

Internal and External Determinants of SMEs' Sustainability Performance in Akwa Ibom and Lagos State, Nigeria

Chigbu Chisom Christian

Internal	and External	Determinants	of SMEs'	Sustainability	Performance	in Akwa	Ibom
		and	Lagos Sta	ate, Nigeria			

Chigbu Chisom Christian

A thesis submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
(Entrepreneurship)

Faculty of Economic and Business
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Except where due acknowledgements have been made, the work is that of the author alone. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature

Name: Chigbu Chisom Christian

Matric No.: 18020183

Faculty of Economics and Business

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Date: 28th March 2024.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I humbly use this opportunity to appreciate God for His mercy, love, and guidance throughout this study.

My sincere gratitude to my supervisor and co-supervisor Dr. Irma Yazreen Md Yusoff and Dr. Norizan Jaafar, respectively, for their all-round tuition and guidance. I also wish to express my profound gratitude to Prof. Dr Rossazana Bt Ab Rahim, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Business, for her motherly love and supportive efforts toward completion of this study.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife and children for their immense support toward realization of this study. Thank you all.

ABSTRACT

Globally, SMEs' internal (founder entrepreneurial leadership attributes, founder's entrepreneurial human capital and founder entrepreneurial social capital) and external (government specific financial resources, government specific non-financial resources, government specific policies and public infrastructure) determinants serve as indicators of SMEs' sustainability performance. Unfortunately, internal and external determinant variables are deeply negative in the context of SMEs' sustainability performance in the Nigerian SME sector and as such, most Nigerian SMEs firms barely survive, let alone actualize sustainable performance in the present-day competitive business environment. Therefore, this study examines internal and external constructs and SMEs' sustainability performance in Akwa Ibom State and Lagos State, Nigeria. The study's philosophy focuses on quantitative philosophical methods. Questionnaires were distributed and tested through a pilot study to confirm the reliability and validity of the variables. A total of 650 questionnaires were distributed for self-completion, and 600 were completed and returned. Both SPSS and SmartPLS were used for descriptive statistics, path regression, and hypotheses testing. The study outcome posits that founder entrepreneurial leadership attributes have a strong correlation with internal and external social and environmental sustainability performance, as well as a negative relationship with economic sustainability performance. Entrepreneurial human capital showed a significant positive correlation with economic sustainability, internal and external social sustainability, and environmental sustainability performance. The founder's entrepreneurial social capital showed a strong interconnection with economic sustainability performance. Internal and external social sustainability performance has an insignificant connection to environmental sustainability performance. Government-specific financial resources were positively correlated with

economic sustainability performance, internal and external social sustainability performance, and environmental sustainability. Government-specific non-financial resources showed positive correlations with economic sustainability performance and internal and external social sustainability performance, whereas there was an insignificant connection with environmental sustainability performance. Government-specific policies showed a negative relationship with economic and environmental sustainability performance and were positively related to internal and external social sustainability performance. Finally, public infrastructure showed a negative correlation with economic sustainability performance and was positively related to internal and external social sustainability and environmental sustainability performance. This study's contribution to existing knowledge on both theory and managerial implications is described, along with limitations, implications, and research directions for future studies.

Keywords: Entrepreneurial Leadership Attribute, Human Capital, Social Capital, Government Financial Resources, Non-Financial Resources

Faktor Dalaman dan Luaran Kelestarian Prestasi PKS di Negeri Akwa Ibom dan Negeri Lagos, Nigeria

ABSTRAK

Pada peringkat global, faktor dalaman (sifat kepimpinan keusahawanan pengasas, modal insan keusahawanan pengasas dan modal sosial keusahawanan pengasas) dan faktor luaran (sumber kewangan khusus kerajaan, sumber bukan kewangan khusus kerajaan, dasar khusus kerajaan dan infrastruktur awam) PKS berfungsi sebagai penanda kelestarian prestasi PKS. Malangnya, pembolehubah faktor dalaman dan luaran tersebut adalah sangat negatif dalam konteks kelestarian prestasi PKS dalam sektor PKS di Nigeria dan demikian, kebanyakan firma PKS di Nigeria hampir tidak dapat bertahan, apatah lagi merealisasikan prestasi lestari dalam persekitaran perniagaan yang kompetitif masa kini. Oleh itu, kajian ini mengkaji konstruk dalaman dan luaran serta kelestarian prestasi PKS di Negeri Akwa Ibom dan Negeri Lagos, Nigeria. Falsafah kajian ini memberi tumpuan terhadap objektiviti kuantitatif. Soal selidik telah digunapakai dan diuji melalui kajian rintis untuk mengesahkan kebolehpercayaan dan kesahihan pembolehubah. Sebanyak 650 soal selidik telah diedarkan untuk dilengkapkan sendiri oleh responden, dan 600 soal selidik telah dilengkapkan dan dikembalikan. Kedua-dua SPSS dan SmartPLS digunakan untuk menghasilkan statistik deskriptif, regresi laluan, dan ujian hipotesis. Hasil kajian menyatakan bahawa sifat kepimpinan keusahawanan pengasas mempunyai korelasi yang kuat dengan kelestarian prestasi sosial dalaman dan luaran dan persekitaran, serta hubungan negatif dengan kelesetarian prestasi ekonomi. Modal insan keusahawanan menunjukkan korelasi positif yang signifikan dengan kestabilan ekonomi, kemampanan sosial dalaman dan luaran, serta kelestarian prestasi persekitaran. Modal sosial keusahawanan pengasas pula menunjukkan hubungan yang kukuh dengan

kelestarian prestasi ekonomi. Kelestarian prestasi sosial dalaman dan luaran mempunyai hubungan yang tidak penting dengan kelsetarian prestasi persekitaran. Sumber kewangan khusus kerajaan dikaitkan secara positif dengan kelestarian prestasi ekonomi, kelestarian prestasi sosial dalaman dan luaran serta kelestarian persekitaran. Sumber bukan kewangan khusus kerajaan menunjukkan korelasi positif dengan kelesetarian prestasi ekonomi dan kelestarian prestasi sosial dalaman dan luaran, manakala terdapat hubungan yang tidak ketara dengan kelestarian prestasi persekitaran. Dasar khusus kerajaan menunjukkan hubungan negatif dengan kelestarian prestasi ekonomi dan persekitaran serta mempunyai kaitan positif dengan kelestarian prestasi sosial dalaman dan luaran. Akhir sekali, infrastruktur awam menunjukkan korelasi negatif dengan kelestarian prestasi ekonomi dan berkait secara positif dengan kelestarian sosial dalaman dan luaran serta kelestarian prestasi persekitaran. Sumbangan kajian ini kepada pengetahuan sedia ada mengenai kedua-dua teori dan implikasi pengurusan telah diterangkan, bersama-sama dengan batasannya, implikasi dan hala tuju penyelidikan untuk kajian masa depan.

Kata kunci: Sifat kepimpinan keusahawanan, Modal insan, Modal sosial, sumber kewangan Kerajaan, sumber bukan kewangan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DECI	LARATION	i
ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABST	TRACT	iii
ABST	TRAK	v
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST	OF TABLES	XV
LIST	OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAI	PTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of the Study	3
1.2.1	SMEs Development in Nigeria	6
1.3	Problem Statement	9
1.4	Research Questions	15
1.5	Research Objective	15
1.6	Significance of Study	16
1.7	Scope of Study	18
1.8	Operational Definition	18

1.9	Structure of Study	21
CHA	PTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	23
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	SMEs' Sustainability: A Research Context	23
2.2.1	Definition and Elements of Business's Sustainability	23
2.2.2	Main Concepts of SMEs Sustainability	29
2.3	SMEs' Sustainability Performance Indicator	31
2.3.1	Economic Sustainable Performance (ESP)	35
2.3.2	Internal Social Sustainability Performance	37
2.3.3	External Social Sustainability Performance	40
2.3.4	Environmental Sustainability Performance	44
2.4	Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs): A Nigerian Perspective	45
2.4.1	SMEs Definition	45
2.5	Theoretical Perspective of SMEs' Sustainability Performance	47
2.5.1	Resource-Based View (RBV) Theory	47
2.5.2	Human Capital Theory (HCT)	54
2.5.3	Social Capital Theory (SCT)	60
2.6	SMEs' Determinants	65
2.6.1	SMEs' Internal Determinant	66
262	SMEs External Determinant	77

2.7	Conceptual Framework Development	108
2.8	Hypothesis Development	111
2.8.1	Founder's Entrepreneurial Leadership Attributes and SMEs Sustainability.	111
2.8.2	Founder's Entrepreneurial Human Capital and SME Sustainability	113
2.8.3	Founder's Entrepreneurial Social Capital and SMEs Sustainability	115
2.8.4	Government-Specific Financial Resources and SME's Sustainability Performance	116
2.8.5	Government-Specific Non-Financial Resources and SME's Sustainability Performance	118
2.8.6	Government-Specific Policies support and SME's Sustainability Performance	120
2.8.7	Public Infrastructural support and SME's Sustainability Performance	122
2.9	Summary	123
СНАІ	PTER 3 METHODOLOGY	124
3.1	Introduction	124
3.2	Research Design Strategy	124
3.3	Data Collection	125
3.3.1	Primary Data Collection	126
3.3.2	Secondary Data Collection	127
3.3.3	Data Collection Techniques	128
3.4	Research Instrument	129
3.4.1	Ouestionnaire Development	130

3.5	Research Population and Sampling	136
3.5.1	Research Population	136
3.5.2	Research Sample	137
3.5.3	Sampling Techniques	139
3.6	Unit of Analysis	140
3.7	Pilot Study	140
3.8	Pilot Study Results	142
3.9	Preliminary Analyses	146
3.10	Statistical Analysis	147
3.11	Partial Least Squares	147
3.12	Measurement Model	150
3.12.1	Descriptive Statistics	150
3.12.2	Factor Analysis	151
3.12.3	Analysis of Correlation	151
3.12.4	Assurance Analysis	152
3.12.5	Construct Reliability	153
3.12.6	Composite Reliability	153
3.12.7	Construct Validity Analysis	153
3.12.8	Convergent Validity	154
3.12.9	Discriminant Validity	154

3.12.10	OAverage Variance Extracted	154
3.12.1	l Common Method Variance	155
3.13	Structural Model	156
3.13.1	Determination Coefficient	156
3.13.2	Effect Size	157
3.13.3	Statistical (Predictive) Relevance	157
3.14	Summary	157
СНАР	TER 4 RESULT	159
4.1	Introduction	159
4.2	Demographic Respondent	159
4.3	Descriptive Statistic	164
4.4	Structural Equation Measurement and Assessment Model	167
4.5	Constructs Outer Loading, Composite Reliability and Validity (Average	
	Variance Extracted Ave)	168
4.5.1	Construct Outer Loading	171
4.5.2	Construct Reliability	171
4.5.3	Construct Convergent Validity	172
4.5.4	Effect Size (f2)	172
4.5.5	R Square	173
4.5.6	Discriminant Validity	174

4.5	7.7 Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)	177
4.5	.8 Inner VIF Values	179
4.5	9.9 Model Fit	180
4.5	5.10 Predictive Relevance (Q-Square) (Construct Crossvalidated Redundancy and	
	Communality)	180
4.6	Path Coefficient and Hypothesis Testing (Bootstrapping)	182
4.6	Entrepreneurial Leadership Attribute is Predicted by Economic Sustainability	
	Performance, Internal Social Sustainability Performance, External Social	
	Sustainability and Environmental Sustainability Performance.	184
4.6	5.2 Entrepreneurial Human Capital is Projected by SMEs' Economic	
	Sustainability Performance, SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability	
	Performance, SMEs External Social Sustainability and SMEs Environmental	
	Sustainability Performance.	185
4.6	5.3 Entrepreneurial Social Capital is Predictable by SMEs' Economic	
	Sustainability Performance, SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability	
	Performance, SMEs External Social Sustainability and SMEs Environmental	
	Sustainability Performance	186
4.6	6.4 Government-Specific Financial Resources Forested by SMEs' Economic	
	Sustainability Performance, SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability	
	Performance, SMEs external Social Sustainability and SMEs Environmental	
	Sustainability Performance.	188

4.6.5	Government-Specific Non-Financial Resources are Predicted by SMEs'	
	Economic Sustainability Performance, SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability	
	Performance, SMEs External Social Sustainability and SMEs Environmental	
	Sustainability Performance.	189
4.6.6	Government-Specific Policies are Predicted by SMEs' Economic	
	Sustainability performance, SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability	
	Performance, SMEs External Social Sustainability and SMEs environmental	
	Sustainability Performance	191
4.6.7	Infrastructure is Predicted by SMEs' Economic Sustainability Performance,	
	SMEs' Internal Social Sustainability Performance, SMEs External Social	
	Sustainability and SMEs Environmental Sustainability Performance.	192
4.7	Summary	193
СНАІ	PTER 5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	194
5.1	Introduction	194
5.2	The setting	194
5.3	Discussion	195
5.3.1	The Direct Effects of the Study	196
5.4	Outcome of the Study	197
5.4.1	SMEs' Internal and External Determinants	197
5.4.2	SMEs' Sustainability Performance	199
5.5	Directional Impact on SMEs' Internal and External on SMEs' Sustainability	
	Performance	201

5.5.1	Direct Impact on SMEs' Internal Determinant and SMEs' Sustainability				
	Performance	201			
5.5.2	Direct Impact on SMEs External Determinant and SMEs' Sustainability				
	Performance	209			
5.6	Study Contribution	217			
5.6.1	Theoretical Implications	217			
5.6.2	Managerial Implications	218			
5.7	Limitation of the Study	220			
5.8	Recommendation for Future Study	221			
5.9	Conclusion	223			
REFE	REFERENCES				
APPE	APPENDICES				

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1:	SME Contributions Toward Employment	5
Table 1.2:	Global Competitiveness (Ranking of 140 countries)	13
Table 2.1:	Sustainability Performance Indicators	28
Table 3.1:	Original Adopted Questionnaires Items	131
Table 3.2:	Pilot Study Results	142
Table 3.3:	Outer Loading, Reliability and Average Extracted	142
Table 3.4:	Constructs Reliability and Validity	144
Table 3.5:	Construct Discriminant Validity	145
Table 3.6:	R-Square	146
Table 3.7:	f-Square	146
Table 4.1:	Demographic Profile	161
Table 4.2:	Descriptive Statistics	165
Table 4.3:	Constructs Outer Loading, Composite Reliability and Validity (Average Variance Extracted Ave	168
Table 4.4:	Effect Size (f Square)	173
Table 4.5:	R Square	174
Table 4.6:	Fornell-Larcker Criterion	176
Table 4.7:	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)	178
Table 4.8:	Inner VIF Values	179
Table 4.9:	Model Fit	180
Table 4.10:	Predictive Relevance (Q-Square) (Construct Crossvalidated Redundancy and Communality)	180
Table 4.11:	Path Coefficient and Hypothesis Testing (Bootstrapping)	182

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Conceptual Framework	111
Figure 3.1: Assessment Model Findings	168

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE Average Variance Extracted Bank of Industry

BOI Bank of Industry Central Bank of Nigeria

CDP Credit delivery program

CAP Capability Acquisition program

CEO Chief Executive Officer

CNSEM Covariance-Based Structural Equation Modeling

CBN Central Bank of Nigeria

CR Composite Reliability

CFA Confirmatory factor Analysis

CMV Common Method Variance

ELA Entrepreneurial Leadership Attribute

EHC Entrepreneurial Human Capital

ESC Entrepreneurial Social Capital

ES Economies Sustainability

ESS External Social Sustainability

EGSEE Economic Governance Social Ethic and Environment

ERSP Economic Recovery Strategy Paper

EL Entrepreneurial Leadership

FSP Family support Program

FEAP Family Economic Advancement Program

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GSP Government specific policy

GSNFS Government Specific Non Financial Support

GSFR Government Specific Financial Resource

GSNFR Government Specific Non Financial Resources

HCT Human Capital Theory

HTMT Heterotrait Monotrait Rotio

ICT Information Community Technology

ISS Internal Social Sustainability

ICSR Internal corporate Social Responsibility

MFB Mirco Finance Banks

MAP Mandatory Attachment Program

MSMEs Micro small and medium enterprise

MSMES Medium and small manufacturing enterprise

MFBS Microfinance Banks

NEAIB National Export and Import Bank

NEEDS National Economic Empowerment Development Strategy

NERFUND National Economic Reconstruction Fund

NPEP National Poverty Eradication Program

NDE National Directorate of Employment

NFC Nigerian Film Corporation

NISEP Nigerian Institute for Social and Economic Research

NBS National Bureau of Statistics

NIDB National Industrial Development Bank

NEXIM National Export and Import Bank

NPEP National Poverty Eradication Program

NACRDB Nigerian Agricultural and Rural Development Bank

OFN Operation Feed the nation

PhD Philosophy Doctor

PLS-SEM Partial Least Structural Equation Modelling

PLS Partial Least Square

PPP Public - Private Partnerships

PBN Peoples Bank of Nigeria

RBV Resource-Based view Theory

RD Research and Development

SCT Social Capital Theory

SMEEIS Small and medium Enterprise Equity Scheme

SMEs Small and medium Enterprise

SMEDAN Small and medium Enterprise Development Agency of Nigeria

SMECGS Samll and medium enterprise credit Guarantee scheme

SMIB Small Medium Industrial Bank

SPSS Statistical Package for social Sciences

VIF Variance Inflation Factor

YES Youth Employment Scheme

NYSC National Youth Service Corps

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This research investigates the internal (founder's entrepreneurial leadership attributes, human and social capital) and external (government-specifics financial resources, government specific non-financial resource, of SMES performance, and government specific policy, and infrastructure) determinants and its (economic, internal and external social and environment) sustainability performance in Akwa Ibom State, South-South Geopolitical Zone and Lagos State, South-West Geopolitical Zone, Nigeria. Generally, SMEs' sustainability refers to the ability to keep a business or venture ongoing (Nwika et el., 2018; Aligaesha et el., 2019; Sarbah & Quaye, 2021).

SME sustainability iterates the future objectives of an organization. SME sustainability is accomplished via the equilibrium performance of economic, social, and environmental elements (Yusoff et al., 2018; Ntele & Zardini, 2018; Oláh et al., 2018). However, economic elements are designated through profitability, liquidity, solvency, aptitude expression of market variations, and competition. Social elements evaluate the role of SMEs in grassroots lives, offer quality products to customers, show concerns about the wellbeing of employees, and are interested in labour matters. The environmental elements in a business' sustainability goals prevent an organization from minimizing the environmental effect of its business activities. Economic advancement, social complexity, and environmental conditions snowball into business rivalry pressure, in which customers demand quality products, effective operations, and commercialization in an immediate environment, and the negative effect of commercial activities is intensified until

environmental degradation occurs (Yusoff et al., 2018; Ntele & Zardini, 2018; Oláh et al., 2018; Horvathova & Mokrisova, 2020).

SME sustainability performance is of utmost importance for a nation such as Nigeria because it supports various economic activities by reducing unemployment, creating job opportunities, and increasing the standard of living. This particular research defines SMEs' sustainability as the ability of SMEs to maintain entrepreneurial performance over time in a manner that is environmentally, economically, and socially viable. In addition, there is evidence that the variables used in this research have been previously examined by earlier studies (Ogunmuyiwa & Okunleye, 2019; Mpi, 2019; Amuda, 2020; Ngutsav & Ijirshar, 2020). However, this was performed in different contexts and not as proposed in this study.

A sound and vigorous SME subdivision is a sine qua non for comprehensive and socially sustainable development, and one of the pertinent features of a booming and increasing or budding economy is a growing and flourishing SME sector (Mujahid & Noman, 2019; Kawira, 2021). SMEs are considered a forerunner and moderator of change and a fulcrum of economic facilitators in industrialized countries as the world is advancing. The study added that advancing features, such as the degree of capital distribution and requirements, management magnitude and configuration, and scarce market accessibility, brand SMEs as less acquiescent to the unsatisfying impacts of growth systems which focus on large, capital-intensive, and highly import-dependent industrial plants and unsuccessful public enterprises (Peprah & Adekoya, 2020).