) untuk Bario Sederhana menurun dengan setiap tarikh penanaman berturut daripada 646 °Cd/daun untuk semaian pada bulan April kepada 467 °Cd/daun untuk semaian pada akhir bulan Oktober. Sebaliknya, phyllochron Biris adalah yang paling singkat pada akhir bulan Jun (495 °Cd/daun) dan yang paling lama (~624 °Cd/daun) untuk semaian pada bulan April dan akhir Oktober. Tanpa mengira landrace, keperluan masa terma untuk penampilan daun bendera berkurangan dengan tempoh penyemaian daripada 4051 °Cd pada bulan April kepada 2569 °Cd pada akhir bulan Oktober dengan jumlah pengeluaran sebanyak sembilan helai daun pada batang utama merentasi semua tarikh semaian. Kedua-dua landraces memerlukan masa yang tertinggi untuk berbunga bagi semaian pada bulan April (4790 °Cd

untuk Bario Sederhana dan 4315 °Cd untuk Biris) dan yang paling rendah bagi semaian pada bulan Oktober (2980 °Cd untuk Bario Sederhana dan 2857 °Cd untuk Biris). Namun begitu, tempoh pengisian bijirin adalah paling lama untuk semaian pada bulan Oktober (784 – 818 °Cd, 30-33 hari) yang menghasilkan berat bijirin tertinggi (48 g/pasu untuk Bario Sederhana dan 22 g/pasu untuk Biris). Bagi ujikaji lapangan yang dijalankan di Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), UNIMAS, kedua-dua landrace mengambil masa hampir sama hari kalendar untuk berbunga (151 hari). Padi Bario Sederhana dan Biris mempunyai komponen hasil yang hampir serupa apabila ditanam di lapangan.

Kata kunci: *Pembangunan padi, hari peningkatan darjah, model linear, Oryza sativa, padi*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Study Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Hypotheses	4
1.4 Objectives	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Background of Cultivation System of Rice in Sarawak	6
2.2 Sarawak Traditional Rice Varieties	7
2.2.1 Bario Sederhana	7
2.2.2 Biris	8

2.3	Effect of Temperature on Growth and Development of Rice	9
2.3.1	Crop Phenology	10
2.3.2	Thermal Time	11
2.3.3	Time of Sowing	13
2.4	The Life Cycle of a Rice Plant	13
2.5	Vegetative Development	14
2.5.1	Seed Germination and Seedling Emergence	14
2.5.2	Main Leaf Appearance	16
2.5.3	Phyllochron	17
2.5.4	Tillering and Maximum Tillering	18
2.6	Reproductive Development of Rice	19
2.6.1	Heading and Booting Stage	21
2.6.2	Anthesis	22
2.6.3	Ripening and Senescence	24
2.7	Yield and Yield Components	25
	CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.1	Germination Experiment	30
3.1.1	Plant Material	30
3.1.2	Germination Study	30
3.1.3	Data Analysis	31

3.2	Pots Experiment	34
3.2.1	Experiment Location	34
3.2.2	Meteorological Conditions	35
3.2.3	Experimental Design	36
3.2.4	Crop Husbandry	36
3.2.5	Management	37
3.2.6	Measurements	38
3.2.6.1	Soil and Air Temperatures	38
3.2.6.2	Emergence and Third Leaf Appearance	39
3.2.6.3	Leaf Appearance Rate (Phyllochron)	39
3.2.6.4	Plant Height	39
3.2.6.5	Basal Internodes	40
3.2.6.6	Time to Flowering	40
3.2.6.7	Grain Development	40
3.2.6.8	Length of Panicle	41
3.2.6.9	Number of Spikelets per Panicle	41
3.2.6.10	Percentage of Filled Spikelets	41
3.2.6.11	Grain Weight per Pot	41
3.3	Field Experiment	42
3.3.1	Experiment Location	42

3.3.2	Meteorological Conditions	43
3.3.3	Experimental Design	44
3.3.4	Field Management	44
3.3.5	Measurements	45
3.3.5.1	Plant Populations	45
3.3.5.2	Plant Height	46
3.3.5.3	Basal Internodes	46
3.3.5.4	Time to Flowering	46
3.3.5.5	Number of Panicles per Subplot	46
3.3.5.6	Yield and Yield Components	46
3.4	Statistical Analyses	47
	CHAPTER 4 RESULTS	48
4.1	Germination Experiment	48
4.1.1	Final Germination Percentage	48
4.1.2	Time to 75% Germination and Germination Rate	50
4.2	Pots Experiment	53
4.2.1	Seedling Emergence	53
4.2.2	Third Leaf Appearance	55
4.2.3	Phyllochron	58
4.2.4	Total Number of Leaves on the Main Stem	61

4.2.5	Flag Leaf	62
4.2.6	Plant Height and Basal Internodes	64
4.2.7	Calendar Days from Time of Sowing to Flowering	66
4.2.8	Grain Development	68
4.2.9	Panicle Length	70
4.2.10	Percentage of Filled Spikelets	71
4.2.11	Total Spikelets per Panicle	72
4.2.12	Filled Grain Weight per Pot	72
4.3	Field Experiment at Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), UNIMAS	73
4.3.1	Plant Populations per Subplot	73
4.3.2	Plant Height and Basal Internodes	74
4.3.3	Flag Leaf	75
4.3.4	Time to Flowering	76
4.3.5	Panicles per Subplot	76
4.3.6	Panicle Length	77
4.3.7	Percentage of Filled Spikelets	78
4.3.8	Total Spikelets per Panicle	78
4.3.9	Filled Grain Weight per Subplot	79
	CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION	80
5.1	Germination	80

5.2	Seedling Emergence and Third Leaf Appearance	83
5.3	Leaf Production	85
5.4	Flowering	87
5.5	Grain Development	88
5.6	Plant Height and Length of Basal Internodes	89
5.7	Yield and Yield Components	91
	CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	95
	REFERENCES	97

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1: Critical temperatures for rice stage development (Source: Yoshida, 1981).	10
Table 2.2: Threshold Temperature of Agricultural Crops (Parthasarathi et al., 2013).	12
Table 3.1: Average annual rainfall (mm) from 2019 - 2021, daylight length (hours), average air temperature and average soil temperature (°C) at Kota Samarahan, Sarawak.	35
Table 3.2: Sowing dates (SD) for a series of pots experiments at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	36
Table 3.3: Soil test analysis for pots experiment as reported by Sarawak Plantation Sdn. Bhd.	37
Table 3.4: Soil test analysis for field experiment as reported by Sarawak Plantation Sdn. Bhd.	43
Table 4.1: Base (T_b), optimum (T_{opt}), and maximum (T_{max}) temperatures and thermal time (Tt) requirements for 75% germination of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice.	53
Table 4.2: Time to seedling emergence in Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on six dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	55
Table 4.3: Time to the third leaf appearance of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on six dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	57
Table 4.4: Phyllochron of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	60
Table 4.5: Thermal time requirement for Phyllochron of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	60
Table 4.6: Total number of leaves on the main stem of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	62
Table 4.7: Time to flag leaf of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	63
Table 4.8: Thermal time to flag leaf of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	63

Table 4.9:	The plant height at flag leaf stage of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	65
Table 4.10:	Basal internode length of Bario Sederhana rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	66
Table 4.11:	Time to flowering for Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	67
Table 4.12:	Calendar days to grain development stages of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	69
Table 4.13:	Thermal time to grain development stages of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	70
Table 4.14:	The panicle length of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	71
Table 4.15:	The percentage of filled spikelets of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	71
Table 4.16:	The total spikelets/panicle of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	72
Table 4.17:	The filled grain weight (g/pot) of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	73
Table 4.18:	The plant populations per subplot of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	73
Table 4.19:	The plant height at flowering of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	74
Table 4.20:	Basal internode length of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on two dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	75
Table 4.21:	Time to flag leaf of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	75
Table 4.22:	Time to flowering of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	76
Table 4.23:	The number of panicles per subplot of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	77
Table 4.24:	The panicle length of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	77
Table 4.25:	The percentage of filled spikelets of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	78

Table 4.26:	The total spikelets per panicle of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	79
Table 4.27:	The filled grain weight per subplot of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown on four dates in field at PPT, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	79
Table 5.1:	Mean plant height, panicle length, number of spikelets/panicle, percentage of filled spikelets and filled grain weight of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice sown in pots and field in Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.	91

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Counting leaf number (Source: Yoshida, 1981).	17
Figure 3.1: Germination experiment in unlit incubator (Convicon G30 Model, Winnipeg, Canada).	31
Figure 3.2: Net house to prevent insect infestation and bird attack.	34
Figure 3.3: The rice plants during active tillering stage in the pots experiments outside Plant Growth Laboratory, UNIMAS.	37
Figure 3.4: Compound fertiliser (Cap Jambatan Hamburg, Germany).	38
Figure 3.5: Grain development stages. R1: Anthesis. R2: Milky. R3: Milky Dough. R4: Hard Grain. R5: Ripe Grain.	40
Figure 3.6: The rice plants during flowering stage in the experimental plots for field experiment at Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS), Kota Samarahan, Sarawak.	42
Figure 3.7: Experiment plots at Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS), Kota Samarahan, Sarawak.	44
Figure 3.8: Flowering crops covered with insect mesh netting at Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan (PPT), UNIMAS, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak.	45
Figure 4.1: Cumulative germination (%) of (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice landraces at 12 different constant temperatures. (●) 12.5 °C, (▲) 15 °C, (■) 17.5 °C, (◆) 20 °C, (△) 22.5 °C, (○) 25°C, (□) 27.5 °C, (◇) 30 °C, (▼) 32.5 °C, (×) 35°C, (⊕) 37.5°C, (●) 40 °C.	49
Figure 4.2: Final germination (%) for Bario Sederhana (●) and Biris (■) at different constant temperatures.	50
Figure 4.3: Number of days to 75% of final germination for Bario Sederhana (●) and Biris (■) rice at different constant temperatures.	51
Figure 4.4: Germination of Bario Sederhana (●) and Biris (■) rice at different constant temperatures.	52
Figure 4.5: Cumulative percentage of seedling emergence against thermal time accumulation for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown on six dates at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, (▼) 27 October, (◆) 28 December and (⊕) 28 February.	54

- Figure 4.6: Cumulative percentage of third leaf appearance against thermal time accumulation for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown on six dates at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, (▼) 27 October, (◆) 28 December and (○) 28 February. 56
- Figure 4.7: Thermal time to third leaf appearance in response to sowing dates for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, (▼) 27 October, (◆) 28 December and (○) 28 February. 58
- Figure 4.8: Number of leaves on the main stem against thermal time accumulation for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown on four dates at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, and (▼) 27 October. 59
- Figure 4.9: Phyllochron of (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown on four dates at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, and (▼) 27 October. 61
- Figure 4.10: Thermal time to flag leaf appearance ($^{\circ}\text{Cd}$) in response to sowing dates for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, and (▼) 27 October. 64
- Figure 4.11: Thermal time to flowering ($^{\circ}\text{Cd}$) in response to sowing dates for (a) Bario Sederhana and (b) Biris rice sown on four dates in pots at Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. (●) 1 April, (■) 27 June, (▲) 27 August, and (▼) 27 October. 68
- Figure 5.1: Destroyed panicles due to bird infestation at Plant Growth Laboratory, UNIMAS. 92

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PPT	Pusat Penyelidikan Tumbuhan
UNIMAS	Universiti Malaysia Sarawak
Tt	Thermal Time

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background

In rural regions of Sarawak, East Malaysia, traditional rice remains a popular choice among rice growers because it is pest resistance, requires a minimum farm input and resilient to unploughed land environments. Thus, cultivation of traditional rice is prevalent in Sarawak with estimation of at least 300 rice varieties identified (Khazanah Research Institute, 2018). Many of these varieties are said to possess exceptional quality in terms of taste (Wong et al., 2009), texture (Huei Ying, 2016), aroma (Libin et al., 2012) and nutritional properties (Ronie et al., 2022). These varieties are sold as specialty rice and they fetch a premium price between MYR 8.00 – 19.50 per kilogram in the retail market (Lai et al., 2017). Coupled with high consumer demand for specialty rice, the emphasis on production of specialty, premium rice products could increase the farmers' profits and improve rural development. In fact, some varieties have a specific locational origin and qualities derived from its origin and were registered as Malaysia Geographical Indication. Such varieties are Bario and Biris rice.

Bario rice originates from the Kelabit Highland in Bario, Sarawak. The varieties of Bario rice are renowned for its finest grain qualities such as aromatic, soft texture, tasty, palatable, and nutritious (Wong et al., 2009; Thomas et al., 2013; Nicholas et al., 2014; Ronie et al., 2022). Its moderate glycemic index suggests that Bario rice has a potential to control blood glucose level and thus can be marketed as health food (Nicholas et al., 2014). Specifically, a commercially grown variety 'Bario Sederhana' is classified as medium grain rice with high protein and low fat content, low gelatinization temperature and high gel

consistency which indicates a soft texture of cooked grain (Huei Ying, 2016). This variety is suitable for health conscious consumers who at the same time prefer food with excellent taste.

Biris rice originates from the rice farms of Simunjan, Kota Samarahan, Sarawak. This variety produced an average grain yield of 2.2 tonnes per hectare with stem height of 0.81 m (Nori et al., 2009). Biris rice is popular for its strong aroma and the grain is classified as very long and slender with low percentage of chalkiness (Huei Ying, 2016). Similar with Bario varieties, the cooked grains of Biris have a soft texture and high in protein content. In addition, extracts from seedlings of Biris rice were reported to contain antioxidant properties that may have a potential to complement anti-cancer drug, i.e., doxorubicin (Yeo et al., 2019).

Typically, traditional rice in Sarawak is grown as rainfed lowland and upland rice due to a lack of infrastructures for irrigation. To achieve the maximum potential of rice, it is pertinent to understand the crop's phenology and growth processes in relation to seasonal changes in environmental factors in a year. In particular, variation in temperature over a year at the location of planting can alter timing of crop phenology and the duration of each phenophase (Oteros et al., 2015) as well as the crop growth performance which determine its grain yield (Tao et al., 2006). Therefore, knowing the timing and variability of phenological events can facilitate farmers in planning, decision making and timely execution of farm management that require advanced information on the dates of specific stages of crop development (Selvaraju, 2012). Given the seasonal variation of temperature and climate change, estimation of crop phenology can be quantified using growing heat units or thermal time.

On account of crop phenology and growth, decision on sowing time at the location of planting plays a significant role in ensuring early crop establishment and subsequent vegetative phase occurs during a period of favourable temperatures and optimum amount of rainfall (Cerioli et al., 2021). For example, Ali et al. (2006) and Shimono et al. (2007) reported a threshold temperature of 12 °C was critical for seed germination and for the following 30 days to ensure optimum number of seedling emergence. Moreover, suitable sowing time ensures crop flowering during optimum temperatures which increases spikelets' fertility rate thus maximises number of filled grains, leading to high grain yield (Cerioli et al., 2021). Several studies reported that different time of sowing resulted in different grain yield due to modification in crop vegetative and reproductive phenophases (Shah et al., 2006; Fazily, 2021). For example, sowing earlier than the optimal date was reported to extend the duration of crop growth and delay grain maturity; resulting in a longer period of pesticide and weed control, increased water consumption, higher straw yield but reduced in grain yield (Slaton, 2001). In contrast, the author reported that delayed sowing produced immature panicles due to shorter growth duration thus leading to reduction in grain yield.

1.2 Problem Statement

Given the seasonal fluctuations in the environment and the impact of climate change, there has been a growing recognition of the changing timing of crop phenology and the duration of their phenophases. The knowledge of crop phenology time is important because it determines crop management decisions such as the best time to apply fertiliser, pesticides, and herbicides for optimum grain production. For example, basal fertiliser is applied to rice plant at the appearance of third leaf and top dressings are given at active tillering, heading and grain filling (Alias et al., 2002). Typically, farmers accomplished these agricultural

activities through visual evaluation. However, the risk lies in the possibility that farmers might miss the chronological events, making the execution of these activities unattainable. The primary approach for predicting plant phenology is typically based on calendar days. However, due to weather fluctuations across different locations and years, calendar-based predictions often prove to be unreliable. As a result, an alternative method of measuring chronological events in crops is by employing thermal time or growing degree days. When plant phenology is evaluated in terms of thermal time, using degree days as the unit of measurement, the required amount of thermal time remains consistent for a plant to reach a specific developmental stage. The thermal time approach offers a standardised measurement of plant phenology that can be universally applied across various climatic conditions. Accordingly, time of sowing which correlates with environmental variation plays a significant role in the crop growth performance and its subsequent yield through the modification of crop phenology.

1.3 Hypotheses

The hypotheses of this study were as below:

H₀: Time of planting did not affect the growth and development of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice landraces.

H_a: Time of planting affected the growth and development of Bario Sederhana and Biris rice landraces.