

# EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT

## DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN

Bachelor of Engineering with Honours (Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering) 2020

### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

Grade:	
Please tick one (√)	
Final Year Project Report	$\checkmark$
Masters	
PhD	

### DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This declaration is made on the september day of 1, 2020.

Student's Declaration:

I, DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN (58556), from FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, hereby declare that the work entitled, EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources with the exception where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part of the work been written for me by another person.

2/9/2020

Date submitted

DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN (58556)

Name of student (Matric No.)

Supervisor's Declaration:

I, ERVINA BT JUNAIDI, hereby certify that the work entitled, EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT was prepared by the above mentioned student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a \*partial/full fulfillment for the conferiment of BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING WITH HONOURS (MECHANICAL AND MANUFACTURING), and the aforementioned work to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work.

Received for examination by: <u>ERVINA BINTI JUNAIDI</u> (Name of the supervisor)

Date: 2/9/2020.

I declare this Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick  $(\checkmark)$ ):

	CONFIDENTIAL	(Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
	RESTRICTED	(Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation
$\checkmark$	OPEN ACCESS	where research was done)*

### Validation of Project/Thesis

I hereby duly affirmed with free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis shal be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic and research purposes only and not for other purposes.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services bas the lawful right to digitize the content to be uploaded into Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other Higher Learning Institutes.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student himself / herself neither a third party
  on this Project/Thesis once it becomes the sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student himself/herself without first obtaining approval from UNIMAS.

Student's signature:	Dif.	_ Supervisor's signature:	ERVINA JUNAIDI	
	(Date)		(Date)	
	2 9 2020		2/9/2020	

Current Address:

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK (UNIMAS), JALAN DATUK MOHD MUSA 94300 KOTA SAMARAHAN, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA

Notes: \* If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as anne a letter from the organisation with the date of restriction indicated, and the reasons for the confident and restriction.

[The instrument is duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

Grade:	

Please	tick	one	(√)	
--------	------	-----	-----	--

Final Year Project Report 🗸

Masters PhD

## **DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK**

This declaration is made on the \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2020.

**Student's Declaration**:

I, DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN (58556), from FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, hereby declare that the work entitled, EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources with the exception where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part of the work been written for me by another person.

### DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN (58556)

Date submitted

Name of student (Matric No.)

### **Supervisor's Declaration:**

I, ERVINA BT JUNAIDI, hereby certify that the work entitled, EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT was prepared by the above mentioned student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a \*partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING WITH HONOURS (MECHANICAL AND MANUFACTURING), and the aforementioned work to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work.

Received for examination by:	ERVINA BINTI JUNAIDI
	(Name of the supervisor)

Date:

I declare this Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick ( $\checkmark$ )):

CONFIDENTIAL	(Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)*
<b>RESTRICTED</b>	(Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)*
<b>OPEN ACCESS</b>	

### Validation of Project/Thesis

I hereby duly affirmed with free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic and research purposes only and not for other purposes.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitize the content to be uploaded into Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis if required for use by other parties for academic purposes or by other Higher Learning Institutes.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student himself / herself neither a third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes the sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student himself/herself without first obtaining approval from UNIMAS.

Student's signature:

(Date)

Supervisor's signature:

(Date)

Current Address:

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK (UNIMAS), JALAN DATUK MOHD MUSA 94300 KOTA SAMARAHAN, SARAWAK, MALAYSIA.

Notes: \* If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the date of restriction indicated, and the reasons for the confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument is duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

# EVALUATION OF ELECTROPHORETIC DEPOSITION (EPD) OF GRAPHENE NANOPLATELETS (GNP) DISPERSED IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS ONTO CARBON FIBER VIA AXIOMATIC DESIGN CONCEPT

DINA DZUREMILIA BINTI ASAN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering with Honours (Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering)

> Faculty of Engineering Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

> > (2020)

Dedicated to my beloved family and friends for giving me the strength to keep going

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to extend my gratitude to my supervisor, Madam Ervina Junaidi for investing her time, effort, and guidance in the completion of this work. I thank her for the advice and words of encouragement given to me throughout the accomplishment of this thesis.

Special thanks to my beloved parents, Mr. Asan Bin Ahmad, and Mrs. Noorijah Binti Haji Zamhari for their endless love and support in terms of mentally and physically. I would not be where I am today without them. Not forgetting my fellow siblings for their invaluable encouragement and support.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my friends especially my course mates who have been continuously supporting, advising, and patiently listening to my rants. Thank you for being there for me to help ease of burden carried by me by providing support through my ups and downs. Sincerely wishes all the best of everything now and then.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all the technicians and students who had willingly spent their time to participate in the study. The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without their participation. Sincerely, thank you.

## ABSTRACT

Graphene-related materials (GRMs) have been described as an excellent nanoreinforcement for fiber-reinforced polymers in various engineering applications. The remarkable properties of GRMs, for example, their enormous surface region, high mechanical quality, and low assembling expense carry them to be recognized nano-reinforcements for fiberreinforced polymers to shape multi-functional and multiscale composites. The axiomatic design concept is used to evaluate the parameters that affect the electrophoretic deposition (EPD) system. This study aims to produce deposition of Graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) onto carbon fiber (CF) fabric surface using electrophoretic deposition (EPD) method for the time constant (5 mins) with different voltage (5V, 10V, 20V, & 30V) and constant voltage (20V) with different deposition time (5 mins, 10 mins, 20 mins, and 30 mins). With previous research paper justification, the amount of deposited GNPs onto the CF surface is predicted to increase gradually with longer deposited time and voltage applied by observing it under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Besides, the predicted outcome of the colloidal stability of suspension N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) as an organic solvent and distilled water (DW) as a non-organic solvent that dispersed with GNPs respectively is determined using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. The result predicted is that the dispersion of GNPs in N, Ndimethylformamide (DMF) suspension is much better compared in the distilled water (DW) suspension within 1 day period after sonication time.

## ABSTRAK

Bahan berkaitan *Graphene* (GRM) telah dibuktikan dalam pelbagai aplikasi kejuruteraan sebagai penguat nano yang sangat baik untuk polimer bertetulang gentian. Sifat GRM yang luar biasa, misalnya, kawasan permukaannya yang sangat besar, kualiti mekanik yang tinggi dan kos pemasangan yang rendah menjadikannya penguat nano yang dikenali untuk polimer bertetulang gentian untuk membentuk komposit pelbagai fungsi dan pelbagai skala. Konsep reka bentuk aksiomatik digunakan untuk menilai pemboleh ubah yang mempengaruhi sistem pemendapan elektroforetik (EPD). Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan pemendapan nanoplatelet Graphene (GNP) ke permukaan kain gentian karbon (CF) menggunakan kaedah EPD untuk pemalar masa (5 minit) dengan voltan yang berbeza (5V, 10V, 20V, & 30V) dan voltan malar (20V) dengan masa pemendapan yang berbeza (5 min, 10 min, 20 min, dan 30 min). Dengan justifikasi kertas penyelidikan sebelum ini, jumlah GNP yang dideposit ke permukaan CF diprediksi akan meningkat secara beransur-ansur dengan masa dan voltan yang diuji kaji dengan lebih lama yang diterapkan dengan memerhatikannya di bawah imbasan elektrom mikroskop (SEM). Selain itu, hasil ramalan kestabilan koloid suspensi N,Ndimethylformamide (DMF) sebagai pelarut organik dan air suling (DW) sebagai pelarut bukan organik yang tersebar dengan GNP masing-masing ditentukan dengan menggunakan UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. Hasil yang diramalkan adalah bahawa penyebaran GNP dalam suspensi *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) jauh lebih baik dibandingkan dengan suspensi air suling (DW) dalam tempoh 1 hari selepas waktu sonikasi.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgements	IV
Abstract	V
Abstrak	VI
Table of Content	VII
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	XI
List of Abbreviations	XIII
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Summary of the Report	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composite	
2.1.1 Methods of Incorporating Nanofillers onto FRP	
2.1.1.1 Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD)	
2.1.1.2 Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)	
2.1.1.3 Dipping Deposition	
2.2 Components of Composite Materials	15
2.2.1 Fibers Reinforcement	
2.2.1.1 Carbon Fiber (CF)	
2.2.1.2 Glass Fiber (GF)	16
2.2.1.3 Aramid Fiber	16
2.2.2 Matrices	17
2.3 Properties of Nanofillers	
2.3.1 Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs)	
2.3.2 Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)	
2.4 Dispersion Method	21

2.5 Electrophoretic Deposition Process	
2.5.1 Process Parameter	
2.5.1.1 Deposition Time	
2.5.1.2 Voltage	
2.5.1.3 Conductivity of Substrate	
2.5.2 Suspension Parameter	
2.5.2.1 Types of Solvent	
2.5.2.2 Stability of Suspension	
2.5.2.3 Conductivity of Suspension	
2.5.2.4 Viscosity of Suspension	
2.5.2.5 Zeta Potential	
2.6 Axiomatic Design	27
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Conceptual Design of EPD	20
3.3 Materials	
3.3 Materials	<b>31</b> 31
<b>3.3 Materials</b>	<b>31</b> 31 31
<ul> <li><b>3.3 Materials</b></li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> </ul>	<b>31</b> 31 31 31
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> </ul>	<b>31</b> 31 31 31 31
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> </ul>	<b>31</b> 31 31 31 31 <b>31</b>
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> </ul> 3.4 Sample Preparation	<b>31</b> 31 31 31 31 <b>31</b> 31 32
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Sample Preparation</li> <li>3.4.1 Suspension Preparation Process</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Sample Preparation</li> <li>3.4.1 Suspension Preparation Process</li> <li>3.4.2 Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD) Process</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Sample Preparation</li> <li>3.4.1 Suspension Preparation Process</li> <li>3.4.2 Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD) Process</li> <li>3.5 Characterizations</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Sample Preparation</li> <li>3.4.1 Suspension Preparation Process</li> <li>3.4.2 Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD) Process</li> <li>3.5.1 Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) Analysis</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.3 Materials</li> <li>3.3.1 Graphene Nanofillers</li> <li>3.3.2 Carbon Fiber Fabric</li> <li>3.3.3 Copper plate</li> <li>3.3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Dispersion Solvent</li> <li>3.4 Sample Preparation</li> <li>3.4.1 Suspension Preparation Process</li> <li>3.4.2 Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD) Process</li> <li>3.5.1 Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) Analysis</li> <li>3.5.2 Colloidal Stability Test</li> </ul>	

•	٠	٠
V1	1	1

4.1 Axiomatic Design Concept	
4.2 Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) Analysis	
4.3 Colloidal Stability	39
4.4 Ultraviolet Visible (UV-Vis) Spectrophotometer	41
4.5 Surface Morphology of GNP and deposited GNPs onto CF	
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	47
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION	

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Reinforcing fibers commonly used in aerospace applications (Mangalgiri, 1999) 2
Table 2.1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Different Deposition Methods.       8
Table 2.2: Overview of key EPD parameters and typical conditions used for EPD of GNPs(Diba et al., 2016)
Table 2.3: Methods to improve the dispersion of nanostructures into polymetric matrices 21
Table 2.4: Solvents used for electrophoretic deposition.    24
Table 4.1: Design Matrix for Concept 1.    35
Table 4.2: Design Matrix for Concept 2.    36

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.11: The structure of the design matrix (Suh, 1990)	Figure 2.1: Schematic diagram of a standard EPD configuration and a GNPs coating example
mechanism during EPD (Awasthi et al., 2019)	(Diba et al., 2016)
Figure 2.4: CVD sequence of events. Adapted from H. O. Pierson, Handbook of Chemical         Vapor Deposition, Noyes Publications, Park Ridge (1992)	
Vapor Deposition, Noyes Publications, Park Ridge (1992).       13         Figure 2.5: Graphic diagram of dipping deposition method.       14         Figure 2.6: Polymetric structure of aramid fibers (Dutton et al., 2004).       17         Figure 2.7 (a) Graphene is a 2D substance that is covered in 0D buckyballs for all other dimensional carbon shapes, (b) rolled in 1D nanotubes, and (c) lined in 3D graphite (Geim & Novoselov, 2007).       19         Figure 2.8: Carbon nanotube (CNT)-modified epoxy composite micro-computed tomography illustration. The inset shows the agglomerates of the CNT (Koumoulos et al., 2019).       20         Figure 2.9: Correlation between deposition thickness and deposition time for copper electrode ZnO coatings of various potential (Wang, Leu & Hon, 2004).       27         Figure 2.10: Axiomatic Product Design (Suh, 2001).       27         Figure 3.1 Flow chart of the experimental processes used in this project.       29         Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for the deposition of GNPs onto CF fabric surface via EPD process.       30         Figure 3.3: The GNPs and DW suspension sonicate in Kudos SK2200HP bath ultrasonicator.       30	Figure 2.3: The principle of CVD (Carlsson & Martin, 2010)
Figure 2.6: Polymetric structure of aramid fibers (Dutton et al., 2004)	
Figure 2.7 (a) Graphene is a 2D substance that is covered in 0D buckyballs for all other         dimensional carbon shapes, (b) rolled in 1D nanotubes, and (c) lined in 3D graphite (Geim &         Novoselov, 2007).       19         Figure 2.8: Carbon nanotube (CNT)-modified epoxy composite micro-computed tomography         illustration. The inset shows the agglomerates of the CNT (Koumoulos et al., 2019).       20         Figure 2.9: Correlation between deposition thickness and deposition time for copper electrode       20         Figure 2.9: Correlation between deposition thickness and deposition time for copper electrode       22         Figure 2.10: Axiomatic Product Design (Suh, 2001).       27         Figure 3.1 Flow chart of the experimental processes used in this project.       29         Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for the deposition of GNPs onto CF       30         Figure 3.3: The GNPs and DW suspension sonicate in Kudos SK2200HP bath ultrasonicator.       30	Figure 2.5: Graphic diagram of dipping deposition method
dimensional carbon shapes, (b) rolled in 1D nanotubes, and (c) lined in 3D graphite (Geim & Novoselov, 2007)	Figure 2.6: Polymetric structure of aramid fibers (Dutton et al., 2004)
<ul> <li>illustration. The inset shows the agglomerates of the CNT (Koumoulos et al., 2019)</li></ul>	dimensional carbon shapes, (b) rolled in 1D nanotubes, and (c) lined in 3D graphite (Geim &
ZnO coatings of various potential (Wang, Leu & Hon, 2004).22Figure 2.10: Axiomatic Product Design (Suh, 2001).27Figure 2.11: The structure of the design matrix (Suh, 1990).28Figure 3.1 Flow chart of the experimental processes used in this project.29Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for the deposition of GNPs onto CF30Figure 3.3: The GNPs and DW suspension sonicate in Kudos SK2200HP bath ultrasonicator.	
Figure 2.11: The structure of the design matrix (Suh, 1990)	
Figure 3.1 Flow chart of the experimental processes used in this project	Figure 2.10: Axiomatic Product Design (Suh, 2001)
Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of the experimental set-up for the deposition of GNPs onto CF fabric surface via EPD process	Figure 2.11: The structure of the design matrix (Suh, 1990)
fabric surface via EPD process	Figure 3.1 Flow chart of the experimental processes used in this project
	Figure 3.3: The GNPs and DW suspension sonicate in Kudos SK2200HP bath ultrasonicator.

Figure 3.4: The EPD experimental set-up	3
Figure 4.1: Zeta potential values of GNP (750 m2/g) nanofluids as a function of pH value	
(Mehrali et al., 2014)	7
Figure 4.2: Colloidal stability of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) dispersion in distilled water	
(DW) without the presence of the applied voltage	9
Figure 4.3: Colloidal stability of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) dispersion in N,N-	
dimethylformamide (DMF) without the presence of the applied voltage	0
Figure 4.4: UV-Vis spectrophotometers of GNPs nanofluids at different concentrations and	
wavelength (Mehrali et al., 2014)	1
Figure 4.5: UV-Vis spectrophotometers of GNPs dissolved in DMF solution with different	
concentrations (0.026, 0.031, 0.036, 0.042, 0.047, 0.052, 0.078, 0.104, 0.13 mg/mL) (León et	
al., 2011)	3
Figure 4.6: GNPs deposited onto fiber after EPD process during a) 2 mins, b) 3 mins, c) 5	
mins), d) 20 mins (Jiménez-Suárez et al., 2016) 4	5
Figure 4.7: SEM images of CF coated by GNPs 4	6

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BET	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller				
CNT	Carbon Nanotube				
CVD	Chemical Vapor Deposition				
DMF	Dimethylformamide				
DW	Distilled Water				
EPD	Electrophoretic Deposition				
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy				
FTO	Fluorine-doped tin oxide				
GNP	Graphene Nanoplatelet				
ITO	Indium tin oxide				
KBr	Potassium Bromide				
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet-Visible				

## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Research Background**

Fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) are in essence of a material that is composite which forms a polymer matrix combined with other reinforcing materials for instance fiber. In particular, the fibers are basalt, carbon, glass, and aramid, while asbestos, wood, and paper may also be used in certain situations. These days, FRP is flexible engineering materials used in various engineering fields despite their high particular strength-to-weight ratio, simple to mold different shapes through a one-step production process and less prone to corrosion, rendering them relatively low maintenance expenses compared to conventional metal for structural application (Hung et al., 2018). Due to extreme mechanical properties such as small value of density, the specific strength and modulus are high, low thermal expansion, and can tolerate with thermal expansion, FRP as structural materials has provided researchers the opportunity to create composite materials of high performance.

Besides, high surface carbon regions are current conductive materials as a conducting filler, for example, carbon nanofibers, graphene nanoplatelets (GNP), and carbon nanotubes (CNT). These nanoparticles' remarkable electrical and essential properties have made them allure for multi-uses in the automotive and aviation industries. Previous studies showed that GNPs often show better thermal stability and electrochemical behavior, along with properties of gas barriers. Diba et al. (2016) stated that controlled processing methods are important to utilize GNPs properties for the development of practical products. The usage of graphite nanoparticles as fillers to create nanocomposites of graphite–polymer has a cost-benefit as well as strong mechanical properties (Park et al., 2008).

The Electrophoretic Deposition (EPD) method in the manufacturing of advanced materials of ceramic and coatings has received the demand in educational and industrial sectors due to the great adaptability with various materials and their variations, and due to its cost-effectiveness involving basic apparatus as well. Besra and Liu (2007) stated that EPD was documented since 1808 when Russian scientist Ruess determined the motion in the water of clay particles caused by an electrical field. EPD is a desirable method for handling and deposition of nanomaterials in particular and especially for GNPs. EPD of coating has already achieved international recognition for aerospace, appliance, and general industrial coatings. High levels of automation, minimal pollution levels, high throw power, and homogeneity of the coating are the benefits that contributed to the use of EPD (Pierce, 1981). Other advantages are the advanced adherence of coatings that deposited electrophoretically and its higher density compared to dipped or sprayed coatings (Lamb & Reid, 1960).

Advanced FRPs are now used in aerospace engineering to produce several sections for structural products, such as fuselage frames, wings, tails, and door, as well as satellite and missile parts (Mangalgiri, 1999). Great mechanical and physical features are the prime specifications for advanced polymer fiber composites for aerospace applications. On the other side, Mangalgiri (1991) stated that for some time, massive all-composite aircraft were at the anvil. Those non-metallic products favorably compare with conventional metallic materials such as Al-Li, Al-Cu, Al-Zn, and Ti, new advanced alloys. The surface structures found useful in the aviation sector are focused on the fiber reinforcement is given in Table 1.1.

Fiber	Density (g/cc)	Modulus (GPa)	Strength (GPa)	Field area
Glass				
E-glass	2 to 55	65 to 75	2.2 to 2.6	Small parts of aircraft passenger, aircraft interiors.
S-glass	2 to 47	85 to 95	4.4 to 4.8	Greater loaded parts that place in passenger aircraft.
Aramid				
Low modulus	1 to 44	80 to 85	2.7 to 2.8	Bearing parts that are non-load.

Table 1.1: FRP commonly used in aviation fields (Mangalgiri, 1999).

2

Intermediate modulus	1 to 44	120 to 128	2.7 to 2.8	Casings motor of a rocket.
High modulus	1 to 48	160 to 170	2.3 to 2.4	Greater parts of loaded.
Carbon				
Standard	1.77 to	220 to	3.0 to 3.5	Almost all parts used in aircraft,
modulus	1.80	240		satellites, and antenna dishes.
(high strength)				
Intermediate	1.77 to	270 to	5.4 to 5.7	Primary structural parts in high
modulus	1.81	300		performance fighters.
High modulus	1.77 to	390 to	2.8 to 3.0	Structures of the space.
	1.80	450	4.0 to 4.5	
Ultra-high	1.80 to	290 to	7.0 to 7.5	Primary structural parts that put in
strength	1.82	310		high performance fighters.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

There are many new technologies where nanoparticles ought to be distributed into a polymer matrix. Such distributed particle structures are primarily used to improve the characteristics of the composite material. In addition, particles that agglomerate can minimize material efficiency by creating voids that serve as preferred locations for crack initiation and malfunction. Different methods are used to produce these fiber coatings, such as dip coating, spray coating, chemical vapor deposition, electrophoretic deposition, and so on. The method used to deposit GNPs in this study will be electrophoretic deposition (EPD). EPD has been found by previous researchers to be the best method because the EPD system has a limited period of formation, a convenient system, and the ability to produce uniform coatings. EPD also gives simple control over film thickness and morphology by easily changing depositing time and applied voltage. Previous researches have proved that nanofiller such as GNPs have improved compared to conventional composites in mechanical, electrical, thermal, and resistance (barrier) properties. Saba et al. (2014) stated that nanotechnologies are expected to have an impact and effect on the global economy of at least \$3 trillion by 2020. However, nanotechnology-based industries, considering nanoparticles, are projected to need at least six million employees worldwide to support them by the end of the decade (Roco, Mirkin & Hersam, 2011).

In this study, the consequences of voltages set and time of deposition on GNPs dispersed in distilled water was determined to discover a homogenous deposit of the GNPs on the CF surfaces. GNPs are used as the filler because of their high surface area and it has been shown to enhance properties relative to unfilled polymer for polymer-GNP nanocomposites (Yang et al., 2010). Vandeperre and Biest (1999) stated that electrolysis happens at low voltages (almost 5V) and therefore gas bubbles at electrodes are possible at field strengths that are high enough to provide short deposition periods. According to the previous researches, high deposition voltage, short deposition time, and a short distance between electrodes may create more porous and thicker films. These have resulted in increased particle contact during migration while applying the electric field. In this scenario, the tendency of the particles to agglomerate during EPD is higher through looser packing, thicker and more porous coating. Therefore, it is important to make sure the EPD system is stable in appropriate voltage and deposition times to create homogenous deposition.

The EPD medium that is usually used to produce stable colloidal suspensions is organic and water-based EPD (Ervina et al., 2019). Stability of suspension is important as a stable suspension will not lead flocculation to happen and a thick adherent material deposits due to sedimentation at the bottom of the cell. However, too much stability disables EPD because to counteract the repulsive forces between particles, a strong electrical field is required (Aliofkhazraei & Makhlouf, 2016). On the other hand, water is much more friendly to the environment, but the electrolysis of water creates gas bubbles that undermine the deposited layer's performance. However, it has been reported that the use of low voltage in an aqueous EPD system could prevent the incorporation of bubbles (Besra et al., 2009; Besra et al., 2008).

The principle of axiomatic design, which is a widely used approach to optimizing design parameters, was used for the analytical design of EPD experiments. This method was suggested to improve the consistency of the deposition by applying mathematical and technical principles. As experimental approaches are often time-consuming and costly, the need to achieve the design objectives for the least number of experiments is a significant criterion.

### 1.3 Objectives

The purpose of this project is to evaluate the electrophoretic deposition (EPD) of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) dispersed in different solvents onto carbon fiber (CF) via axiomatic design concept.

The objectives of the project are as follows:

- 1. To evaluate the design of EPD parameters via axiomatic design concept.
- 2. To compare the stability of GNPs in the organic and non-organic solvents.
- To determine the effect of applied voltages and deposition times on the deposition of GNPs onto CF fabric surface.

#### **1.4 Summary of the Report**

This project report consists of five chapters which are split by Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Results and Discussions, and Conclusion.

Chapter 1 describes the introduction of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs) and their applications in the engineering field. The nanoparticles that act as conducting filler and the methods of FRPs coating for example EPD system are also described in this chapter. This chapter also includes the problem statement and objectives. A problem statement was summarized to identify the research gap of the studies. The primary objectives of the study are carefully outlined in this chapter.

Chapter 2 reviews the literature on the recent progress made by previous researchers regarding the existing EPD systems and how it developed and worked with fiber-reinforced polymer and nanoparticles that are related to this project. The content in this chapter is organized accordingly into six subsections, such as fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composite, components of composite materials, properties of nanofillers, dispersion method, electrophoretic deposition process, and axiomatic design respectively.

Chapter 3 reports on the methodology of conceptual design, materials, sample preparation, and characterizations in the present study. This chapter is split into five subsections. The apparatus set up is also included in this chapter.

Chapter 4 reports the analysis design and expected outcome of the project related to the electrophoretic deposition (EPD) of graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) dispersed in different solvents onto carbon fiber (CF) via axiomatic design concept. This chapter is organized into five subsections, such as axiomatic design concept, Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) analysis, colloidal stability, Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer, and surface morphology of GNP and deposited GNPs onto CF.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusion of the project. The summary is based on the chapters that have been written in this report. It evaluates the achievements of the present works as well as some suggestions for future work.

## **CHAPTER 2**

## LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composite

Fibers that consist of high strength and elements that are embedded into matrix of distinct interfaces between them are known as Fiber-reinforced Polymer (FRP) composite materials. Fibers and matrix maintain their physical and chemical identities in this phase, and they establish a mixture of properties that cannot be accomplished by interacting individually with either of the constituents (Mallick, 2008). Given their generally high price, fiber-reinforced composite materials have gained attention for high-performance goods that need to be lightweight. Mallick (2008) stated that the most common form of use of fiber-reinforced composites in structural fields is called a laminate produced by piling and consolidating a combination of thin fiber layers and matrix into the specific thickness.

In recent decades, several researchers and scientists have attracted the attention of natural fibers because of their benefits over conventional glass and carbon fiber as an alternative to chemical composites. (Saheb & Jog, 1999). Natural fibers have multiple advantages over manmade glass and synthetic fibers, such as cheap, lower density, comparable basic strength properties, skin-free discomfort, reduced energy use, reduced health risk, renewability, recyclability and biodegradability (Malkapuram, Kumar, & Singh Negi, 2009). Nevertheless, the incompatibility of certain hydrophilic fibers and hydrophobic thermoplastic matrices with natural fibers or polymers is a certain restriction. Therefore, Malkapuram et al. (2009) stated that the fiber surface needs to be modified by using chemical modifications to enhance fiber-matrix adhesion.