

Collaborative Learning of Year 4 Science Subject Using Board Games

Sim Kah Ching

Doctor of Philosophy 2024

Collaborative Learning of Year 4 Science Subject Using Board Games

Sim Kah Ching

A thesis submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

(Learning Science)

Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

2024

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Except where due acknowledgements have been made, the work is that of the author alone. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Simkahching

Signature

Name:

Sim Kah Ching

Matric No.: 16010177

Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Date : 17th June 2024

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to those who have contributed directly or indirectly to this research.

My sincere gratitude is given to the Centre for Graduate Studies, for the advice and support given during my period of study in Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.

Finally, I would like to thank the management of the Universiti Malaysia Sarawak for making it possible for me to complete my study here in Sarawak. Thank you all.

ABSTRACT

In an educational landscape increasingly influenced by technology, this research investigates collaborative gaming using a digital and non-digital format to uncover their potential to elevate students' academic performance, learning motivation, and social interaction within the Science classroom. This research employs a pre-test-post-test between-groups design to investigate the gender differences and the effectiveness of Conventional Teaching (CT), Collaborative Learning (CL) strategies in the context of teaching the Year 4 Science curriculum through Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG) and Computerized Board Games (CBG). The study engages a diverse group of 10-year-old participants from National-Type Chinese Primary Schools to comprehensively analyze the gender differences by comparing the change scores and mean scores for CT with the PBBG and CBG. Through a combination of quantitative methods encompassing pre-tests, post-tests, and questionnaires, while qualitative approaches involve classroom observations and interviews, the distinction of CT, PBBG and CBG with CL is explained. The findings reveal that there are no gender differences between teaching methods. In contrast, a significant difference was detected between the academic performance, social interaction and learning motivation, facets of CT, PBBG, and CBG, specifically in science education. Notably, the PBBG group exhibits a higher mean score and lower standard deviation, implying a more robust perception of academic performance, social interaction and learning motivation. The implications of this research reverberate across the educational landscape, offering valuable insights to educators, curriculum designers, and educational technology developers in pursuing innovative Science education approaches. Recognising the inherent value of PBBG and CBG, with CL, educators are poised to harness their potential to create engaging and impactful learning experiences for 10-year-old participants

within National-Type Chinese Primary Schools. This approach enhances their grasp of scientific concepts while nurturing essential collaborative skills.

Keywords: Conventional Teaching (CT), Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG), Computerized Board Game (CBG), Collaborative Learning (CL), academic performance, learning motivation, social interaction, 10-year-old participants, National-Type Chinese Primary Schools

Pembelajaran Kolaboratif Mata Pelajaran Sains Tahun 4 Menggunakan Permainan Papan Berkomputer dan Permainan Papan Berdasarkan Kertas

ABSTRAK

Dalam landskap pendidikan yang semakin dipengaruhi oleh teknologi, kajian ini menyiasat permainan kolaboratif menggunakan format digital dan bukan digital untuk mendedahkan potensi mereka untuk meningkatkan prestasi akademik, motivasi pembelajaran dan interaksi sosial pelajar dalam kelas Sains. Kajian ini menggunakan reka bentuk pra-ujian-pasca antara kumpulan untuk menyiasat perbezaan jantina, dan keberkesanan strategi Pengajaran Tradisional (PT), Pembelajaran Kolaboratif (PK) dalam konteks pengajaran kurikulum Sains Tahun 4 melalui Permainan Papan Berdasarkan Kertas (PPBK) dan Permainan Papan Berkomputer (PPB). Kajian ini melibatkan sekumpulan peserta berumur 10 tahun yang pelbagai dari Sekolah Rendah Cina Jenis Kebangsaan untuk menganalisis secara komprehensif perbezaan jantina, sebagai membandingkan skor perubahan dan skor min untuk PT dengan PPBK dan PPB. Melalui gabungan kaedah kuantitatif yang merangkumi ujian pra, ujian pasca dan soal selidik, manakala pendekatan kualitatif melibatkan pemerhatian dan temu bual bilik darjah, perbezaan PT, PPBK dan PPB, dengan PK dijelaskan. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa tiada perbezaan jantina antara kaedah pengajaran yang terlibat. Sebaliknya, perbezaan yang signifikan dikesan antara prestasi akademik, interaksi sosial dan motivasi pembelajaran, aspek PK, PPBK dan PPB, khususnya dalam pendidikan Sains. Kumpulan PPBK mempamerkan skor min yang lebih tinggi dan sisihan piawai yang lebih rendah, menunjukkan persepsi yang lebih mantap terhadap prestasi akademik, interaksi sosial dan motivasi pembelajaran. Implikasi kajian ini bergema merentasi landskap pendidikan, menawarkan pandangan berharga kepada pendidik, pereka kurikulum dan pembangun teknologi pendidikan dalam mengikuti pendekatan pendidikan Sains yang inovatif. Menyedari nilai semula jadi PPBK dan PPB, dengan PK, para pendidik bersedia untuk memanfaatkan potensi mereka untuk mencipta pengalaman pembelajaran yang menarik dan memberi kesan untuk peserta berumur 10 tahun dalam Sekolah Rendah Cina Jenis Kebangsaan. Pendekatan ini meningkatkan pemahaman mereka tentang konsep saintifik sambil memupuk kemahiran kolaboratif yang penting.

Kata kunci: Permainan Papan Berdasarkan Kertas (PPBK), Permainan Papan Berkomputer (PPB), Pembelajaran Kolaboratif (PK), pencapaian akademik, motivasi pembelajaran, interaksi sosial, peserta berusia 10 tahun, Sekolah Jenis Kebangsaan Cina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DEC	CLARATION	i
АСК	KNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABS'	TRACT	iii
ABS	TRAK	vii
ТАВ	BLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST	Γ OF TABLES	xxvi
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	XX
LIST	Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
СНА	APTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of the Study	5
1.3	Problem Statements	11
1.4	Research Objectives	20
1.5	Research Questions	21
1.6	Research Hypotheses	21
1.7	Significance of the Research	23
1.8	Definitions of Terms	26

1.8.1	Science Classroom	26
1.8.2	Pupils	27
1.8.3	Collaborative Learning (CL)	27
1.8.4	Conventional Teaching (CT)	29
1.8.5	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	30
1.8.6	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	30
1.8.7	Learning Motivation	31
1.8.8	Social Interaction	32
1.9	Summary	33
CHAI	PTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	34
2.1	Introduction	34
2.2	Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013 - 2025	35
2.2.1	STEM Education	36
2.2.2	Revised Version of Standard-Based for Primary Schools	37
2.2.3	Science Classroom	38
2.3	Teaching and Learning Approaches in Science Education	41
2.3.1	Inquiry-Based Science Learning	41
2.3.2	Conventional Teaching (CT)	41
2.3.3	Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL)	42
2.3.3.1	Collaborative Learning (CL)	43

2.4	Social Interaction in the Classroom	45
2.5	Gamification in the Education Field	49
2.5.1	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	49
2.5.2	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	59
2.6	Gender Differences	64
2.6.1	Conventional Teaching (CT)	64
2.6.2	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	67
2.6.3	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	69
2.7	Learning Motivation in Science Learning	71
2.8	Suggested Theories	73
2.8.1	Activity Theory (AT)	73
2.8.2	Social Constructivisim Theory (SCT)	78
2.9	Theoretical Framework	81
2.10	Summary	84
CHAI	PTER 3: DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT	86
3.1	Introduction	86
3.2	AGILE System Dveleopment	86
3.2.1	Definition	86
3.2.2	The 12 Principles of AGILE System Development	90
3.2.3	Benefits of AGILE Development	95

3.2.4	Framework of AGILE Development	96
3.3	Design and Development of Board Games	100
3.3.1	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	100
3.3.2	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	117
3.4	Playtesting the Board Games	131
3.4.1	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	131
3.4.2	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	135
3.5	Possible Changes and Improvements	138
3.5.1	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	138
3.5.2	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	141
3.6	Further Potential for Future Development	143
3.6.1	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	143
3.6.2	Computerised Board Games (CBG)	144
3.7	Summary	146
CHA	PTER 4: METHODOLOGY	148
4.1	Introduction	148
4.2	Research Design	149
4.3	Populations and Sampling	150
4.4	Ethical Considerations	155
4.4.1	Informed Consent	155

4.4.1.1	1 Informed Consent: Students	156
4.4.1.2	2 Informed Consent: Parents	158
4.4.1.3	3 Application for Conducting the Research to the Selected Tuition Centre	159
4.4.2	Privacy and Confidentiality	159
4.4.3	Data Storage	160
4.4.4	Minimising Disruption	161
4.5	Validity and Reliability	162
4.5.1	PowerPoint Presentation Slides	165
4.5.2	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	166
4.5.3	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	167
4.5.4	Pre-Test and Post-Test	167
4.5.5	Questionnaire	169
4.5.6	Classroom Observation Protocol	171
4.5.7	Interview Protocol	172
4.6	Research Instruments	173
4.6.1	Computerized Board Games (CBG)	174
4.6.2	Paper-Based Board Games (PBBG)	175
4.6.3	Pre-Test and Post-Test	175
4.6.4	Questionnaire	179
4.6.5	Classroom Observation	183

4.6.6	Interview	185
4.7	Research Procedures	193
4.8	Data Analysis	197
4.8.1	Gender Differences	198
4.8.2	Pre-Test and Post-Test	198
4.8.3	Questionnaire	199
4.8.4	Classroom Observation Protocol	200
4.8.5	Interview Protocol	200
4.9	Summary	201
CHAI	PTER 5: PILOT STUDY	203
5.1	Introduction	203
5.2	Research Hypotheses	204
5.3	Research Procedures	205
5.4	Data Analysis	208
5.4.1	Assessment of Normality	209
5.4.1.1	Gender Differences	209
5.4.1.2	Pre-test and Post-test	210
5.4.1.3	3 Questionnaire	210

5.5.1 How do genders differ between PBBG and CBG in learning Science among

	10-year-old participants?	211
5.5.2	How do pre-test and post-test scores differ between PBBG and CBG in	
	learning Science among 10-year-old participants?	218
5.5.3	How does social interaction differ between PBBG and CBG in learning	
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	219
5.5.4	How does learning motivation differ between PBBG and CBG in learning	
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	222
5.5	Summary	225
CHA	PTER 6: RESULTS	227
6.1	Introduction	227
6.2	Respondents' Demographic Profiles	228
6.3	Findings of the Research	229
6.3.1	How do genders differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning Science	
	among 10-year-old participants?	230
6.3.2	How do pre-test and post-test scores differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in	
	learning Science among 10-year-old participants?	236
6.3.3	How does social interaction differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning	
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	244
6.3.4	How does learning motivation differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning	
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	270

6.4	Summary	306
CHA	PTER 7: DISCUSSIONS	308
7.1	Introduction	308
7.2	Gender Differences between CT, PBBG and CBG in Learning Science among	
	10-year-old Participants	308
7.3	Differences in Pre-test and Post-test Scores between CT, PBBG and CBG in	
	Learning Science among 10-year-old Participants	311
7.4	Differences in Social Interaction between CT, PBBG and CBG in Learning	
	Science among 10-year-old Participants	318
7.5	Differences in Learning Motivation between CT, PBBG and CBG in Learning	
	Science among 10-year-old Participants	323
7.6	Summary	330
CHA	PTER 8: CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 3 3 1
8.1	Introduction	331
8.2	Summary of the Findings	332
8.2.1	How do genders differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning Science	
	among 10-year-old participants?	333
8.2.2	How do pre-test and post-test scores differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in	
	learning Science among 10-year-old participants?	333
8.2.3	How does social interaction differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning	

APPE	APPENDICES	
REFE	REFERENCES	
8.7	Summary	356
8.6	Recommendation for Future Research	347
8.5	Limitations of the Research	343
8.4.2	Theoretical Implications	342
8.4.1	Practical Implications	338
8.4	Implication of the Research	337
8.3	Conclusion of the Research	336
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	335
8.2.4	How does learning motivation differ between CT, PBBG and CBG in learning	
	Science among 10-year-old participants?	334

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 4.1	Gender Distribution of 10-year-old participants Who Were Exposed to PowerPoint Presentation	152
Table 4.2	Gender Distribution of 10-year-old participants Who Were Exposed to PBBG with CL	153
Table 4.3	Gender Distribution of 10-year-old participants Who Were Exposed to CBG with CL	154
Table 4.4	The standards of Cohen Kappa's Inter-rater reliability of the tests	164
Table 4.5	Frequency and Percentages for Teacher A and Teacher B in Rating the Questions from Both Pre-test and Post-test	169
Table 4.6	Frequency and Percentages for Teacher A and Teacher B in Rating the Questionnaires	171
Table 4.7	Frequency and Percentages for Teacher A and Teacher B in Rating the Classroom Observation Protocol	172
Table 4.8	Frequency and Percentages for Teacher A and Teacher B in Rating the Interview Protocol	173
Table 4.9	Results of the Reliability Test toward Three Science Topics for Pre- Test and Post-test	178
Table 4.10	Results of the Reliability Test Toward Learning Motivation and Social Interaction in the Questionnaire Instruments	183
Table 4.11	Revised Version of the Interview Protocol in Implementing PBBG after Discussion with Two Experienced Science Teachers	187
Table 4.12	Revised Version of the Interview Protocol in Implementing CBG after Discussion with Two Experienced Science Teachers	190
Table 4.13	Results of the Reliability Test toward Interview Protocol Instruments after Implementing PBBG and CBG	193
Table 5.1	Standard for Mean Value	209
Table 5.2	Tests of Normality for change scores after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	212
Table 5.3	Results of Independent Sample t-test for change scores after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	212

Table 5.4	Tests of Normality for change scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	213
Table 5.5	Results of Independent Sample t-test for change scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	214
Table 5.6	Tests of Normality for social interaction after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	214
Table 5.7	Results of the Mann-Whitney U for social interaction mean scores after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	215
Table 5.8	Tests of Normality for social interaction mean scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	215
Table 5.9	Results of Independent Sample t-test for social interaction mean scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	216
Table 5.10	Tests of Normality for learning motivation mean scores after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	216
Table 5.11	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test for learning motivation mean scores after implementing PBBG among 10-year-old participants	217
Table 5.12	ests of Normality for learning motivation mean scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	217
Table 5.13	Results of Mann-Whitney U test for learning motivation mean scores after implementing CBG among 10-year-old participants	217
Table 5.14	Tests of Normality for pre-test and post-test test after implementing PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants for the "Subtopic 5.1: Light Travels in a Straight Line "	218
Table 5.15	Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank test for subtopic "Light Travels in a Straight Line" towards pre-test and post-test scores between PBBG and CBG groups	219
Table 5.16	Mean score interpretation for social interaction in learning science using PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	219
Table 5.17	Tests of Normality for social interaction after implementing PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants	220
Table 5.18	Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank test for the mean scores of social interactions between PBBG and CBG groups	220
Table 5.19	Mean score interpretation for social interaction in learning science using PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	222

Table 5.20	Tests of Normality for learning motivation after implementing PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	223
Table 5.21	Results of Wilcoxon Signed Rank test for the mean scores of learning motivation between PBBG and CBG groups	223
Table 6.1	CT Group	228
Table 6.2	PBBG Group	229
Table 6.3	CBG Group	229
Table 6.4	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward change scores for the gender differences in the CT group	230
Table 6.5	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward change scores for the gender differences in the PBBG group	231
Table 6.6	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward change scores for the gender differences in the CBG group	232
Table 6.7	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward mean scores of social interaction for the gender differences in the PBBG group	232
Table 6.8	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward mean scores of social interaction for the gender differences in the CBG group	233
Table 6.9	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward mean scores of learning motivation for the gender differences in the PBBG group	233
Table 6.10	Results of the Mann-Whitney U test toward mean scores of learning motivation for the gender differences in the CBG group	234
Table 6.11	Tests of Normality for Pre-Test and Post-test after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants for the Topic "Properties of Light"	237
Table 6.12	Tests of Normality for Pre-Test and Post-test after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants for the Topic "Energy"	238
Table 6.13	Tests of Normality for Pre-Test and Post-test after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants for the Topic "Materials"	239
Table 6.14	Tests of Normality for Pre-Test and Post-test after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants for Three Science Topics	240

Table 6.15	Results of the Kruskall-Wallis test for change scores from pre-test to post-test between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	241
Table 6.16	Kruskal-Wallis Dunn's Post Hoc Test results for change scores between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	242
Table 6.17	Mean Score Interpretation for Social Interaction in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	245
Table 6.18	Tests of Normality for Social Interaction after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants	246
Table 6.19	Results of the Kruskall-Wallis test for mean scores of social interaction between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	247
Table 6.20	Kruskal-Wallis Dunn's Post Hoc Test results for mean social interaction scores between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	248
Table 6.21	Definition and Labels for Selected Themes	258
Table 6.22	Mean Score Interpretation for Learning Motivation (Self-Challenge) in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	270
Table 6.23	Mean Score Interpretation for Learning Motivation (Self-Confidence) in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	271
Table 6.24	Mean Score Interpretation for Learning Motivation (Assessment) in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	272
Table 6.25	Mean Score Interpretation for Learning Motivation Dimension in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	273
Table 6.26	Mean Score Interpretation for Learning Motivation in Learning Science using CT, PBBG and CBG among 10-year-old participants	274
Table 6.27	Tests of Normality for Learning Motivation after Implementing CT, PBBG and CBG among the 10-year-old participants	275
Table 6.28	Results of the Kruskall-Wallis test for mean scores of learning motivation between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	276
Table 6.29	Kruskal-Wallis Dunn's Post Hoc Test results for mean learning motivation scores between CT, PBBG and CBG groups	276
Table 6.30	Codes and the Corresponding Themes	288

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1.1	The Framework of Standard-Based Curriculum for Primary School	6
Figure 1.2	Excerpt of a Constant Standard from the Primary School Standard Curriculum (Revised) for Year 4 Science	7
Figure 2.1	Hierarchical Levels of an Activity (Leont've, 1981)	74
Figure 2.2	Engestrom's Expanded Activity Theory Model (Engestrom, 1987)	76
Figure 2.3	Proposed Theoretical Framework of General Concepts	82
Figure 3.1	Adapted AGILE Design Process from Unger & Novak (2011)	98
Figure 3.2	Prototype for First Draft of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	104
Figure 3.3	First Draft of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	105
Figure 3.4	Prototype for First Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	107
Figure 3.5	First Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	107
Figure 3.6	Instructions for Playing PBBG in MS Word File	108
Figure 3.7	Prototype for Second Draft of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	109
Figure 3.8	Second Draft of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	110
Figure 3.9	Prototype for Second Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	111
Figure 3.10	Finalized Version of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	112
Figure 3.11	Instructions for Playing PBBG in MS PowerPoint File	113
Figure 3.12	Prototype for Third Draft of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	114
Figure 3.13	Finalized Version of PBBG "SciFun Board Game"	116
Figure 3.14	Prototype for First Draft of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	119
Figure 3.15	First Draft of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	120
Figure 3.16	Prototype for First Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	121
Figure 3.17	First Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	122

Figure 3.18	Instructions for Playing CBG in MS Word File	122
Figure 3.19	Prototype for Second Draft of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	123
Figure 3.20	Second Draft of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	125
Figure 3.21	Prototype for Second Draft of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	126
Figure 3.22	Finalized Version of "Total Point Reward" Scoreboard	126
Figure 3.23	Instructions for Playing CBG in MS PowerPoint File	128
Figure 3.24	Prototype for Third Draft of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	
Figure 3.25	Finalized Version of CBG "SciFun Board Game"	131
Figure 6.1	Themes and Its Subthemes for Social Interaction through Classroom Observation	250
Figure 6.2	Themes and Its Subthemes for Learning Motivation through Classroom Observation	279

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR	Augmented Reality
ASATSC	Asian Student Attitudes towards Science Class
AT	Activity Theory
CBG	Computerized Board Games
CL	Collaborative Learning
CSCL	Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning
СТ	Conventional Teaching
DSKP	Dokumen Standard Kurikulum Dan Pentaksiran
HOTs	Higher Order Thinking Skills
IBSE	Inquiry-Based Science Education
IoT	Internet of Things
IR 4.0	Industrial Revolution 4.0
IS	Importance of Science
MCSCL	Mobile Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning
MOE	Ministry of Education
MSLQ	Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire
PBBG	Paper-Based Board Games
PISA	Program for International Student Assessment
PLC	Professional Learning Community
SC	Science Confidence
SCT	Social Constructivism Theory
SE	Science Enjoyment