



Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

**Chemically Modified Palm Kernel Shell Biochar for Methylene Blue
Removal: A Response Surface Methodology Approach**

Nur Hanani Binti Hasana

**Master of Science
2021**

Chemically Modified Palm Kernel Shell Biochar for Methylene Blue
Removal: A Response Surface Methodology Approach

Nur Hanani Binti Hasana

A thesis submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

(Analytical Chemistry)

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

2021

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Except where due acknowledgements have been made, the work is that of the author alone. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.



.....

Signature

Name: Nur Hanani Binti Hasana

Matric No.: 18020055

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Date : 24 July 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and praise to Allah SWT for blessing me with the strength and ability to carry out this research project.

I would like to convey my most heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Rafeah Wahid and co-supervisor, Dr. Yusralina, for their guidance insight, availability, patience, inspiring advice and support throughout my preparation and research of this project.

My most profound appreciation and gratitude to all staff of the Faculty of Resources Science and Technology, UNIMAS, for assisting me throughout the research. Sincere appreciation expressed to my seniors and friends for lending their guidance and assistance during the study.

Special appreciation to my family for their continuous support, affection, and encouragement.

ABSTRACT

Biochar has emerged as a prominent adsorbent in reducing the bioavailability of organic pollutants in water bodies due to its properties such as large surface area, porous structure, enhanced surface functional groups, and inorganic components. However, these properties can be further enriched and improved to increase the removal efficiency of contaminants to develop biochar as a better adsorbent. Further enhancement of biochar properties can be accomplished via chemical modification. This study focuses on the development and characterization of chemically modified palm kernel shell (PKS) biochar using ethanol (EtOH), methanol (MeOH), and magnesium (Mg) for the removal of methylene blue (MB) from aqueous solution. Characterization of chemically modified biochar, such as ultimate analysis, proximate analysis, SEM analysis, BET analysis, and FTIR analysis, were also investigated. Based on the results, both SEM and BET analysis revealed a notable increase in the size and amount of pores on the surface of biochar and its surface area where Mg-treated PKS displayed the highest surface area of $674 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$. Batch adsorption was conducted at different initial concentrations and contact times. Mg-treated PKS biochar was chosen for optimization study via the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) approach since it gave the highest removal efficiency in both batch experiments. RSM was conducted to study the effects of pH of the solution (pH 4-10), contact time (30-90 min), and adsorbent dosage (0.1-0.5 g). The optimal conditions for the adsorption of MB onto Mg-treated PKS biochar were found to be at a pH value of 10 with a contact time of 30 minutes and a dosage of 0.5 gram with a percentage removal of 98.50%. All chemically modified PKS biochar are proven to be successful in removing MB from an aqueous solution compared to untreated PKS biochar.

Keywords: Biochar, chemical modification, characterization, methylene blue, response surface methodology

Bioarang Kernel Kelapa Sawit Terubahsuai secara Kimia untuk Penyingkiran Metilena Biru: Pendekatan Kaedah Gerak Balas Permukaan

ABSTRAK

Bioarang telah muncul sebagai penyerap yang menonjol dalam mengurangkan bioavailabiliti bahan pencemar organik di badan air kerana mempunyai sifat-sifat seperti luas permukaan yang besar, struktur berliang, kumpulan fungsi permukaan yang lebih baik dan komponen mineral. Ciri-ciri ini, bagaimanapun, dapat diperkayakan dan ditingkatkan lagi untuk meningkatkan kecekapan penyingkiran bahan cemar dengan tujuan untuk menjadikan bioarang sebagai penyerap yang lebih baik. Peningkatan selanjutnya terhadap sifat bioarang boleh dicapai melalui pengubahsuaian kimia. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada perkembangan dan pencirian bioarang kernel kelapa sawit (PKS) yang diubahsuai secara kimia menggunakan etanol (EtOH), metanol (MeOH) dan magnesium (Mg) untuk penyingkiran metilena biru (MB) daripada larutan akuus. Pencirian bioarang terubahsuai secara kimia seperti analisis muktamad, analisis proksim, analisis SEM, analisis BET dan analisis FTIR juga disiasat. Berdasarkan keputusan pencirian, kedua-dua analisis SEM dan BET menunjukkan peningkatan yang ketara dalam saiz dan jumlah liang pada permukaan bioarang serta luas permukaannya di mana bioarang magnesium PKS mempamerkan luas permukaan tertinggi iaitu $674 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Pendekatan kaedah gerak balas permukaan (RSM) dijalankan berdasarkan kepekatan awal yang berbeza dan masa sentuhan. Bioarang-Mg PKS yang dirawat telah dipilih untuk kajian pengoptimuman melalui RSM kerana ia memberikan kecekapan penyingkiran tertinggi dalam kedua-dua eksperimen secara kumpulan. RSM dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan pH larutan (pH 4-10), masa sentuhan (30-90 min) dan dos penjerap (0.1-0.5 g). Keadaan optimum untuk penjerapan MB ke bioarang-Mg PKS telah didapati pada nilai pH 10 dengan masa sentuhan 30 minit dan dos 0.5 gram

dengan peratus penyingkiran sebanyak 98.50%. Semua bioarang PKS yang diubahsuai secara kimia terbukti berjaya dalam menyingkirkan MB daripada larutan akueus berbanding dengan bioarang PKS yang tidak dirawat.

Kata kunci: *Bioarang, pencirian, pengubahsuaian kimia, metilena biru, kaedah gerak balas permukaan*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF SCHEMES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Study Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of Study	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Biochar	5
2.1.1 Application of Biochar	8
2.2 Palm Kernel Shell	9

2.3	Palm Kernel Shell Biochar	10
2.4	Chemical Modification of Biochar	11
2.4.1	Methanol Treatment	17
2.4.2	Mineral Treatment	17
2.5	Adsorption	18
2.6	Organic Contaminants	19
2.7	Dyes	22
2.8	Methylene Blue	23
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS		32
3.1	Materials	32
3.2	Chemical Modification of Biochar	33
3.2.1	Ethanol and Methanol Treatment	33
3.2.2	Magnesium Treatment	33
3.3	Biochar Characterization and Analysis	34
3.3.1	Ultimate Analysis	34
3.3.2	Proximate Analysis	34
3.3.3	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Analysis	36
3.3.4	Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) Analysis	36
3.3.5	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) Analysis	36
3.4	Adsorption Studies	37

3.4.1	Stock Solution Preparation	37
3.4.2	Batch Adsorption Studies	37
3.5	Equilibrium Adsorption Isotherm	39
3.5.1	Langmuir Isotherm	39
3.5.2	Freundlich Isotherm	40
3.5.3	Temkin Isotherm	40
3.5.4	Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) Isotherm	41
3.6	Optimization Study using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	42
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		43
4.1	Ultimate Analysis	43
4.2	Proximate Analysis	45
4.3	SEM Analysis	48
4.4	BET Analysis	51
4.5	FTIR Analysis	53
4.6	Adsorption Studies	57
4.6.1	Effect of Initial Concentrations	57
4.6.2	Effect of Contact Time	59
4.7	Isotherms	61
4.7.1	Langmuir Isotherm	61
4.7.2	Freundlich Isotherm	63

4.7.3	Temkin Isotherm	65
4.7.4	Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) Isotherm	67
4.7.5	Summary of Isotherm Models	69
4.8	Optimization Study using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	72
4.9	Recommended Mechanisms	80
4.9.1	Mechanism of Chemical Modification on Biochar	80
4.9.2	Mechanisms of MB adsorption onto Biochar	81
	CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	83
5.1	Conclusion	83
5.2	Recommendations	84
	REFERENCES	86
	APPENDICES	113

LIST OF TABLES

	Page	
Table 2.1	Types of feedstock for biochar production	6
Table 2.2	Different modification methods of biochar derived from various feedstocks	11
Table 2.3	Types of organic contaminants removed by various adsorbent	19
Table 2.4	Mechanisms involved in the adsorption of MB by various adsorbent	24
Table 3.1	Experimental factors design using CCD	42
Table 4.1	Ultimate analysis for raw PKS, untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	43
Table 4.2	Average pore diameters for raw PKS, untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	51
Table 4.3	Surface area and total pore volume for raw PKS, untreated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	52
Table 4.4	FTIR spectra band assignments	57

Table 4.5	Adsorption isotherms parameters for MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	71
Table 4.6	Experimental factors and response for MB adsorption by Mg-treated PKS biochar	72
Table 4.7	ANOVA results of the regression model for the optimization of MB adsorption by Mg-treated PKS biochar	77

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Structure of MB	23
Figure 2.2 Recommended schematic illustration of MB adsorption onto biochar (Fan et al., 2016)	30
Figure 3.1 Conversion of raw PKS to biochar via carbonization	32
Figure 4.1 Proximate analysis of raw PKS, untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	46
Figure 4.2 SEM images of (a) raw PKS 2000x, (b) untreated PKS biochar 2000x, (c) EtOH-treated PKS biochar 2000x, (d) MeOH-treated PKS biochar 2000x, and (e) Mg-treated PKS biochar 2000x	50
Figure 4.3 FTIR spectrum of raw PKS and untreated PKS biochar	53
Figure 4.4 FTIR spectrum of EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	54
Figure 4.5 Comparison of FTIR spectrum for all biochar (I refers to raw PKS, II refers to EtOH-treated PKS biochar, III refers to MeOH-treated PKS biochar, IV refers to Mg-treated PKS biochar and V refers untreated PKS biochar)	54

Figure 4.6	Effect of initial concentration on MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, Mg-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and EtOH-treated PKS biochar	58
Figure 4.7	Effect of contact time on MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, Mg-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and EtOH-treated PKS biochar	60
Figure 4.8	Langmuir isotherm plot for MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	62
Figure 4.9	Freundlich isotherm plot for MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	64
Figure 4.10	Temkin isotherm plot for MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and Mg-treated PKS biochar	66
Figure 4.11	Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm plot for MB removal by untreated PKS biochar, Mg-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar and EtOH-treated PKS biochar	68
Figure 4.12	Predicted vs. actual removal efficiency of MB using Mg-treated PKS biochar	75

Figure 4.13 3D response surface graphs and contour plots showing the effect of (a) pH and time, (b) time and adsorbent dosage, and (c) pH and adsorbent dosage, on MB removal efficiency by Mg-treated PKS biochar

79

LIST OF SCHEMES

	Page
Scheme 4.1 Esterification in EtOH-treated PKS biochar and MeOH-treated PKS biochar (Jing et al., 2014)	81
Scheme 4.2 Proposed mechanism for modification of Mg-treated PKS biochar	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller
CCD	Central Composite Design
CHN	Carbon-Hydrogen-Nitrogen
EtOH	Ethanol
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared
g	gram
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
MB	Methylene blue
MeOH	Methanol
Mg	Magnesium
min	minutes
O	Oxygen
PKS	Palm kernel shell
ppm	Parts per million
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
S	Sulfur
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background

The lack of attention given to the discharge of environmental contaminants has resulted in the deterioration of surrounding ecosystems, especially to surface water (Kooh et al., 2017). As microbial pathogens bring immediate harm to human health, various organic contaminants such as dyes, pesticides, phenolics, antibiotics, and polynuclear aromatics also affect surface water condition (Kearns et al., 2014). The accumulation of harmful organic contaminants in natural water bodies have raised concerns around the world. Long-term exposure to organic contaminants is reported to cause cancer, endocrine and reproductive disease, liver, kidneys, or central nervous system damage (Kearns et al., 2014).

Each year, over 100,000 commercial dyes have been produced, with the total production exceeding 700,000 metric tons (Jawad et al., 2016). Around 90% of the total dyes produced are used in fabrics, whereas the remaining ratio is used in paper, plastic, leather, and chemical industry (García et al., 2018). Consequently, around 10–15% used from these industries have been discharged into waste streams as industrial effluent annually (Vikrant et al., 2018). The concentration of chemicals and color in wastewater from the textile industry is high; thus, proper treatment is needed to cease environmental problems. Colored water prevents solar radiation from entering water sources, inhibiting the photosynthesis of aquatic biota (Jia et al., 2018).

Dyes can be categorized into two categories, which are natural dyes and synthetic dyes. Natural dyes consist of pigment or colorants found in animals, particularly insects and

vegetables, with no chemical handling (Yusuf et al., 2015). Alternatively, synthetic dyes are colorants that are made by humans. Recently, the growth of synthetic dyes production is a result of their economic low-cost synthesis process and a diverse selection of color choices (Ngoh et al., 2015). Dyes can be grouped as cationic, anionic, and non-ionic dyes (Vikrant et al., 2018).

Carbonaceous materials have been applied for many years as adsorbents for organic and inorganic pollutants in water and soil (Salih et al., 2011). Recently, biochar has emerged as an ameliorant to lower the bioavailability of pollutants in the water bodies (Ahmad et al., 2014). Activated carbon is currently the most frequent carbon material used as an adsorbent, but it is costly to make (Ahmad et al., 2014). Alternatively, biochar requires less investment and is renewable (Mohan et al., 2014). Biochar possesses specific properties that fit sorbent materials such as large specific surface area, porous structure, enhanced surface functional groups, and mineral components (Tan et al., 2015).

Today, various technologies such as adsorption, ion exchange, reverse osmosis, precipitation, and solvent extraction have been created and introduced to remove pollutants such as heavy metals, organic contaminants, and dyes from wastewaters (Igwe & Abia, 2007; Karaer & Kaya, 2016). However, most of the methods mentioned have a few drawbacks, such as incomplete pollutant removal, requires high energy and cost, and toxicity, which involves careful disposal (Al-Homaidan et al., 2014). Among these methods, adsorption is the most preferred technique in removing organic contaminants from aqueous solution. It has been proven to be effective, low cost, and gives high removal capacity (Liao et al., 2012).

The purpose of this study is to offer a few amounts of knowledge, insight, and understanding into the ability of chemically modified PKS biochar in the removal of MB

from an aqueous solution. The chemicals used in the modification of biochar were ethanol (EtOH), methanol (MeOH), and magnesium (Mg). The characterization of raw PKS, untreated PKS biochar, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar, and Mg-treated PKS biochar were also investigated. The optimization of MB adsorption was conducted under optimized parameters such as pH, contact time, and adsorbent dosage.

1.2 Problem Statement

Organic contaminants such as dyes, phenolic, pesticides, pharmaceutical residues, and polynuclear aromatics can impact the safety of surface waters, thus threatening human health. Many types of research have been done to study the uses of biochar for the removal of contaminants from aqueous solutions. Due to its fiscal and ecological benefits, biochar is an excellent adsorbent for water contaminants treatment. Biochar displays the great potential to remove contaminants due to its pore size distribution, surface area, and ion-exchange capacity. Furthermore, a great deal of modification onto biochar has been carried out to improve its sorption ability, including acid/base treatment, amination, carboxylation, magnetic modification, and surfactant modification. However, gaps exist in applying EtOH, MeOH, and Mg as the appropriate chemicals for modification of biochar since the most commonly used chemicals are acids and bases. Moreover, the amount of information and studies that have been conducted regarding the removal of MB from aqueous solution by EtOH, MeOH, and Mg modified biochar is very limited. This issue indicates that further research is needed to promote the benefits of these chemically modified biochars as an adsorbent for organic contaminant treatment.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- i. To produce and characterize EtOH-treated, MeOH-treated, and Mg-treated PKS biochar
- ii. To investigate the adsorption efficiency of the modified biochars with different chemical modification techniques
- iii. To optimize the factors of adsorption of MB by Mg-treated PKS biochar

1.4 Scope of the Study

In the present study, chemical modification of biochar was carried out by using EtOH, MeOH, and $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Then, EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar, and Mg-treated PKS biochar were characterized based on ultimate analysis, proximate analysis, surface area, surface morphology, and functional groups. The efficiency of EtOH-treated PKS biochar, MeOH-treated PKS biochar, and Mg-treated PKS biochar to remove MB from an aqueous solution was also investigated. Adsorption of MB onto these chemically modified biochars was studied in batch experiments under initial concentration and contact time parameters. Besides, RSM was utilized to determine the combined effects of variables (pH, contact time, adsorbent dosage) on the removal efficiency of MB using Mg-treated PKS biochar.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Biochar

Biochar is a black carbon product produced by pyrolysis or heating biomass with limited or no access to oxygen and at relatively low temperatures (Nartey & Zhao, 2014). The term 'black carbon' refers to the solid by-product, which contains a high carbon concentration (Spokas et al., 2012). Feedstock such as coconut shell, sugarcane bagasse, empty fruit bunches of oil palms, rubber-wood sawdust waste, rice straw, bamboo, rice husk, maple wood, switchgrass, corn cobs, palm kernel shells, maize cobs, and cassava waste have been used in biochar production.

Different types of feedstock may affect the physicochemical properties of the biochar. For example, woody biomass usually has high contents of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin contents (Lupoi & Smith, 2012). It was found that the high content of lignin in plant biomass will increase the biochar yield (Ahmad et al., 2014). This further proves that feedstock types may affect the surface characteristics of biochar as well as their potential environmental applications (Wang et al., 2015).

The properties of biochar such as large surface area, porous structure, enriched surface functional groups, negative surface charge, and charge density have increased the biochar's ability to expand its capacity and stability in soils, exhibiting the potential to be utilized as an adsorbent to eradicate contaminant from soils and also an aqueous solution (Tan et al., 2015). Furthermore, biochar contains a non-carbonized fraction that can interact with soil and water pollutants (Ahmad et al., 2014). The surface functional groups in biochar,