



Faculty of Engineering

**EVALUATION OF COCONUT HUSK BASED MAGNETIC  
SORBENT FOR DEFOAMING APPLICATION**

Felicia Terry anak Lo

Bachelor of Engineering with Honours

(Chemical Engineering)

2022



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

**Please tick (✓)**

Final Year Project Report

Masters

PhD

**DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK**

This declaration is made on the ...27...day of...June..2022.

**Student's Declaration:**

I, FELICIA TERRY ANAK LO (64745), DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND ENERGY SUSTAINABILITY, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, hereby declare that the work entitled EVALUATION OF COCONUT HUSK BASED MAGNETIC SORBENT FOR DEFOAMING APPLICATION is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

3<sup>rd</sup> July 2022

Date submitted

\_\_\_\_\_  
Felicia Terry anak Lo (64745)

**Supervisor's Declaration:**

I, AP IR DR LIM SOH FONG hereby certifies that the work entitled EVALUATION OF COCONUT HUSK BASED MAGNETIC SORBENT FOR DEFOAMING APPLICATION was prepared by the above-named student and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a \* partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING WITH HONOURS (CHEMICAL ENGINEERING), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work.

Received for examination by: \_\_\_\_\_  
(AP Ir Dr Lim Soh Fong)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

I declare that Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick (√)):

**CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)\*

**RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)\*

**OPEN ACCESS**

### Validation of Project/Thesis

I therefore duly affirm with free consent and willingly declare that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abiding interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies for the purpose of academic and research only and not for other purpose.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitalise the content for the Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic exchange between Higher Learning Institute.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student itself neither third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes the sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student except with UNIMAS permission.

Student signature \_\_\_\_\_  
(3<sup>rd</sup> July 2022)

Supervisor signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
(3<sup>rd</sup> July 2022)

Current Address:

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING AND ENERGY SUTAINABILITY,  
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, 94300 KOTA SAMARAHAN SARAWAK.

Notes: \* If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the period and reasons of confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument is duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

# APPROVAL SHEET

This final year project thesis, which entitles “**EVALUATION OF COCONUT HUSK BASED MAGNETIC SORBENT FOR DEFOAMING APPLICATION**” was prepared by **FELICIA TERRY ANAK LO (64745)** as Final Year Project course fulfillment for the Bachelor of Engineering with Honours (Chemical Engineering) is hereby read and approved by:

---

Name: AP IR DR LIM SOH FONG

(Final Year Project Supervisor)

---

Date

EVALUATION OF COCONUT HUSK BASED MAGNETIC SORBENT  
FOR DEFOAMING APPLICATION

FELICIA TERRY ANAK LO

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of  
Bachelor of Engineering with Honours  
(Chemical Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering  
University Malaysia Sarawak

2022

Dedicated to my family and friends who become the continuous source of motivation  
and encouragement.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express her deepest appreciation to AP Ir Dr Lim Soh Fong, the author's supervisor for her willingness to assist, guide and invaluable supervision throughout the completion of this project. Sincere gratitude to all individuals, parties and organization that have participated and cooperated throughout this project especially to Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (GL/F02/UTP/2021), Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS (National/Industry JRP(ICM) 2021), Universiti Malaysia Pahang (RDU200708) and Processvu Services Sdn. Bhd. for their support under a joint research grant. Many thanks to Department of Chemical Engineering and Energy Sustainability, Faculty of Engineering, UNIMAS for providing the facilities to conduct this project. Lastly, the author would like to recognize her family and friends for their continuous supports and motivations towards the completion of this project.



# ABSTRACT

The removal of undesired contaminants in activated Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) solvent was conducted by utilizing magnetic activated carbon (MAC). In this work, MAC was synthesized from coconut husk through chemical activation and co-precipitation methods. The performance of this material as an adsorbent was evaluated based on the foaming behaviour of activated MDEA solvent after being contacted with MAC at different duration and varying amounts. Nitrogen gas was introduced into the amine solvent through a gas diffuser to create foam. Based on the results, the foam volume generated by activated MDEA solvent was identified to decrease with the increase in both MAC exposure time and amount. However, the removal efficiency of MAC decreases at 4 to 8 hours of contact time which results in greater foam volume as compared to other contact duration. The highest removal efficiency by MAC was identified to be at 1 hour contact time between MAC and activated MDEA solvent where the foam breaking time was reduced to 10-30 minutes. Meanwhile, the addition of 50% MAC into the solvent was able to further decrease the foam breaking time to 5-10 minutes. The characteristics of prepared MAC were also evaluated through Thermogravimetric Analyzer with Derivative Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TGA/DTG), Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM/EDX), Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy with Potassium Bromide (FTIR-KBr) and Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) Surface Area Analyzer. This study shows that the MAC synthesized from coconut husk has a good potential as an adsorbent in removing the contaminants in activated MDEA solvent to reduce the foam formation.

*Keywords: Magnetic activated carbon, coconut husk, foaming, activated MDEA, removal efficiency.*

# ABSTRAK

Penyingkiran bahan cemar yang tidak diingini dalam larutan Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) yang diaktifkan telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan karbon teraktif magnetik (MAC). Dalam kerja ini, MAC telah disintesis daripada sabut kelapa melalui kaedah pengaktifan dan pendedahan bersama bahan kimia. Prestasi bahan ini sebagai penjerap dinilai berdasarkan tingkah laku larutan MDEA yang diaktifkan untuk berbuih selepas terdedah dengan MAC dalam tempoh dan jumlah yang berbeza. Gas nitrogen dimasukkan ke dalam larutan amina melalui penyebar gas untuk menghasilkan buih. Berdasarkan keputusan, isipadu buih yang dihasilkan oleh larutan MDEA yang diaktifkan telah dikenal pasti berkurangan dengan peningkatan masa pendedahan dan jumlah MAC. Walau bagaimanapun, kecekapan penyingkiran MAC berkurangan pada 4 hingga 8 jam masa sentuhan yang menghasilkan jumlah buih yang lebih besar berbanding dengan tempoh sentuhan lain. Kecekapan penyingkiran tertinggi oleh MAC dikenal pasti pada masa sentuhan 1 jam antara MAC dan larutan MDEA yang diaktifkan di mana masa pecah buih telah dikurangkan kepada 10-30 minit. Sementara itu, penambahan 50% MAC ke dalam larutan mampu mengurangkan lagi masa pecah buih kepada 5-10 minit. Ciri-ciri MAC yang disediakan juga dinilai melalui Penganalisis Termogravimetrik dengan Penganalisis Termogravimetrik Terbitan (TGA/DTG), Pengimbasan Mikroskopi Elektron dengan Spektroskopi Sinar-X Penyerakan Tenaga (SEM/EDX), Spektroskopi Inframerah Transformasi Fourier dengan Kalium Bromida (FTIR-KBr) dan Penganalisis Kawasan Permukaan Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET). Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa MAC yang disintesis daripada sabut kelapa mempunyai potensi yang baik sebagai penjerap dalam menyingkirkan bahan cemar dalam larutan MDEA yang diaktifkan untuk mengurangkan pembentukan buih.

*Kata kunci: karbon teraktif magnetik, sabut kelapa, berbuih, MDEA yang diaktifkan, kecekapan penyingkiran.*

# TABLE OF CONTENT

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF NOMENCLATURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1    BACKGROUND	1
1.2    PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3    RESEARCH QUESTIONS	3
1.4    OBJECTIVES OF STUDY	3
1.5    SCOPES OF STUDY	4
1.6    SIGNIFICANCE OF STUDY	4
1.7    SUMMARY	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1    FOAMING ISSUES IN INDUSTRY	6
2.2    DEFOAMING PROCESS	9
2.2.1    DEFOAMING PROCESS WORKING MECHANISM	9
2.2.2    TYPES OF DEFOAMERS	12
2.3    GAS TREATING PROCESS	14
2.3.1    GAS SWEETENING PROCESS	14
2.3.2    AMINE SOLUTIONS	17

2.4	TYPES OF DEFOAMERS IN THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY	20
2.4.1	ACTIVATED CARBON	21
2.4.2	TYPES OF ACTIVATED CARBONS	23
2.5	COCONUT HUSK BASED ACTIVATED CARBON	23
2.5.1	OVERVIEW ON COCONUT HUSK	27
2.6	MAGNETIC SORBENT	29
2.7	FOAM TESTING METHODS	32
2.8	RESEARCH GAPS	34
2.9	SUMMARY	35
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>36</b>
3.1	METHODOLOGY FLOW CHART	36
3.2	MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS	37
3.3	EQUIPMENT AND APPARATUS	38
3.4	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND METHODS	39
3.4.1	ACTIVATED CARBON PREPARATION	39
3.4.2	MAGNETIC SORBENT PREPARATION	40
3.4.3	DEFOAMING TEST	41
3.4.4	MAGNETIC SORBENT CHARACTERIZATION	43
3.5	RESULT ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	44
3.6	SUMMARY	45
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>46</b>
4.1	DEFOAMING TEST	46
4.1.1	DIFFERENT CONTACTING TIME BETWEEN MAGNETIC SORBENT WITH AMINE SOLUTION	47
4.1.2	EFFECT OF MAGNETIC SORBENT QUANTITY IN AMINE SOLUTION	50

4.2	MAGNETIC SORBENT CHARACTERIZATION	52
4.2.1	SURFACE MORPHOLOGY	53
4.2.2	SURFACE AREA	60
4.2.3	SURFACE CHEMISTRY	63
4.2.4	THERMAL STABILITY	68
4.3	SUMMARY	75
	<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	76
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	77
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>79</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>91</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>95</b>

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>2.1</b>	Defoamers' characteristics and applications (AMS, 2021; Kakhia, n.d.; SpecialChem, 2021).	13
<b>2.2</b>	Alkanolamine solvents categories (Pakzad, et al., 2020).	18
<b>2.3</b>	Properties of alkanolamines solvent (Pakzad, et al., 2020).	19
<b>2.4</b>	Properties and applications of ACs (Chemviron, 2021).	22
<b>2.5</b>	Properties of different ACs (Streat, et al., 1995).	24
<b>2.6</b>	Comparison on properties and application on most commercial ACs (Carbon Activated Corp., 2020; Jurassic Carbon, 2021).	25
<b>2.7</b>	Properties of young and old coconut husks (Jusoh, et al., 2021).	29
<b>2.8</b>	Characteristics of AC from different sources (Sangotayo, et al., 2017).	29
<b>2.9</b>	Pros and cons of different technologies used in AC regeneration methods (Moosavi, et al., 2020).	31
<b>4.1</b>	Elemental compositions in coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	55
<b>4.2</b>	EDX results for MAC after adsorption process in new activated MDEA solvent.	57
<b>4.3</b>	EDX results for MAC after adsorption process in lean-activated MDEA solvent.	57
<b>4.4</b>	EDX results for MAC after adsorption process in new activated MDEA solvent.	59
<b>4.5</b>	EDX results for MAC after adsorption process in lean-activated MDEA solvent.	60
<b>4.6</b>	BET results of AC and MAC.	61
<b>4.7</b>	TGA data for AC and MAC.	70

<b>4.8</b>	TGA data for MAC in contact at different duration with activated MDEA solvent.	71
<b>4.9</b>	TGA data for MAC in contact at different duration with lean-activated MDEA solvent.	72
<b>4.10</b>	TGA data for 5% and 50% MAC in contact with new and lean-activated MDEA solvent.	74
<b>A.1</b>	Defoaming test result for different contact time between 10% of MAC and activated MDEA solvent.	91
<b>A.2</b>	Defoaming test result for different contact time between 10% of MAC and lean-activated MDEA solvent.	92
<b>A.3</b>	Defoaming test result for different MAC sorbent amount in activated MDEA solvent.	93
<b>A.4</b>	Defoaming test result for different MAC sorbent concentration in lean-activated MDEA solvent.	94

# LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>2.1</b>	Defoamers working mechanisms (UniqChem, 2021).	10
<b>2.2</b>	Process flow diagram for gas sweetening process (Abdulrahman & Sebastine, 2012).	16
<b>2.3</b>	Molecular structures of alkanolamines (Pakzad, et al., 2020).	17
<b>2.4</b>	Coconut fruit cross section (Dam, et al., 2004).	28
<b>2.5</b>	Schematic diagram of foam testing method (Lunkenheimer & Malysa, 2003).	33
<b>3.1</b>	Methodology flow chart.	36
<b>3.2</b>	Coconut husk.	37
<b>3.3</b>	Prepared activated MDEA solvents and lean-activated MDEA solvent.	38
<b>3.4</b>	Coconut husk (a) before and (b) after drying at 80°C.	39
<b>3.5</b>	Coconut husk based activated carbon.	40
<b>3.6</b>	Coconut husk based magnetic activated carbon.	41
<b>3.7</b>	10% of MAC in contact with activated MDEA solvent.	42
<b>3.8</b>	Foaming test experimental setup.	42
<b>3.9</b>	MAC in activated MDEA solvent for 20 minutes.	43
<b>3.10</b>	Activated MDEA solvent before (a) and after (b) adsorption process.	43
<b>4.1</b>	Activated MDEA solvent before (a) and after (b) foam testing process.	47
<b>4.2</b>	Graph of the foam volume generation in activated MDEA solvent for different contact time study.	48



<b>4.3</b>	Lean-activated MDEA solvent before (a) and after (b) foam testing process.	49
<b>4.4</b>	Graph of foam volume generation in lean-activated MDEA solvent for different contact time study.	49
<b>4.5</b>	Graph of the foam volume generated in activated MDEA solvent for different amount of sorbent study.	51
<b>4.6</b>	Graph of the foam volume generated in lean-activated MDEA solvent for different amount of sorbent study.	52
<b>4.7</b>	Microstructure view of coconut husk-based AC.	54
<b>4.8</b>	Microstructure view of coconut husk-based MAC at different contact time in new activated MDEA solvent; (a) 15 minutes, (b) 1 hour and (c) 8 hours.	55
<b>4.9</b>	Microstructure view of coconut husk-based MAC at different contact time in lean-activated MDEA solvent; (a) 15 minutes, (b) 1 hour and (c) 8 hours.	56
<b>4.10</b>	Microstructure view of coconut husk-based MAC at different amount in new activated MDEA solvent; (a) 5% MAC and (b) 50% MAC.	58
<b>4.11</b>	Microstructure view of coconut husk-based MAC at different amount in lean-activated MDEA solvent; (a) 5% MAC and (b) 50% MAC.	58
<b>4.12</b>	Multi-point BET plot for coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	62
<b>4.13</b>	Isotherm BET plot (adsorption) for coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	62
<b>4.14</b>	Isotherm BET plot (desorption) for coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	62
<b>4.15</b>	FTIR spectra for coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	63
<b>4.16</b>	FTIR spectra of MAC after adsorption in activated MDEA solvent for different contact time study.	65

<b>4.17</b>	FTIR spectra of MAC after adsorption in lean-activated MDEA solvent for different contact time study.	66
<b>4.18</b>	FTIR spectra of MAC after adsorption in activated MDEA solvent for different amount study.	67
<b>4.19</b>	FTIR spectra of MAC after adsorption in lean-activated MDEA solvent for different amount study.	67
<b>4.20</b>	TGA profile for coconut husk-based AC and MAC.	69
<b>4.21</b>	TGA profile of coconut husk-based MAC at different contact time in activated MDEA solvent.	70
<b>4.22</b>	TGA profile of coconut husk-based MAC at different contact time in lean-activated MDEA solvent.	72
<b>4.23</b>	TGA profile of coconut husk-based MAC at different amount in activated MDEA solvent.	73
<b>4.24</b>	TGA profile of coconut husk-based MAC at different amount in lean-activated MDEA solvent.	74

# LIST OF NOMENCLATURES

Al	-	Aluminium
B	-	Bridging coefficient
C	-	Carbon
Ca	-	Calcium
Cl	-	Chlorine
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	Carbon dioxide
E	-	Penetration coefficient
Fe	-	Ferum
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	-	Ferrous ion
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	-	Ferric ion
FeCl <sub>2</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	-	Iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate
FeCl <sub>3</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	-	Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	-	Iron (II,III) oxide
g	-	gram
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
H <sub>2</sub> S	-	Hydrogen sulphide
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	-	Sulfuric acid
K	-	Potassium
kg	-	kilogram
kg/cap/day	-	kilogram per capita per day
KBr	-	Potassium bromide
KOH	-	Potassium hydroxide
kV	-	kilovolt
Mg	-	Magnesium
MJ/kg	-	Mega Joule per kilogram
mL	-	Millilitre
mm	-	Millimetre
µm	-	Micrometre
Na	-	Sodium
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide

$N/N_2$	-	Nitrogen
O	-	Oxygen
$Pb^{2+}$	-	Lead (II) ion
ppmv	-	Part per million volumes
rpm	-	Rotation per minute
$\sigma_D$	-	Surface tension of defoamer
$\sigma_{int}$	-	Interfacial tension between liquid and defoamer
$\sigma_L$	-	Surface tension of liquid
S	-	Spreading coefficient
S	-	Sulphur
wt%	-	Weight percent
$ZnCl_2$	-	Zinc chloride

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Activated carbon
AMP	-	2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanolamine
BET	-	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller
DEA	-	Diethanolamine
DGA	-	Diglycolamine
DIPA	-	Diisopropanolamine
EAC	-	Extruded Activated Carbon
FTIR-KBr	-	Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy with Potassium Bromide
GAC	-	Granular Activated Carbon
MAC	-	Magnetic Activated Carbon
MDEA	-	Methyldiethanolamine
MEA	-	Monoethanolamine
PAC	-	Powder Activated Carbon
PZ	-	Piperazine
SEM/EDX	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
SF	-	Surface factor
TCP	-	Trichlorophenol
TEA	-	Triethanolamine
TGA/DTG	-	Thermogravimetric Analyzer with Derivative Thermogravimetric Analyzer

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Defoaming is a process that is typically conducted in industries where the bubbles and air that are present in the liquid are being removed in order to enhance the quality of products, optimize production and prevent the occurrences of problems related to process control and equipment operation (Welti-Chanes, et al., 2017; Awad, et al., 2012). The implementation of defoaming process can be found widely in the oil and gas industry, food processing, wastewater management, textiles manufacturing as well as paper and pulp production. In the oil and gas industry, the defoaming process usually being applied in the amine treating unit where the formation of foam frequently occurs in the production line. According to Pal et al. (2020), the formation of foam in gas processing facilities is a general problem that needs to be addressed as it creates several consequences for the processes.

In industry, this defoaming process can be conducted by using two different methods which are through chemical additives or utilization on mechanical devices (Garrett P. R., 2015). As the usage of mechanical devices required high energy demand, therefore, some chemical additives were added into the solution to act as defoaming agents (Pal, et al., 2020). However, the selection of suitable defoaming agents is crucial in order to ensure the effectiveness of the material in controlling and minimizing the formation of foam in the process. Other than that, the usage of chemical additives as antifoams sometimes lead to the formation of contaminants in the process which makes the output of the process deviate from the targeted outcome (Pal, et al., 2020).

The presence of acid gases like hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) and carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) in the natural gas streams need to be removed in order to reduce the impacts of these hazardous gases on the environment (Pal, et al., 2020). This acid gases removal process from the natural gas stream is known as the natural gas sweetening process where

alkanolamines are utilized as an absorbent in order to eliminate these corrosive and harmful gases (Alhseinat, et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the usage of these alkanolamines usually creates some operational problems in the production plant which including the formation of foam in the process stream. The presence of foams in the production plant contributes to several consequences where it can affect the production output of the plant. Due to this, some suitable approaches have been taken by the industry in order to cope with this issue to ensure the production output can be maintained at the desired outcome and reduce the operational losses.

The common approach that taken by the petrochemical industry to reduce the formation of foam is through the utilization of activated carbon (AC) as an adsorbent in the amine units. According to Engel, et al. (2015), this activated carbon has the ability in eliminating the soluble contaminants from amine solvent which makes the foaming tendency in the solvent can be reduced. This AC can be obtained from many types of resources like coal, lignite, coconut shell, sugar cane bagasse, coffee beans, rice husk, wood, and sawdust (Fazal-ur-Rehman, 2018). These different types of resources that are used to prepare the AC will have different absorption capacity and contaminants size that it can absorb (Engel, et al., 2015).

## **1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The oil and gas industry are one of the most important industries that provide energy as well as plays a vital role in affecting the global economy due to its characteristic as the world's primary source of fuel (Burclaff, 2005). This natural gas typically being used by the power plant to produce electricity along with for domestic cooking and heating purposes. Natural gas or also known as fossil fuel is a non-renewable energy source which being extracted from underground up to the wellhead. However, during the extraction, the natural gas which mainly consists of a mixture from different types of hydrocarbons sometimes being contaminated with CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S (Goodwin, et al., 2015). The presence of these acid gases causes the natural gas to become a sour gas in which the gas sweetening processes need to be conducted by the production plant in order to remove these acid gases from the natural gas content before it can enter the pipelines. Other than that, the removal of these acid gases is crucial as they will become highly corrosive when they are reacting with moisture which could harm the environment (Koros, 2020).

Due to this, the removal of these corrosive and harmful compounds from natural gas is conducted through the absorption process by utilizing alkanolamines as the absorption solvent due to their high absorption capacity towards acid gases (Rahimpour, et al., 2013). Even though this absorption process is the most common method that is being applied to sweeten the sour gas, but this process does have several consequences in which it will bring to the formation of foam where it can reduce the mass transfer efficiency and absorption capacity as well as amine solutions carryover to the downstream processes (Pal, et al., 2020). Thus, antifoaming agents are being added in order to minimize the foam generation in the amine solutions. The most widely used antifoaming agent in the amine solvent is AC where it can help in removing the soluble contaminants in order to reduce the foaming tendency in the amine treating units (Engel, et al., 2015). AC is an inert solid adsorbent material that can be prepared from materials that have carbon content like coconut shell, coal, sugarcane bagasse and lignite. To the best of my knowledge, there are still have limited studies on the utilization of coconut husk as the source for magnetic AC (MAC) preparation, thus, the focus of this project is to investigate the performance of MAC based coconut husk which will be used as a defoaming agent for the amine solution.

### **1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

This project is focusing on the evaluation of coconut husk based magnetic sorbent for defoaming application and the research questions are as follows:

- i. How the magnetic activated carbon (MAC) can be prepared from coconut husk?
- ii. What are the significant effects of coconut husk-based MAC on the amine solution?
- iii. How can this coconut husk-based MAC differ from other AC?

### **1.4 OBJECTIVES OF STUDY**

As organic waste like coconut husk is believed could prepare another alternative in providing the source of activated carbon, therefore, the main aim of this project is to analyse the performance of magnetic activated carbon synthesized from coconut husk as the defoamer towards the solution defoaming. This main aim can be achieved through the fulfilment of the following objectives: