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ABSTRACT
This paper extends the direct measure to business expectations in assessing the doctrine of forecast rationality in the contemporary environment of Malaysia's construction sector. The survey's expectational series on business operational forecasts across the period 1990 to 2010 is inconsistent with Muth's concept of rational expectations. Although strict rationality failed to be evidenced, weakly rational conduct can be observed in capital expenditure forecasts. Nevertheless, both operational variables in question are optimistically biased upward and neither of them can accurately reflect the true market perception. This evidence casts doubt on the usefulness of the investigated survey series in providing a realistic panorama of the construction sector in the near future. Notwithstanding, the irrational upshot may drop a hint to the reader on the root of alarming property overhang and price hikes in construction-related markets since expectations play a foremost role in providing equilibrium in the supply and demand in this growth-initiating market.

1. Introduction
Market participants, predominantly business players, take full advantage of their available information in pursuit of their self-interests. In response to costly available information and scarce resources, forward-looking profit-maximisers generally do not waste information, and such optimal use of information tends to drive them toward rational expectations as proposed by Muth (1961). With the use of knowledge fully exploited in a cost-efficient manner, Egginton (1999) maintained that market participants can generate unbiased and efficient forecasts. For this reason, the author argued that the rational expectation hypothesis (REH) can serve as a means to evaluate the usefulness of survey data. This is because survey forecasts that deviate significantly from rationality would propose that biased and inefficient forecasts barely converge with the market's true perception and these outlooks are no longer of value to market participants. Hence, in the course of validating whether