

# PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING FOR PARTIALLY SHADED SOLAR PV

Alvin Ngu Tien Leong

Bachelor of Engineering

Electrical and Electronics Engineering with Honours

2023

#### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

Grade:

Please tick (√) Final Year Project Report Masters PhD

#### **DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK**

#### **Student's Declaration**:

I, <u>ALVIN NGU TIEN LEONG (69031) FROM FACULTY OF ENGINEERING</u> hereby declare that the work entitled <u>PARTICLE SARM OPTIMIZATION MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING</u> <u>FOR PARTIALLY SHADED SOLAR PV</u> is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

25/07/2023

Date submitted

Alvin Ngu (69031)

Name of the student (Matric No.)

#### **Supervisor's Declaration:**

I <u>Ir. Dr Hazrul bin Mohamed Basri</u> hereby certifies that the work entitled <u>PARTICLE SARM</u> <u>OPTIMIZATION MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING FOR PARTIALLY SHADED SOLAR PV</u> was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a \* partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of <u>BACHELOR OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS</u> <u>ENGINEERING WITH HONOURS</u>, and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work.

Received for examination by:

(Ir. Dr Hazyul bin Mohamed Basri )

Date:\_\_10.07.2023\_\_

I declare that Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick ( $\sqrt{}$ )):

RESTRICTED

**CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)\* (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)\*

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Validation of Project/Thesis

I therefore duly affirm with free consent and willingly declare that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abiding interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS). •
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies for the • purpose of academic and research only and not for other purpose.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitalise the content for the Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic exchange between Higher Learning Institute.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student itself neither third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes the sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student except with UNIMAS permission.

(Date) 25/07/2023 Student signature \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor signature: \_\_\_\_

Current Address: 35E, JALAN AMOY, 96000, SIBU, SARAWAK

Notes: \* If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the period and reasons of confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument is duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

## PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING FOR PARTIALLY SHADED SOLAR PV

# Particle Swarm Optimization Maximum Power Point Tracking For Partially Shaded Solar Pv

ALVIN NGU TIEN LEONG

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering Electrical and Electronics Engineering with Honours

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

2023

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Apart from my efforts, a lot of other people's encouragement and direction are crucial to the project's success. I would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone who contributed to the successful completion of this project.

First, I am pleased to express my sincere gratitude to my final year project advisor, Ir. Dr Hazrul bin Mohamed Basri for his valuable guidance, constructive suggestions, strong motivation, and support.

Finally, I wish to express my heartiest thanks to my friends, parents, and well-wishers for being with me and extending encouragement throughout the project.

### ABSTRACT

Renewable energy sources are becoming increasingly popular to address the problem of global warming by reducing the amount of carbon in the atmosphere. Solar energy is one of the RES that is widely used for generating electricity through a photovoltaic generating system (PGS). Partial shading phenomenon occurs when the solar irradiation or ambient temperature received by the PV modules varies. The maximum power point tracking (MPPT) is crucial to extract the maximum power from PV modules under partial shading circumstances (PSC). However, several MPPT techniques are less effective under PSC due to the multiple peaks on the P-V curve of PGS in terms of the robustness, complexity, and efficiency. This study proposes a particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm based on MPPT for the PGS to operate under PSC. The objectives of this thesis are to synthesis, simulate and evaluate the robustness of PSO algorithm for MPPT under PSC. The energy conversion system and PSO algorithm were simulated in MATLAB/Simulink. The simulation results demonstrate the viability of the developed PSO method because the PSO-based MPPT controller can maximize power from the solar panel under a solar irradiation variation. Through the simulations, the proposed PSO-MPPT algorithm can provide high tracking accuracy and low tracking speed of global maximum power point.

Keywords: MATLAB, MPPT, PGS, PSC, PSO

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWI	LEDGE	MENT		i					
ABSTRAC	Г			ii					
TABLE OF	CONT	ENTS		iii					
LIST OF TA	ABLES			vi					
LIST OF FI	GURE	S		vii					
LIST OF A	BBREV	IATIO	NS	xi					
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION									
	1.1	Backg	round	1					
		1.1.1	Photovoltaic (PV) system	2					
		1.1.2	Grid-Connected Photovoltaic (GCPV) system	3					
		1.1.3	Off-Grid Photovoltaic (OGPV) system	3					
		1.1.4	Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) on PSC	4					
	1.2	Proble	m Statement	6					
	1.3	Object	tives	7					
	1.4	Resear	Research Questions						
	1.5	Thesis Outlines							
Chapter 2	LITE	LITERATURE REVIEW							
	2.1	Overv	iew	9					
	2.2	Relate	d Studies	9					
		2.2.1	Incremental Conductance	9					
		2.2.2	Perturb & Observe	13					
		2.2.3	Artificial Neural Network	16					
		2.2.4	Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC)	20					
		2.2.5	Ant Colony Optimization	23					
	2.3	Particl	e swarm optimization	26					

		2.3.1 Overview of PSO	26
		2.3.2 PSO previous study	28
	2.4	Research Gap	35
	2.5	Summary	37
Chapter 3	MET	THODOLOGY	38
	3.1	Overview	38
	3.2	Block Diagram	38
	3.3	PV Array	39
	3.4	DC-DC Boost Converter	41
	3.5	Proposed PSO's flowchart	44
	3.6	Summary	46
Chapter 4	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	47
	4.1	Overview	47
	4.2	MATLAB/Simulink analysis	47
	4.3	Simulation results	49
		4.3.1 Case 1	52
		4.3.2 Case 2	61
		4.3.3 Case 3	70
		4.3.4 Comparison between PSO and P&O	82
	4.4	Discussion	86
	4.5	Summary	93
Chapter 5	CON	ICLUSION	94
	5.1	Limitations and Further Recommendations	95
REFERENC	CES		97
APPENDIX	Α		105
APPENDIX	В		106

APPENDIX C	107
APPENDIX D	110

### LIST OF TABLES

### Table

### Page

Table 2.1: An evaluation of MPPT methods	35
Table 2.2: Comparison of MPPT techniques [45]	36
Table 3.1: Details of the photovoltaic (PV) module	41
Table 3.2: Input details of DC-DC boost converter	42
Table 3.3: Output details for DC-DC boost converter	43
Table 3.4: PSO algorithm parameters	45
Table 4.1: Shading condition of three cases	49
Table 4.2: Partial shading conditions of case 1A, 1B and IC	52
Table 4.3: Partial shading conditions of case 2A, 2B and 2C	61
Table 4.4: Partial shading conditions of case 3A, 3B and 3C	71
Table 4.5: Shading conditions of three cases	83
Table 4.6: Shading conditions of case 1A, 1B and 1C	86
Table 4.7: Shading scenarios of case 2A, 2B and 2C	88
Table 4.8: Shading scenarios of case 3A, 3B and 3C	90
Table 4.9: Comparison of four cases between P&O and PSO	91

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

## Figure

Figure 1.1: Solar capacity in the world [2]	2
Figure 1.2: Block diagram of GCPV [4]	3
Figure 1.3: Block diagram of OGPV [5]	4
Figure 1.4: MPP curve [7]	4
Figure 1.5: I-V Characteristics Curve	5
Figure 1.6: P-V Characteristics Curve	5
Figure 1.7: P-V curve under PSC [10]	6
Figure 2.1: Flow chart of incremental conductance [12]	11
Figure 2.2: Simulation conditions with different insolation of shade. a) $100W/m2$ b)	
700W/m2 [13]	11
Figure 2.3: Flow chart of enhanced INC algorithm [14]	12
Figure 2.4: MPPT with improved INC and INC method under temperature and solar	
irradiations variation [15]	13
Figure 2.5: P&O flowchart by Liu et al [17]	14
Figure 2.6: Output Voltage under PSC [19]	14
Figure 2.7: Simulation results by the Perturb & Observe approach [20]	15
Figure 2.8: Multivariable P&O flowchart	15
Figure 2.9: MPPT efficiency results in steady state [22]	16
Figure 2.10: Neural network activation function formulation	17
Figure 2.11: Neural network structure for MPPT [27]	18
Figure 2.12: MPP tracking time. a) ANN method, b) INC method	19
Figure 2.13: The irradiance-based ANN performed with an average efficiency [30]	19
Figure 2.14: Power-voltage characteristics curve of under SP1 and SP4 [31]	20
Figure 2.15: Power output of PV array [31]	20
Figure 2.16: Simulation result of proposed FLC [35]	21
Figure 2.17: Comparison between HC, FLC and SFLC	22
Figure 2.18: Proposed P-ANFIS flowchart [37]	22
Figure 2.19: ACO Flow chart	23
Figure 2.20: Power versus iteration by the ACO method [39]	24

Figure 2.21: Hybrid ACO-MRLR flowchart [40]	25
Figure 2.22: Standard PSO flowchart [41]	27
Figure 2.23: Experimental waveforms of proposed PSO method [42]	28
Figure 2.24: OD-MPPT algorithm flowchart [44]	29
Figure 2.25: Simulation results of the proposed PSO approach [45]	30
Figure 2.26: PSO tracking waveforms a) Non-linear variation b) Linear variation [4	6]30
Figure 2.27: Speed comparison for each scenario [47]	31
Figure 2.28: a) Experiment function b) Performance of APSO [48]	32
Figure 2.29: Results of PV Output Power [49]	32
Figure 2.30: Number of mathematical operations with different MPPT algorithm	
method [50]	33
Figure 2.31: Simulation results of proposed PSO-OCC algorithm [51]	33
Figure 2.32: Power output result of improved PSO method [52]	34
Figure 2.33: Proposed PSO-based MPPT approach flowchart [53]	34
Figure 2.34: Simulation of PV module output power [53]	35
Figure 3.1: PSO MPPT block diagram for partially shaded PV system	39
Figure 3.2: Solar PV system with three series connected PV modules	40
Figure 3.3: Series connection of four PV modules	40
Figure 3.4: DC-DC boost converter	42
Figure 3.5: Proposed PSO flow chart	44
Figure 4.1: PSO-MPPT for partially shaded PV system	48
Figure 4.2: P&O-MPPT for partially shaded solar PV system	51
Figure 4.3: P&O algorithm block diagram	51
Figure 4.4: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance levels in ca	.se
1A	53
Figure 4.5: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 1A	53
Figure 4.6: Convergence characteristics curves of case 1A based on (a) power versu	IS
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	55
Figure 4.7: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance levels in ca	se
1B	56
Figure 4.8: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 1B	56
Figure 4.9: Convergence characteristics curves of case 1B based on a) power versus	3
time b) voltage versus time c) current versus time	58

Figure 4.10: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
1C	59
Figure 4.11: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 1C	59
Figure 4.12: Convergence characteristics curves of case 1C based on (a) pow	ver versus
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	61
Figure 4.13: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
2A	62
Figure 4.14: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 2A	63
Figure 4.15: Convergence characteristics curves of case 2A based on (a) pow	ver versus
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	64
Figure 4.16: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
	65
Figure 4.17: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 2B	66
Figure 4.18: Convergence characteristics curves of case 2B based on (a) pow	ver versus
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	67
Figure 4.19: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
2C	68
Figure 4.20: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 2C	69
Figure 4.21: Convergence characteristics curves of case 2C based on (a) pow	ver versus
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	70
Figure 4.22: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
3A	72
Figure 4.23: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 3A	73
Figure 4.24: Convergence characteristics curves of case 3A based on (a) pow	ver versus
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	74
Figure 4.25: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
3B	75
Figure 4.26: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 3B	76
Figure 4.27: Convergence characteristics curves of case 3B based on a) power	er versus
time b) voltage versus time c) current versus time	78
Figure 4.28: Maximum power point of PV module at different irradiance lev	els in case
3C	79
Figure 4.29: Power-voltage characteristics curve of case 3C	80

Figure 4.30: Convergence characteristics curves of case 3C based on (a) power versus	S
time (b) voltage versus time (c) current versus time	82
Figure 4.31: GMPP tracking for case 1B	84
Figure 4.32: GMPP tracking for case 2B	85
Figure 4.33: GMPP tracking for case 3B	86

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	:	Alternating Current										
ACO	:	Ant Colony Optimization										
ACO-MRLR	:	Ant Colony Optimization-Modified Robust Linear Regression										
AF-P&O	:	Alpha Scaling Factor Perturb & Observe										
ANN	:	Artificial Neural Network										
AP-PSO	:	Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization										
CV	:	Constant Voltage										
DC	:	Direct Current										
DE	:	Differential Evolution										
DPSO	:	Deterministic Particle Swarm Optimization										
FLC	:	Fuzzy Logic Controller										
GCPV	:	Grid Connected Photovoltaic										
GMPP	:	Global Maximum Power Point										
INC	:	Incremental Conductance										
ISE	:	Integral Square Error										
I-V	:	Current versus Voltage										
LI	:	Lagrangian Interpolation										
LMPP	:	Local Maximum Power Point										
MPP	:	Maximum Power Point										
MPPT	:	Maximum Power Point Tracker										
OGPV	:	Off Grid Photovoltaic										
OP	:	Operating Point										
P-ANFIS	:	Particle-Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Interference System										

P&O	:	Perturb & Observe
PSC	:	Partial Shading Condition
PSO	:	Particle Swarm Optimization
PSO-OCC	:	Particle Swarm Optimization- One Cycle Control
PSO-RB	:	Particle Swarm Optimization- Reducing Search Boundaries
PV	:	Photovoltaic
P-V	:	Power versus Voltage
PWM	:	Pulse Width Modulation
SMC	:	Sequential Monte Carlo, Sliding Mode Controller
SP	:	Shading Parameters

## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### 1.1 Background

The world energy demand is increasing annually. The problem of global warming can be solved by reducing the amount of carbon in the atmosphere by using renewable energy sources. The renewable energy sources (RES) are growing exponentially due to its advantages. Since they are widely deployed, they become cheaper due to huge supply chains and economics scale. There are several benefits of RES such us energy efficiency, environmentally friendly, job opportunity and economic growth. Solar energy is one of the vital RES which is utilized for the generation of electricity. The most abundant source of energy on the planet is solar energy. An estimated 173,000 *TW* of solar energy strikes the surface of the globe each day [1]. Due to its straightforward layout, solar PV systems are currently dominating among various renewable energy sources. According to Renewable Energy Statistics 2022, the world installed PV capacity in 2021 reached 843.1 *GW* with a significant increase compared to 72.7 *GW* in 2011 [2]. In Malaysia, the installed PV power is gradually increasing from 0.1 *GW* until 1.8 *GW* in 2020 as demonstrated in Figure 1.1.

#### **Renewable energy: Solar capacity**

Installed shats whole (D) () serves*												Growth rate	per annum	Charry
Gigawatts	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2021	2011-21	2021
Canada	0.6	0.8	1.2	1.8	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.6	8.9%	19.2%	0.4%
Mexico	+	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	1.1	2.5	4.4	5.1	7.0	36.8%	66.7%	0.8%
US	5.2	8.1	11.8	16.0	21.7	33.0	41.4	49.8	59.1	73.8	93.7	27.3%	33.6%	11.1%
Total North America	5.8	9.0	13.1	18.0	24.5	36.3	45.4	55.4	66.8	82.3	104.4	27.2%	33.4%	12.4%
Argentina	+	+	t -	t -	t -	t –	t -	0.2	0.4	0.8	1.1	40.6%	96.4%	0.1%
Brazil	†	1	1		t	0.1	1.2	2.4	4.6	7.9	13.1	66.1%	115.3%	1.5%
Chile	-	1		0.2	0.6	1.1	1.8	2.1	2./	3.2	4.4	36.4%	na 60.7%	0.5%
Other S & Cent America	02	03	04	06	0.4	1.1	1.8	2.2	2.8	3.2	3.8	20.3%	36.0%	0.1%
Total S. & Cent. America	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.8	1.9	2.8	5.3	7.5	11.0	15.5	22.8	47.2%	61.6%	2.7%
Austria	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.7	32.1%	31.5%	0.3%
Belgium	2.0	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.6	4.0	4.6	5.6	6.6	18.4%	12.8%	0.8%
Bulgaria	0.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	8.4%	22.7%	0.1%
Czech Republic	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.1%	1.0%	0.3%
France	30	0.4	5.3	6.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	9.7	10.8	12.0	1.5	22.7%	17.2%	1.7%
Germany	25.9	34.1	36.7	37.9	39.2	40.7	42.3	45.2	48.9	53.7	58.5	91%	8.5%	6.9%
Greece	0.6	1.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.3	3.5	7.7%	19.2%	0.4%
Hungary	+	t	t -	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	1.4	2.1	2.1	0.3%	87.3%	0.3%
Italy	13.1	16.8	18.2	18.6	18.9	19.3	19.7	20.1	20.9	21.7	22.7	5.1%	5.6%	2.7%
Netherlands	0.1	0.3	0.7	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.9	4.6	7.2	10.9	14.2	30.5%	57.8%	1.7%
Portugal	02	02	03	04	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.9	4.0	0.3	64.2%	26.5%	0.7%
Romania	1	Ť	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4%	106.3%	0.2%
Slovakia	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Spain	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	8.8	10.3	13.6	33.1%	12.3%	1.6%
Sweden		t		0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	1.1	1.6	42.9%	62.9%	0.2%
Switzerland	0.2	0.4	0.8	1.1	1.4	1./	1.9	2.2	2.5	3.0	3.4	16.3%	31.5%	0.4%
Ukraine	02	04	07	08	0.2	1.0	12	20	5.9	7.3	8.1	10.3%	45.6%	1.0%
United Kingdom	1.0	1.8	2.9	5.5	9.6	11.9	12.8	13.1	13.3	13.5	13.7	2.0%	29.9%	1.6%
Other Europe	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.6	2.3	3.0	28.7%	35.9%	0.4%
Total Europe	53.6	71.7	81.9	88.8	97.5	104.6	113.3	124.2	146.0	167.0	191.1	14.7%	13.6%	22.7%
Russian Federation	1	1	1	1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.3	1.4	1.7	16.7%	206.1%	0.2%
Total CIS	†	†	†	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	1.2	2.6	3.3	4.9	47.1%	118.1%	0.6%
Israel	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.2	2.3	4.0%	28.4%	0.3%
Jordan	+	+	1	+	+	0.3	0.4	0.7	1.0	14	1.5	5.3%	185.0%	0.2%
United Arab Emirates	÷	÷.	÷	÷	÷	t	0.3	0.5	1.8	2.2	2.6	18.4%	70.2%	0.3%
Other Middle East	+	t (	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.5	33.8%	73.8%	0.2%
Total Middle East	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.5	2.1	3.3	5.7	7.0	8.0	13.7%	44.0%	0.9%
Algeria	-	-	-	Ť	t	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3%	na	0.1%
Egypt	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.2	0.7	1.6	1.7	1.7	0.3%	60.1%	0.2%
Morocco	1	1	0.2		12	20	21	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	21.1%	32.4%	0.0%
Other Africa	02	03	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.7	10	1.4	1.8	1.9	2.3	4.5%	25.6%	0.7%
Total Africa	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.6	1.9	3.0	4.7	7.2	8.4	9.7	10.3	6.5%	44.1%	1.2%
Australia	2.5	3.8	4.6	5.3	5.9	6.7	7.4	8.6	13.0	17.3	19.1	10.3%	22.7%	2.3%
China	3.1	6.7	17.7	28.4	43.5	77.8	130.8	175.0	204.6	253.4	306.4	21.2%	58.3%	36.3%
India	0.6	1.0	1.4	3.4	5.4	9.7	17.9	27.1	34.9	39.0	49.3	26.7%	56.4%	5.9%
Japan Malaysia	4.9	0.0	13.6	23.3	34.2	42.0	49.5	56.2	03.2	09.8	18	0.0%	31.2%	0.2%
Pakistan	+	+	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.9	1.1	26.3%	50.1%	0.2%
Philippines	÷.	÷	Ť	Ť	0.2	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.4	29.8%	91.9%	0.2%
South Korea	0.7	1.0	1.6	2.5	3.6	4.5	5.8	8.1	12.0	14.6	18.2	24.9%	37.9%	2.2%
Taiwan	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.8	2.7	4.1	5.8	7.7	32.7%	50.4%	0.9%
Vieteen	0.1	0.4	0.8	1.3	1.4	2.4	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.3%	44.1%	0.4%
Other Asia Pacific	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.2	1.8	2.3	2.8	20.8%	37.3%	2.0%
Total Asia Pacific	12.1	20.0	40.6	65.6	96.2	146.8	218.8	284.2	344.1	425.3	501.6	18.3%	45.1%	59.5%
Total World	72.2	101.7	137.2	175.6	223.2	295.2	390.2	483.0	584.7	710.3	843.1	19.0%	27.9%	100.0%

Figure 1.1: Solar capacity in the world [2]

### 1.1.1 Photovoltaic (PV) system

A solar panel is a collection of photovoltaic solar cells arranged on a rectangular frame. The production of a photovoltaic (PV) module entails the connection of multiple solar cells in a series configuration. These PV modules are then interconnected either in parallel or series to generate the desired output current and voltage. The enduring benefits and minimal maintenance demands of solar photovoltaic (PV) energy systems have resulted in their widespread adoption and commercialization across numerous nations. To deal with the nonlinear properties of PV arrays is the main problem that comes with deploying PV power generation systems. Temperature and solar irradiance both affect the PV's properties. Due to cloud shading, nearby structures, or nearby trees, a PV array suffers varying levels of irradiance. There are grid-connected photovoltaic system and standalone photovoltaic system.

### 1.1.2 Grid-Connected Photovoltaic (GCPV) system

The electrical utility grid system incorporates the GCPV systems. In GCPV, inverters convert the array's DC power into AC power that meets the utility grid's voltage and power quality criteria. With the aid of an inverter, a bi-directional interface is created between the PV system and the utility power output. When the PV system's power output exceeds the consumer demand, the bi-directional interface or features allow the PV panels to supply AC loads and deliver excess electricity back to the grid [3]. The excess electricity produced by GCPV system is sent back to the electrical grid, which then supplies power when there is not enough sunlight. Figure 1.2 represents the schematic diagram for the GCPV system.



Figure 1.2: Block diagram of GCPV [4]

### 1.1.3 Off-Grid Photovoltaic (OGPV) system

A standalone PV system is also known as off-grid solar system which is not connected to the power grid. OGPV systems are beneficial in remote areas, where the connection to the grid system is problematic. Due to their independence from energy storage, these systems are suitable for powering devices like water pumps, cooling fans, and solar thermal heating systems. The independent PV system with backup batteries can provide people access to energy when there isn't any sunshine, as at night or when it is overcast. A typical standalone PV system would have PV modules, batteries, and a solar charge controller. Additionally, an inverter may also be a part of the system, converting the DC power from the PV modules to the AC power needed by standard electrical appliances. Figure 1.3 shows a schematic illustration of a standalone system.



Figure 1.3: Block diagram of OGPV [5]

### 1.1.4 Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) on PSC

A single PV cell generates 1 or 2 W of power [6]. A combination of PV cells known as PV module are connected in series. Modules are then connected to form arrays which are the fundamental items of the PV system. A solar PV array contains bypass diodes and blocking diodes. When a PV module in a string is malfunctioning, blocking diodes impede the electric current from flowing backward while bypass diodes behave as open circuits. The PV module characteristics curve contains a maximum power point (MPP) where the PV system can function at its peak efficiency. Figure 1.4 shows the combination of power-voltage and current-voltage curve. MPP is the area of a power (I-V) curve where the product of the corresponding current and voltage, or the maximum power output, is greatest.



Figure 1.4: MPP curve [7]

Regarding to the different solar irradiance and temperature, the power-voltage and current-voltage curves of PV panels exhibit a non-linear pattern. as shown in Figure 1.5 and Figure 1.6. For PV modules, there is only real MPP where it generates the most power under the solar temperature circumstances [8].



Figure 1.5: I-V Characteristics Curve



Figure 1.6: P-V Characteristics Curve

However, the partial shading condition of PV modules occur when an object is moving and blocking the sunlight from the PV arrays, PV modules will receive lesser solar irradiation, thereby decreasing the output current generated by PV modules. This situation is known as partial shading condition (PSC) which each of them experience different irradiation and temperature. PV modules under PSC experience non-uniform illumination and generate heat known as hot-spot effect [9]. The cells will be damaged under high temperature, turning it into an open circuit. Hence, for the partially shaded PV modules, the bypass diode conducts and behave as an open circuit and minimize power loss caused by the shading effect. Under PSC, the PV arrays are bypassed, thereby forming several peaks in the I-V and P-V characteristic curve. There will only be one global MPP (GMPP) among all the peaks, with the remaining peaks being local MPPs as illustrated in Figure 1.7 [10]. The local MPP represents the individual peak power point for a specific shaded or partially illuminated section of the PV system, allowing it to operate at its maximum efficiency despite shading effects.



Figure 1.7: P-V curve under PSC [10]

In order to maximize the power output of a PV system, the MPP can be tracked using a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm, which adjusts the operating point of the PV array to follow the MPP as the solar irradiance and other operating conditions change. Maximum power point tracker (MPPT) is typically used in conjunction with the power converter to optimize the utilization of large arrays of PV modules. The MPPT approach helps the PV system produce the highest amount of output power from the PV arrays.

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Particularly in low irradiation conditions, the efficiency of PGS-based energy generation is poor (9–17%), and the amount of electricity produced by solar arrays frequently varies with the weather, which is a key drawback for PV generation systems. There are numerous MPPT algorithms such as incremental conductance, perturb and observe (P&O), fractional open circuit voltage and ripple correlation which have been proposed over the years. These techniques perform well under constant solar irradiance and temperature. The P-V characteristics curve, however, will exhibit several peaks and become more complex under PSC. Since PV modules in the same string undergo different solar irradiance, the performance of the conventional MPPT algorithms is less efficient. These techniques obey the hill climbing principle and only locate a local MPP as the P-V curve is multimodal. Although the structures are simple and are easy to be

implemented, the PV system may not function at the MPP as the solar power from the PV arrays are not fully utilized under the conditions of partial shading. Therefore, the utilization of a suitable maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithm is crucial in enhancing the efficiency and extracting the maximum available power from the photovoltaic (PV) system.

### 1.3 **Objectives**

The objective of this project is to present a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) approach, which investigates the output characteristics of a photovoltaic (PV) system under partial shading conditions of the PV array. The following goals must be attained for this project to achieve its intended goals:

- i. To synthesize a Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm for solar PV under partial shading condition
- ii. To simulate a PSO algorithm on MATLAB environment
- iii. To evaluate the robustness of PSO algorithm under various working conditions

### 1.4 Research Questions

There are some of the research questions for this study are guided by the following questions:

- i. Is the PSO manage to track the GMPP under PSC?
- ii. Does the MATLAB software applicable in the real working condition of PSC?
- iii. What parameters are used in order to evaluate the robustness of PSO?

#### **1.5** Thesis Outlines

Introduction, literature reviews, methodology, results, and discussion, as well as conclusion and recommendations, comprise the five chapters of this report.

Chapter 1 covers the project's background, present global concerns, aims, and project outlines. The objectives of this project explain the goal.