

# PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Fiorencia Culla Anak Sengeleng

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA	SARAWAK	,	
	Grade: _	A-	-
	<b>Please tick o</b> Final Year Pr		$\boxtimes$
	Masters		
	PhD		
DECLARATION OF ORIGI	INAL WORK		
This declaration is made on the 5 day of JULY year 2022.			
Student's Declaration:			
I, FIORENCIA CULLA ANAK SENGELENG, 73034, FAC			
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, hereby declare that the work of FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A CO work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicit work been written for me by another person.	entitled, PREVALENCE OMPREHENSIVE REVIE com any other sources wit	AND RISK EW is my orig th the exception	ginal
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, hereby declare that the work of FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A CO work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from the where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicit	entitled, PREVALENCE OMPREHENSIVE REVIE com any other sources wit	AND RISK EW is my orig th the exception	ginal
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, hereby declare that the work of FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A CO work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from the work of the work of the work been written for me by another person.	entitled, PREVALENCE OMPREHENSIVE REVIE com any other sources wit	AND RISK EW is my orig th the exception y part of the	ginal
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, hereby declare that the work of FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A CO work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from the work of the work of the work been written for me by another person.	entitled, PREVALENCE of DMPREHENSIVE REVIEW any other sources with the text, nor has an	AND RISK EW is my orig th the exception y part of the	ginal
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, hereby declare that the work of FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A CO work. I have not copied from any other students' work or fix where due—reference or acknowledgement is made explicit work been written for me by another person.  5 JULY 2022——————————————————————————————————	entitled, PREVALENCE EMPREHENSIVE REVIEW om any other sources with the sources with the source of th	AND RISK EW is my orig th the exception ay part of the ENCE AND F EW was prep "FACULTY" VITH HONO	rinal on RISK ared as a URS

I declare this Project/Thes	is is classified as (Please tick ( $$ )):
□ CONFIDENTIAL	(Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act
□ RESTRICTED	1972) * (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation
<b>□</b> OPEN ACCESS	where research was done) *
·	sis is to be submitted to the Centre for Academic Information Services UNIMAS Institutional Repository (UNIMAS IR) (Please tick $()$ ):
$oxed{oxed}$ YES $oxed{\Box}$ NO	
Validation of Project/T	nesis
• •	ith free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest
<ul> <li>(UNIMAS).</li> <li>The Centre for the Project/The</li> <li>The Centre for content to be well.</li> <li>The Centre for the Project/The Higher Learni</li> <li>No dispute or party on this F</li> <li>This Project/T distributed, put</li> </ul>	Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak  Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis for academic and research purposes only and not for other purposes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitize the exploaded into Local Content Database.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies esis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other eng Institutes.  The Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies es
Student's signature:  Date: 5 5	Supervisor's signature:  Output  Date:  10-7-2022
Current Address: RUMAH ANYAI S 98200 NIAH SARA	SUNGAI SAEH PUTIH, AWAK
	sis is <b>CONFIDENTIAL</b> or <b>RESTRICTED</b> , please attach together as corganisation with the date of restriction indicated, and the reasons for

the confidentiality and restriction.

# PREVALENCE AND RISK FACTORS OF LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

## FIORENCIA CULLA ANAK SENGELENG

This project is submitted
in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a
Bachelor of Science with Honours
(Cognitive Science)

Faculty of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK
(2022)

The project entitled 'Prevalence and risk factors of language delay in chil review' was prepared by Fiorencia Culla Anak Sengeleng and submit Cognitive Sciences and Human Development in partial fulfillment of Bachelor of Science with Honours (Cognitive Science).	itted to the Faculty of
Pagaig	and for exemination by
RECEIV	ved for examination by
	Jlee
(ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR DR JU	LIA LEE AI CHENG)
	Date: 10-7-2022
	10-7-2022
	Grade
	A-

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

First and foremost, praise and thank the Almighty, for His showers of blessings throughout my work to be completed successfully.

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, AP Dr. Julia Lee Ai Cheng, for providing invaluable guidance throughout this project. Her dynamism, vision, sincerity, and motivation have deeply inspired me. She has taught me the methodology to carry out the project and to present the works as clearly as possible. It was a great privilege and honor to work and study under her guidance. I am extremely grateful for what she has offered me. I would also like to thank her for her friendship, empathy, and great sense of humor. I am extending my heartfelt thanks to her family for their acceptance and patience during the discussion I had with her on project work and the preparation.

I am extremely grateful to my parents for their love, prayers, care, and sacrifices in conducting and preparing me for my future. I am very much thankful to my family for their love, understanding, prayers, and continuing support to complete this project work.

Finally, my thanks go to all the people who have supported me to complete the project work directly or indirectly.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
ABSTRACT	v
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO: METHOD	3
CHAPTER THREE: FINDINGS	
CHAPTER FOUR: DISCUSSION	23
REFERENCES	24

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. LITERATURE SEARCH FOR RISK FACTORS FOR LANGUAGE	
DELAY IN CHILDREN	4
TABLE 2. LITERATURE SEARCH FOR THE PREVALENCE OF CHILDREN	
WITH LANGUAGE DELAY	6

#### **ABSTRACT**

Language delays are relatively common. Children experience language delay when they do not meet the language developmental stages for their age. Their linguistic skills maybe develop at a slightly slower pace than those of other youngsters. They may struggle to express themselves or socialize with other people. Hearing, speech, and cognitive issues might all contribute to their delay. Language delays differ from speech delays, which occur when the mechanical and motor parts of speech production take longer to mature. Many people mix up language delay with speech delay or just late talker. There are distinct tell-tale indications and deciding variables for each of these. Language is a medium of communication, and speech is the verbal motor production of language. Because language and speech are separate, they may be delayed separately. For example, a child's speech may be delayed (i.e., they are unable to generate comprehensible speech sounds), but their language is not delayed since they utilize Sign Language. Furthermore, language delay refers to the slower advancement of all areas of language development, not simply speaking. The following is a comprehensive review of over 50 articles investigating the prevalence and risk factors of language delay in children. Articles published between 2000 and 2022 were found using the keywords "early intervention", "late bloomers", "language delay", "risk factors of language delay", "the late talker", and "prevalence of language delay among children" and "language development in children". This review provides an overview of the literature on prevalence and risk factors of language delay in children and suggestions treatments or ways to avoid or at least minimize the factors of language delay in children.

*Keywords*: early intervention, late bloomers, language delay, risk factors of language delay, the late talker, prevalence of language delay among children, and language development in children.

#### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

The development of a child's language is essential. The social connections, attitude, and intellectual capacity of a kid are all influenced by the language development of that child. Language development helps many other aspects of growth, including cognitive, social, and language development. Language is created by sounds and movements, which are subsequently followed by words and phrases. By conversing with their kids frequently and responding to what they say, parents may aid in their language development.

Parents commonly mistakenly believe that their young child's lack of communication is due to shyness, which is not always the case. However, owing to a more serious issue, some children's language development is delayed. If the youngsters do not fulfill the language developmental targets for their age, they may have a language delay. Their verbal talents may develop at a slower rate than other children's. They may have trouble communicating with and understanding people. According to reports, these youngsters do not talk as well as other children their age (Leung & Kao, 2000). On reflection, there is fear that these youngsters may have learning challenges, which might have a negative influence on their future. In a study done in Malaysia by Tan and Yadav (2008), 68 children with disabilities out of 900 were found to have language delays. A language delay occurs when a kid has difficulties understanding and/or using spoken language. These are uncommon problems for a youngster of this age. Communication breakdowns are common. 5 to 10% of preschool-aged children have delayed language development, according to the University of Michigan Health System (2017).

Seefeldt and Wasik (2006) found that children between the ages of 3 and 5 showed rapid language development in terms of word competency. At the age of three, children may master 900-1000 words, and by the age of four, they can master 4000-6000 words. Children's vocabulary can grow by as much as 5000-8000 words by the age of five. A child is characterized by developmental delay if he or she does not meet average developmental stages by the expected age. Speech is the sound produced, but language reflects thought. The capacity to communicate well is a good measure of a child's intelligence and general development. A speech or developmental language deficit is not the same as a language delay. The term "language delay" refers to a child's difficulty understanding and/or using spoken language.

According to the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), language deficiencies are unusual for kids this age (2021). Language difficulties have been connected to Down syndrome, deafness, hearing loss, and autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

Many of these occur naturally. Children's language development happens at varied rates. It may not be possible to tell whether a child has a language delay by comparing them to other kids their same age (Positive Parenting Malaysia, 2018). Children who struggle with language need guidance as soon as possible. Most of the time, it is challenging to pinpoint and anticipate the causes of language delay. The prevalence and risk factors for language delay in children still need to be explained, despite the extensive study on the subject.

#### **RESEARCH OBJECTIVE**

This comprehensive review is done to explore:

- I. To review the risk factors for language delay in children.
- II. To review the prevalence of children with language delay.

## **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

The following research questions arise from the preceding discussion:

- I. What are the risk factors of language delay in children?
- II. What is the prevalence of children with language delay?

#### **CHAPTER TWO: METHOD**

The most dependable research search engines, PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, ResearchGate, Psychnet, and APA PsycINFO, were used to find articles utilising the keywords, "early intervention", "late bloomers", "language delay", "risk factors of language delay", "the late talker", "prevalence language delay among children" and "language development in children". Those that investigated the prevalence and risk factors for language delay in children published from the years 2000 to 2022 were selected for review. In total, 30 articles met the search criteria. The following is a comprehensive review of over 50 articles investigating the prevalence and risk factors of language delay in children. Out of 30 articles selected, a total of fifteen articles identified the risk factors for language delay in children and were included in Table 1. Meanwhile, another fifteen articles identified the prevalence of children with language delay were included in Table 2. The other article identified common information about language delay in children.

TABLE 1. LITERATURE SEARCH FOR RISK FACTORS FOR LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN

Descriptive cross- Nivedita et al. Children Speech and Language Childhood s	naach and
	peech and
sectional study (2016) (Less than three Delay language del	lay
years of age) prevalence a	and risk
factors.	
A prospective Suzanne et al. Children aged 2 Language delay Early language	nge delay and
cohort study: (2009) years children's ri	sk factors.
secondary data	
retrieving	
Aggregation Naseem, C. Children None (Language The influence	ce of family
study (2003) development) history and a	another risk
factors on la	nguage
developmen	t
Cross-sectional Premkumar et al. Children up to 6 Language delay There are bio	ological and
descriptive study (2017) years of age environment	tal risk
factors linke	d to the
incidence of	language
delay in you	ng children.
Population-based Pirjo, K. et al. Children (up to Language delay Identification	n of
study (2016) 36 months years biological ar	nd
old) environment	tal risk
factors	
for language	delay
Review/Statistical Philip, W. et al. Children Language delay Children hea analysis (2013)	alth
Experimental Karen et al. Children (Twins) Mild language delay the causes of	f
(2003) mild languag	ge delay
Prospective Wren et al. Children Speech disorder Distinguishi	ng groups of
population study (2012) children with	h
persistent sp	eech disorder

Experimental	Smith et al. (2012)	Children (preschool)	None (Language and motor abilities)	Behavioural and kinematic indices of nonword repetition performance
Experimental	Messer et al. (2016)	Children	Language impairments	The relation between executive functioning, reaction time, naming speed, and single word reading in children with typical development and language impairments
A pilot study	Sheeran et al. (2021)	Children	None (Developmental delay)	Enablers and barriers to identifying children at risk of developmental delay
Literature search	Camp et al. (2010)	Adolescence	None (Developmental)	Relationship between the cognitive environment and vocabulary development during the second year of life
A pilot study	Simpson (2000)	Children	Dyslexia	A developmental language disorders
A longitudinal study	Dahlgren (2006)	Children (6-12 years old)	Speech impairments	Reading and spelling abilities in children with severe speech impairments and cerebral palsy at 6, 9, and 12 years of age in relation to cognitive development
Review/Statistical analysis	Kavé (2006)	Children (8-17 months)	None (Child development)	The development of naming and word fluency

# TABLE 2. LITERATURE SEARCH FOR THE PREVALENCE OF CHILDREN WITH LANGUAGE DELAY

Issue	Article	Type	Aim	Findings
Developmental	Dan, Y. et al.	Experimental	There were 1,113	Language problems were
characteristics	(2020)		children between the	most prevalent in children
of children			ages of one and four	from 18 to 36 months,
with			who complained about	with males in each age
language delay			S-S was used to measure	group being more
in Zhejiang			inadequate language	susceptible than girls. The
province,			abilities in language	proportion of children
China			competency. These	with a poor
			youngsters. The patients	communication attitude
			identified with language	did not differ significantly
			deficits were separated	between the groups. The
			into six groups, each	older the cohort, the
			with its own set of	larger the proportion of
			challenges.	fundamental learning
			a six-month age gaps	ability anomalies. The
			Each group's	cut-off age for a
			developmental features	qualitative boost in the
			were examined analyzed	proportion of essential
			and described.	learning capacities was
				two years old. The
				proportion of persons
				with reduced language
				comprehension increased
				as people became older in
				each category. For
				qualitative leaps in the
				proportion of language
				knowledge, the cut-off
				ages were 1.5 and 2 years
				old, respectively.
Speech and	Maura, R.M.	Literature	The study focuses on	Language delay is
language delay	(2011)	search	speech and language	claimed to affect between
in children			delays in children, which	2.3 and 19 percent of
			are linked to difficulties	children aged two to
			in reading, writing,	seven years. Severe

	1			
			attention, and	speech and language
			sociability. There is	problems in young
			inadequate data to	children may have a
			indicate whether formal	major influence on later
			screening tools should	scholastic success,
			be used routinely in	even with extensive care.
			primary care to detect	According to various
			speech and language	research, children with
			deficits.	speech and language
				deficits between the ages
				of two and a half and five
				had more difficulty
				reading in primary school.
				Attention and social
				issues are more common
				in children with speech
				and language deficits who
				are older than five and a
				half years.
				nan years.
High	Susan H et al	Experimental	To examine the	By the age of four VPT
High prevalence/low	Susan, H. et al. (2010)	Experimental	To examine the	By the age of four, VPT
prevalence/low	Susan, H. et al. (2010)	Experimental	language growth of a	kids had less receptive
prevalence/low severity		Experimental	language growth of a geographically	kids had less receptive and expressive language
prevalence/low severity language delay		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full-
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological,	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological,	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment.
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that affect the early language	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language development in the VPT
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that affect the early language development of VPT	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language development in the VPT group were the degree of
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that affect the early language development of VPT	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language development in the VPT group were the degree of white matter
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that affect the early language development of VPT	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language development in the VPT group were the degree of white matter abnormalities on new-
prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born		Experimental	language growth of a geographically representative group of kids who were born extremely prematurely at the appropriate age of four (VPT). It was particularly interesting to identify biological, socioenvironmental, and developmental risk and protective factors that affect the early language development of VPT	kids had less receptive and expressive language development than full- term kids. The discrepancies were maintained after accounting for the effects of social risk and excluding children with neurosensory impairment. The primary predictors of overall language development in the VPT group were the degree of white matter abnormalities on new- born magnetic resonance

				synchrony (p =.001), and contemporaneous child cognitive ability (p =.001). When these factors were combined, they explained 45 percent of the difference in the children's overall Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals-Preschool scores.
Speech and language delay in children: Prevalence and risk factors	Sunderajan, T. & Kanhere, S.V. (2019)	Cross-sectional study	To research the prevalence and risk factors of speech-language delay among children aged 1-12 years.	During the research period, 1658 children aged 1 to 12 years visited the paediatric outpatient clinic. There were 42 children (2.53%) with speech and language delays in total. One of the children was autistic, another had cerebral palsy, and yet another had hearing loss as a co-
Prevalence and natural history	James, L. et al. (2000)	Systematic review	The findings are explored in terms of the	The group most usually identified in the papers
of primary speech and language delay: findings from a systematic review of the literature			necessity to create a prevalence model based on the likelihood of future challenges.	evaluated is language delay without speech delay. Children with expressive or receptive delays have been grouped in certain studies. There is a significant range of variability here, with a range of 2.02–19%. According to the research, it does not appear to make a significant difference in

				which strategy is taken.  It's also possible that at the 5-year mark, expectations shift and a closer approximation to the adult norm is expected, increasing the number of potential causes.
Toddlers with delayed expressive language: An overview of the characteristics, risk factors, and language outcomes	Hawa, V. V. & Spanoudis, G. (2014)	Review	Examining the elements that appear to contribute to late talkers' delayed onset and advancement.  Examining the features of late talkers' families and parents, as well as their linguistic qualities.  The relationship between expressive language delay and late talkers' social-emotional development is discussed.  Clinical practice recommendations and linguistic outcomes of late talkers at a later	The parental and individual features of this group of children, as well as the risk factors for late speech. It also covers how late talkers' behaviour and socioemotional development are affected by their delayed expressive language, as well as the language outcomes of late talkers as they become older. This review concludes with clinician-friendly suggestions and intervention strategies.
Learning words' sounds before learning how words sound: 9- Month-olds use distinct objects	Yeung, H. H., & Werker, J. F. (2009)	Experimental	Phoneme, Phonetic learning, Categorization, Discrimination, Perception, Language acquisition	In a perceptual discrimination test, 9- month-old English- learning infants performed below- averagely, failing to distinguish between two

as cues to				non-native phonetic
categorize				categories. In Experiment
speech				2, these babies were
information				successful at
mormation				discriminating after
				_
				viewing opposing visual
				signals (i.e., films of two
				novel items matched
				consistently with one
				another) - but not with
				one another. The findings
				show that new-borns
				learn native-language
				phonetic systems through
				cross-modal connections
				formed in social
				environments.
Twins as a	Rutter, M.,	Experimental	Parents and children	These five criteria were
natural	Thorpe, K., &	study	who were twins were	satisfied by patterns of
experiment to	Greenwood, R.		compared to singletons	parent-child contact and
study the	(2003)		who were no more than	communication. All the
causes of mild			30 months apart in age.	maternal variables
language delay			At 20 months and 36	addressed parts of
			months, parental traits	engagement that were
			and family interaction	broadly connected with
			were measured using	communication:
			standardized	encouraging the kid to
			questionnaires and	speak, making elaborative
			interviews, as well as	remarks, reading to the
			organized and	child, and discussing the
			unstructured	narrative and its images.
			observations in the	The outcomes of the
			home. Five criteria were	HOME inventory were
			used to analyze the	comparable in terms of
			potential involvement of	responsiveness,
			postnatal family effects	participation, and degree
			on language and other	of experience. Parental
				-
			postnatal characteristics.	depression, breastfeeding,
				family size, and sibling

Children with developmental language delay at 24 months of age results in a diagnostic work-up	Buschmann, A., et al. (2008)	Experimental study	The goal of this research was to see if a diagnostic work-up for 2-year-old children with developmental language delay (LD) should be indicated.	interaction style were all factors that may have been important but were not.  The language ability and nonverbal cognitive development of 100 children with LD (65 males, 35 females; mean age 24.7month and a control group of 53 children with normal language development were assessed using a standardized A variety of neurological and audiometric tests were also carried out. Sixty-one percent of those with LD had expressive LD, whereas seventeen percent had distinct receptive-expressive LD.
Sex differences in childhood language and brain development.	Etchell, A., et al. (2018)	Systematic literature review	It is hoped that this study will provide normative data that may be applied to studies into neurodevelopmental disorders that mostly affect males rather than girls and have an impact on language development.	The disparities between boys and girls are not as pronounced or as substantial as previously believed.  Differences in brain structure and function between boys and girls do not necessarily translate into behavioural differences.  Sex differences are frequently intertwined with a variety of other

Sex differences in white matter pathways related to language ability	Jung, M., et al. (2015)	Functional imaging studies	to compare the white matter (WM) pathways of male and female adult volunteers with similar IQs about their language abilities	variables, including age and brain area.  Male children have a higher rate of language delay than female children, which might be attributed to the central nervous system's sluggish
				development and testosterone's effect.
Sex hormones in early infancy seem to predict aspects of later language development	Schaadt, G., Hesse, V., & Friederici, A. D. (2015)	Systematic review	to correlate sex hormones (e.g., testosterone/oestradiol) to language performance and brain differences	Research on the impact of early postnatal sex hormone concentration on a child's subsequent language development is scarce. This study investigates the neurophysiological phonemic discrimination and language development of boys and girls at 5 months of age in relation to testosterone and oestradiol. Researchers discover a significant positive impact of oestradiol and a detrimental impact of testosterone on language development beyond the age of four.
Sex differences in early communication development: behavioral and neurobiological	Adani, S., & Cepanec, M. (2019)	Review	To demonstrate the differences in language and normal communication development between males and girls as well	Male and females, as a group, tend to demonstrate systematic disparities in communication and language talents, despite

indicators of			as the prevalence of	substantial interindividual
more			communication-related	variances that persist
vulnerable			neurodevelopmental	independent of the
communication			problems The data from	individual's sex. The
			the field of neuroscience	
system				natural development of
development in			that may provide light	communication skills in
boys			on the neurological	girls is faster and more
			processes that could	advanced than in boys. It
			contribute to the	takes years to learn a
			explanation of this	language or develop
			phenomena is given	communication skills, and
			particular attention.	the environment plays a
				profound role in the
				development of these
				skills.
Screening for	Wallace, I. F.,	Systematic	Seeks to revise the	Sensitivity ranged from
Speech and	et al. (2015)	review	information on	50% to 94 percent in 23
Language			diagnosing and treating	studies testing the
Delay in			speech and language	accuracy of screening
Children 5			impairments in children	instruments, whereas
Years Old			since the US Preventive	specificity varied from 45
and Younger			Services Task Force's	percent to 96 percent.
			comprehensive	Twelve treatment trials
			assessment in 2006.	improved language,
				articulation, and stuttering
				results; however, there
				was no evidence that
				treatments benefited other
				outcomes or that therapy
				had negative side effects.
				Male gender, family
				history, and poor parental
				education were found to
				be risk factors for speech
				and language delays. One
				of the drawbacks of the
				analysis is the lack of
				well-designed, well-
				_
				conducted studies looking

				at whether screening for speech and language delays or abnormalities improves outcomes.
Distúrbios da	Schirmer, C.	Review	To help health	The genesis of language
aquisição da	R., Fontoura,		professionals,	and learning difficulties
linguagem e da	D. R., &		particularly	might be linked to several
aprendizagem	Nunes, M. L.		pediatricians, diagnose	factors, including
[Language and	(2004)		and prevent language	neurological conditions.
learning			and learning issues.	The language delay
disorders]				category has been
				determined.

# CHAPTER 3: FINDINGS - RISK FACTORS FOR LANGUAGE DELAY IN CHILDREN

#### **BIOLOGICAL FACTOR**

Children delivered prematurely and via cesarean section showed a substantial link among the biological risk variables evaluated. Foster-Cohen et al. (2007) found a similar result in preterm infants in their study. Children born by assisted delivery had a greater risk of language delay, according to Tresa's 2009 study. Children with a significant birth history showed a large correlation with language delay when compared to those whose postnatal time was unremarkable. Tresa's studies supported this conclusion (2009). At any moment in a child's life, biological factors can affect that child's development, but crucial times like pregnancy and the first few months of life are particularly vulnerable. It is impossible to exaggerate the value of nutrition to a child's overall development. Prior to giving birth, a mother's diet and health are crucial. For instance, taking 400 micrograms (mcg) of folic acid daily for many months before to implantation and during pregnancy lowers the likelihood that a child may be born with certain brain and spine birth defects (spina bifida) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2018).

Events that take place during pregnancy or childbirth influence a child's brain and, as a result, their ability to properly communicate. For instance, a child is more likely to experience language difficulties later in life if they are born preterm, on time but with a low birth weight, or with insufficient oxygen to the brain. It's also important to keep in mind that some of these problems may have a genetic component. Development language delay (DLD) often runs in families. Thousands of genetic variations exist in each person, all of which can interact to influence how the brain develops (Frota et al., 2016). A kid with DLD may have enough of these DNA alterations impacting areas of the brain that are critical for language. This is referred to as polygenic risk by scientists since it is the result of the interaction of several genes. A child with DLD is less likely to be born to families who do not have this genetic risk for language. In these circumstances, the youngster probably has a serious DNA mutation in a gene involved in brain and language development (Dahlgren, 2006). There is a wealth of phenotypic research on the connection between oral language and literacy, and it is generally agreed that oral language, particularly phonological skills, provide as the basis for reading and other literacyrelated abilities. The tight connection between phonology and reading is confirmed by behavioural genetic research, which demonstrates that these two skills are both highly heritable and share significant genetic influences (Hayiou-Thomas, 2008).