

## **Competitiveness with Sustainable Agriculture: Win, Lose or Draw?**

KHALID ABDUL RAHIM<sup>a</sup>, WONG SWEE KIONG<sup>b</sup>  
AND AUDREY LIWAN<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia  
43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor  
e-mail: khalid@econ.upm.edu.my*

<sup>b</sup> *Faculty of Social Science, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS)  
93400 Kuching, Sarawak  
swkwong@fss.unimas.my*

<sup>c</sup> *Faculty of Economics and Business,  
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS),  
93400 Kuching, Sarawak  
laudrey@feb.unimas.my*

### **ABSTRACT**

Through the Polluter-Pays-Principle (PPP), it is generally assumed that the additional cost of internalization is passed on to consumers. However, stiff competition in the world market could make it difficult for exporters to pass on to international consumers, thus profit margin on export commodities is eroded and exports may be curtailed. This situation prevails in many developing countries which export a large proportion of their commodity production. When pollution control costs are substantial, voluntary implementation of environmental policy in the commodity export sector of a country may be problematic. Studies have shown mixed results that generalizations on the competitive effects of eco-friendly agriculture are unwise. Results of our study reveal that stricter environmental regulation to increase the cost of chemical inputs to encourage farmers to produce eco-friendly pepper products would enhance the export market competitiveness of both black pepper and white pepper production in Malaysia. On the other hand, to produce cocoa in a manner consistent with eco-friendly objectives requires huge sum of investment by the government. Each producer has different cost structure such that internalization of production externalities may result in higher cost of production for