RESEARCH ARTICLE



Assessing fitness-for-purpose and comparing the suitability of

COVID-19 multi-country models for local contexts and users

[version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with

reservations]

Hannah Clapham¹, Mohamed Gad², Adrian Gheorghe², Raymond Hutubessy¹⁰³, Itamar Megiddo¹⁰⁴, Christopher Painter¹⁰⁵, Francis Ruiz¹⁰², Nejma Cheikh⁶, Marelize Gorgens⁶, Thomas Wilkinson⁶, Marc Brisson⁷, Nigel Gay⁸, Jane Labadin¹⁰⁹, Jodie McVernon¹⁰, Paula M. Luz¹⁰¹¹, Wilfred Ndifon¹², Brooke E. Nichols¹⁰¹³⁻¹⁵, Shankar Prinja¹⁶, Joshua Salomon¹⁷, Akhona Tshangela¹⁸

- ¹National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore
- ²Imperial College London, London, UK
- ³World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁴University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK
- ⁵Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program, Nonthaburi, Thailand
- ⁶World Bank Group, Washington D.C., USA
- ⁷Laval University, Quebec City, Canada
- ⁸Independent Researcher, London, UK
- ⁹Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak, Malaysia
- ¹⁰The Peter Docherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Australia
- ¹¹Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- ¹²African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kigali, Rwanda
- ¹³Department of Global Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, USA
- ¹⁴Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of
- Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

countries (LMICs), and other model users with an overview of the aims, capabilities and limits of the main multi-country COVID-19

- ¹⁵Department of Medical Microbiology, Amsterdam University Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ¹⁶Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India
- ¹⁷Stanford University, Stanford, USA
- ¹⁸Africa CDC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

V1 First published: 12 May 2021, 5:79 https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13224.1	Open Peer R	Open Peer Review Approval Status 💉 ?		
Latest published: 12 May 2021, 5 :79 https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13224.1	Approval Sta			
		1	2	
Abstract Background: Mathematical models have been used throughout the COVID-19 pandemic to inform policymaking decisions. The COVID-19		view	? view	
Multi-Model Comparison Collaboration (CMCC) was established to provide country governments, particularly low- and middle-income	1. Downson	a constantino de la c	Mahidal	

1. Borwornsom Leerapan ២, Mahidol

models to optimise their usefulness in the COVID-19 response. Methods: Seven models were identified that satisfied the inclusion criteria for the model comparison and had creators that were willing to participate in this analysis. A questionnaire, extraction tables and interview structure were developed to be used for each model, these tools had the aim of capturing the model characteristics deemed of greatest importance based on discussions with the Policy Group. The questionnaires were first completed by the CMCC Technical group using publicly available information, before further clarification and verification was obtained during interviews with the model developers. The fitness-for-purpose flow chart for assessing the appropriateness for use of different COVID-19 models was developed jointly by the CMCC Technical Group and Policy Group. Results: A flow chart of key questions to assess the fitness-forpurpose of commonly used COVID-19 epidemiological models was developed, with focus placed on their use in LMICs. Furthermore, each model was summarised with a description of the main characteristics, as well as the level of engagement and expertise required to use or

adapt these models to LMIC settings. **Conclusions:** This work formalises a process for engagement with models, which is often done on an ad-hoc basis, with recommendations for both policymakers and model developers and should improve modelling use in policy decision making.

Keywords

COVID-19, mathematical modelling, health economics, epidemiological modelling, policymaking.

University, Bangkok, Thailand

 Gang Sun (10), School of Health Management, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.