

Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness: Hard Measures versus Soft Measures and Moderating Role of Knowledge Sharing

**Chin Chee Hua** 

## Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness: Hard Measures versus Soft Measures and Moderating Role of Knowledge Sharing

Chin Chee Hua

## A thesis submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

(Business Tourism)

Faculty of Economics and Business

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulations of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Except where due acknowledgements have been made, the work is that of the author alone. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.



......

Signature

Name: Chin Chee Hua

Matric No.: 15010168

Faculty of Economics and Business

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Date: 30 April 2021

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere and heartfelt thanks for the encouragement and assistance given by a number of delightful people in the preparation of this thesis. In the absence of their assistance, it would not have been possible to complete the thesis.

First and foremost, my sincere appreciation goes to my main supervisor, Professor Dr. Lo May Chiun, who has patiently led and advised me throughout the course of this study. Her exceptional knowledge, research skills, wisdom and personality, have certainly inspired and enriched me in many ways, both professionally and personally. I really appreciate Professor Lo's willingness to spend so much of her precious time in educating me and providing invaluable guidance. I owe her the countless hours she spends in educating me on my thesis. It is indeed difficult for me to quantify my gratitude towards her and I feel honored to be under her supervision.

Furthermore, I would also like to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Dr. Abang Azlan Mohamad, who shared valuable advice and assisted my studies. As he constantly inspired me to have a steady focus in my life, I am deeply thankful for his support and on-going encouragement to complete my study.

Moreover, I would like to acknowledge Kementerian Pendidikan Malaysia: MyBrain15 – MyPhD for granting me the scholarship to support my semester fees throughout my study. I owe a special thanks to all the Faculty committees at the Faculty of Economics and Business (FEB), especially Associate Professor Dr. Rossazana Abdul Rahim, the dean of FEB, who helped me throughout my study. Their valuable ideas, support and assistance are gratefully

acknowledged. Likewise, I also owe gratitude to tourists and local communities in the study location who have willingly cooperated in the difficult task of data collection.

Also, I am most grateful to my parents, Chin Shang Kwong and Tan Hui Kiang and my sister, Chin Chee Ling. They have provided continuous care, unconditional love and support to my academic endeavours. Most importantly, my deepest gratitude to my wife, Karyn Kong, for her constant support throughout my PhD journey.

My sincere gratitude to the Centre for Graduate Studies, for the advice and support given during my period of study in Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Also, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my internal examiner, Dr. Norizan Jaafar, as well as my external examiners, Professor Dr Vikneswaran Nair and Professor Dr Ahmad Shuib, for their valuable advice and suggestions in making this thesis excellent.

Finally, I would like to thank the management of the Universiti Malaysia Sarawak for making it possible for me to complete my study here in Sarawak. Thank you all.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Within the tourism literature, there has been a growing interest in the notion of destination competitiveness and there is an increasing trend showing more authors have been taking initiatives investigating the concept of destination competitiveness in the rural tourism context. Realising the fact that the tourism sector was one of the world's largest growth industries before the COVID-19 pandemic, and the relevance of rural tourism post the pandemic, rural tourism activities are therefore seen to play an essential role in contributing towards rural economic and social welfare, and indirectly, to the country's economic growth. Therefore, in the review of the current relevant literature in tourism and rural tourism destination, gaps of the literature have been identified and a research model was proposed by the researcher. There is a need to examine and identify factors contributing to the enhancement and development of rural tourism destination competitiveness because these critical factors could provide considerable help to the rural tourism industry. This study intends to investigate the impact of hard measures (i.e., destination appeal and tourism infrastructure) and soft measures (i.e., service quality and destination image) on rural tourism destination competitiveness (i.e., sustainable management, destination marketing efforts, as well as a comparative and competitive advantage). Also, knowledge sharing was adopted as the moderator variable to testify its moderating impact in enhancing the relationship among the four predictors to rural tourism destination competitiveness. Three underlying theories were used to underpin the research framework, namely, Competitiveness Theory, Stakeholder Theory and the Social Exchange Theory. This study took place in six of the rural tourism destinations in Sarawak. A quantitative approach and survey questionnaires were used as the research instruments for data collection. 390 rows of data were used, comprising of respondents from both the international and domestic tourists visited the study sites. The

WarpPLS (version 6.0) was used to perform the PLS-SEM analysis to assess the research model. The findings of this study show that ten of the direct relationship hypotheses tested were supported. Interestingly, three of the moderating hypotheses were supported as well. Knowledge sharing was found to have a positive moderating impact on service quality and sustainable management. Also, knowledge sharing was found to positively moderated the relationship between service quality to comparative and competitive advantage. Knowledge sharing was found to have a positive moderating impact on destination image to sustainable management. To summarize, this study significantly enhanced both scholars' and practitioners' understanding of the impact on both hard (i.e., destination appeal and tourism infrastructure) and soft (i.e., service quality and destination image) measures on the development of rural tourism destination competitiveness (i.e., sustainable management, destination marketing efforts and comparative as well as a competitive advantage) with tourist's knowledge sharing as the moderators that enhanced the relationship among the predictors and dependent variables. This study provides a basis for future researchers interested in the field of rural tourism studies to further investigate the relationship among the constructs.

**Keywords:** Hard and soft measures, destination competitiveness, moderator, rural tourism, Sarawak

## Daya Saing Destinasi Pelancongan Luar Bandar: Faktor-Faktor Keras Berbanding Faktor-Faktor Lembut dan Menyederhanakan Peranan Berkongsi Pengetahuan

#### **ABSTRAK**

Pada linkungan sastera pelancongan, terdapat peningkatan pada segi minat terhadap persepsi tujuan daya saing dan pertambahan pada pola menunjukkan banyak sasterawan mengambil inisiatif penyelidikan terhadap konsep tujuan daya saing dalam konteks pelancongan luar bandar. Kesedaran bahawa sektor pelancongan merupakan salah satu industri pertumbuhan terbesar di dunia sebelum wabak pandemik dan kesesuaian pelancongan luar bandar di pasca covid-19, aktiviti pelancongan luar bandar memainkan peranan penting dalam perkembangan ekonomi luar bandar dan kesejahteraan sosial serta secara tidak langsung memberi impak terhadap kemajuan ekonomi negara. Oleh itu, dalam kajian sastera yang berkaitan pada masa kini dalam destinasi pelancongan luar bandar, jurang sastera telah dikenal pasti dan model kajian telah dicadangkan oleh penyelidik. Terdapat keperluan untuk meneliti dan mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang menyumbang kepada peningkatan dan pengembangan daya saing destinasi pelancongan luar bandar kerana faktor-faktor ini dapat memberikan banyak bantuan kepada industri pelancongan luar bandar. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyelidiki kesan faktor-faktor keras (misalnya, daya tarikan destinasi dan infrastruktur pelancongan) dan factor-faktor lembut (iaitu, kualiti perkhidmatan dan imej destinasi) terhadap daya saing destinasi pelancongan luar bandar (iaitu, pengurusan lestari, usaha pemasaran destinasi, serta kelebihan perbandingan dan daya saing). Juga, perkongsian pengetahuan diadaptasi sebagai pemboleh ubah moderator untuk membuktikan kesannya yang sederhana dalam meningkatkan hubungan antara empat peramal dengan daya saing destinasi pelancongan luar bandar. Tiga teori asas digunakan untuk menyokong kerangka penyelidikan, iaitu Teori

Daya Saing, Teori Pemangku Kepentingan dan Teori Pertukaran Sosial. Kajian ini dilakukan di enam destinasi pelancongan luar bandar di Sarawak. Pendekatan kuantitatif dan soal selidik tinjauan digunakan sebagai instrumen kajian untuk pengumpulan data. 390 baris data digunakan, terdiri daripada responden dari pelancong antarabangsa dan domestik yang mengunjungi lokasi kajian. WarpPLS (versi 6.0) digunakan untuk melakukan analisis PLS-SEM untuk menilai model kajian. Dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa sepuluh hubungan hipotesis secara langsung dan tiga hipotesis moderasi yang diuji telah disokong. Perkongsian pengetahuan didapati memberi kesan positif yang sederhana terhadap kualiti perkhidmatan dan pengurusan lestari. Juga, perkongsian pengetahuan didapati secara positif menyederhanakan hubungan antara kualiti perkhidmatan dengan kelebihan perbandingan dan daya saing. Perkongsian pengetahuan memberi kesan positif yang sederhana terhadap imej destinasi kepada pengurusan lestari. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini secara signifikan telah meningkatkan pemahaman para sarjana dan pengamal mengenai kesan terhadap factor-faktor keras dan factor-faktor lembut terhadap peningkatan daya saing destinasi pelancongan luar bandar (iaitu pengurusan lestari, usaha pemasaran destinasi dan perbandingan serta kelebihan daya saing) dengan perkongsian pengetahuan pelancong sebagai penyederhana yang meningkatkan hubungan antara peramal dan pemboleh ubah bersandar. Kajian ini memberi asas kepada penyelidik pada masa depan yang berminat dalam bidang kajian pelancongan luar bandar untuk mengkaji lebih jauh hubungan antara konstruk.

**Kata kunci:** Faktor-faktor yang keras dan lembut, daya saing destinasi, penyederhana, pelancongan luar bandar, Sarawak

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DECLA	RATION	i
ACKNO	DWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTR	ACT	iv
<b>ABSTR</b> A	AK	vi
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST O	F TABLES	xxi
LIST O	F FIGURES	xxiii
СНАРТ	TER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.1.1	The Malaysian Scenario	7
1.2	Problem Statement	15
1.3	Research Objectives	18
1.3.1	General Objective	18
1.3.2	Specific Objectives	18
1.4	Research Questions	20
1.5	Definition of Key Terms	21
1.6	Significance of the Study	23

1.7	Scope of the Study	26
1.8	Organization of Chapters	26
СНАРТ	TER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	28
2.1	Introduction	28
2.2	Definition of Rural Tourism	29
2.3	The Concepts of Destination Competitiveness	35
2.3.1	Sustainable Management	41
2.3.2	Destination Marketing Efforts	45
2.3.3	Comparative and Competitive Advantage	47
2.4	Antecedents of Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness	49
2.5	Consequences of Development of Rural Tourism Destination	
	Competitiveness	52
2.6	The Concept of Destination Appeal	54
2.7	The Concept of Tourism Infrastructure	59
2.8	The Concept of Service Quality	63
2.9	The Concept of Destination Image	66
2.10	Knowledge Sharing (As a Moderator)	70
2.11	Underlying Theories	73
2.11.1	Competitiveness Theory	75
2.11.2	Stakeholder Theory	77

2.11.3	Social Exchange Theory (SET)	79
2.12	Theoretical Framework	81
2.12.1	Gaps in the Literature	81
2.12.2	Justification of the Theoretical Framework	84
2.12.3	Description of Variables	85
2.13	Development of Hypotheses	86
2.13.1	Destination Appeal and Destination Competitiveness	86
2.13.1.1	Destination Appeal and Sustainable Management	86
2.13.1.2	Destination Appeal and Destination Marketing Efforts	87
2.13.1.3	Destination Appeal to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	88
2.13.2	Tourism Infrastructure and Destination Competitiveness	89
2.13.2.1	Tourism Infrastructure and Sustainable Management	89
2.13.2.2	Tourism Infrastructure and Destination Marketing Efforts	90
2.13.2.3	Tourism Infrastructure to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	91
2.13.3	Service Quality and Destination Competitiveness	92
2.13.3.1	Service Quality and Sustainable Management	92
2.13.3.2	Service Quality and Destination Marketing Efforts	93
2.13.3.3	Service Quality to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	93
2.13.4	Destination Image and Destination Competitiveness	94
2.13.4.1	Destination Image and Sustainable Management	94

2.13.4.2	Destination Image and Destination Marketing Efforts	95
2.13.4.3	Destination Image to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	96
2.13.5	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Destination	
	Competitiveness	96
2.13.5.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Sustainable	
	Management	96
2.13.5.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	98
2.13.5.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal as well as Comparative	
	and Competitive Advantage	99
2.13.6	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Destination	
	Competitiveness	100
2.13.6.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Sustainable	
	Management	100
2.13.6.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	101
2.13.6.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure as well as	
	Comparative and Competitive Advantage	102
2.13.7	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Destination	
	Competitiveness	103
2.13.7.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Sustainable	
	Management	103

2.13.7.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	104
2.13.7.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality as well as	
	Comparative and Competitive Advantage	105
2.13.8	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Destination	
	Competitiveness	106
2.13.8.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Sustainable	
	Management	106
2.13.8.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	107
2.13.8.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image as well as Comparative	
	and Competitive Advantage	108
2.14	Summary	109
СНАРТ	ER 3: METHODOLOGY	110
3.1	Introduction	110
3.2	Research Sites	110
3.2.1	Annah Rais Bidayuh Longhouse, Kuching	116
3.2.2	Kampung Po Ai Melugu, Sri Aman	117
3.2.3	Rumah Panjang Bawang Assan, Sibu	118
3.2.4	Rumah Benjamin Angki Homestay, Kanowit, Sibu	119
3.2.5	Bario Kelabit Highlands, Miri	120

3.2.6	Ba'kelalan Homestay, Lawas, Miri	121
3.3	Research Design and Sample	122
3.3.1	Data Collection Procedures	125
3.3.2	Participatory Approach	126
3.4	Development of Research Questionnaire	128
3.5	Measures	133
3.5.1	Destination Appeal	133
3.5.2	Tourism Infrastructure	134
3.5.3	Service Quality	134
3.5.4	Destination Image	134
3.5.5	Knowledge Sharing	135
3.5.6	Sustainable Management	135
3.5.7	Destination Marketing Efforts	136
3.5.8	Comparative and Competitive Advantage	136
3.5.9	Demographic Profile	137
3.6	Pre-test	137
3.7	Statistical Analyses	140
3.7.1	Descriptive Statistics	140
3.7.2	Common Method Variance (CMV)	141
3.7.3	Reliability Analysis	142

3.7.4	Multicollinearity Statistics	143
3.7.5	Structural Equation Modeling – Partial Least Square (SEM-PLS)	143
3.7.5.1	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	148
3.7.5.2	Composite Reliability (CR)	149
3.7.5.3	Cronbach's Alpha	150
3.7.5.4	Convergent Validity	151
3.7.5.5	Discriminant Validity	151
3.7.5.6	Coefficient of Determinants $((R^2)$	151
3.7.5.7	Predictive relevance (Q <sup>2</sup> )	152
3.7.5.8	Effect size $(f^2)$	152
3.7.5.9	Variance Inflation Factors (VIF)	153
3.8	Summary	153
CHAP	TER 4: RESULTS	154
4.1	Introducion	154
4.2	Profile of Respondents	154
4.3	Common Method Variance (CMV)	158
4.4	The Statistical Overview of the Variables	158
4.4.1	Assessment of the Measurement Model	162
4.4.1.1	Loading and Cross Loading	167
4.4.1.2	Convergent Validity	170

4.4.1.3	t-Value	171
4.4.1.4	Discriminant Validity	173
4.4.1.5	Reliability Test	174
4.4.1.6	Coefficient of Determinants $(R^2)$	177
4.4.1.7	Predictive Relevance (Q <sup>2</sup> )	177
4.5	Assessment of the Structural Model	178
4.5.1	Hypothesis Testing	178
4.5.2	Findings of Hypothesis Testing	179
4.5.2.1	Destination Appeal to Sustainable Management	186
4.5.2.2	Destination Appeal to Destination Marketing Efforts	187
4.5.2.3	Destination Appeal to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	187
4.5.2.4	Tourism Infrastructure to Sustainable Management	187
4.5.2.5	Tourism Infrastructure to Destination Marketing Efforts	188
4.5.2.6	Tourism Infrastructure to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	188
4.5.2.7	Service Quality to Sustainable Management	188
4.5.2.8	Service Quality to Destination Marketing Efforts	188
4.5.2.9	Service Quality to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	189
4.5.2.10	Destination Image to Sustainable Management	189
4.5.2.11	Destination Image to Destination Marketing Efforts	189
4.5.2.12	Destination Image to Comparative and Competitive Advantage	190

4.5.2.13	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Sustainable	
	Management	190
4.5.2.14	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	190
4.5.2.15	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal as well as Comparative	
	and Competitive Advantage	191
4.5.2.16	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Sustainable	
	Management	191
4.5.2.17	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	191
4.5.2.18	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure as well as	
	Comparative and Competitive Advantage	192
4.5.2.19	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Sustainable	
	Management	192
4.5.2.20	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Destination Marketing	
	Efforts	193
4.5.2.21	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality as well as Comparative	
	and Competitive Advantage	193
4.5.2.22	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Sustainable	
	Management	194
4.5.2.23	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts	195

4.5.2.24	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image as well as Comparative	
	and Competitive Advantage	196
4.5	Summary	196
СНАРТ	ER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	197
5.1	Introduction	197
5.2	The Backdrop	197
5.3	Discussion	200
5.3.1	Destination Appeal and Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness	203
5.3.1.1	Destination Appeal and Sustainable Management (H1)	204
5.3.1.2	Destination Appeal and Destination Marketing Efforts (H2)	205
5.3.1.3	Destination Appeal and Comparative as well as Competitive	
	Advantage (H3)	205
5.3.2	Tourism Infrastructure and Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness	206
5.3.2.1	Tourism Infrastructure and Sustainable Management (H4)	207
5.3.2.2	Tourism Infrastructure and Destination Marketing Efforts (H5)	208
5.3.2.3	Tourism Infrastructure and Comparative as well as Competitive	
	Advantage (H6)	209
5.3.3	Service Quality and Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness	209
5.3.3.1	Service Quality and Sustainable Management (H7)	210
5.3.3.2	Service Quality and Destination Marketing Efforts (H8)	210
5.3.3.3	Service Quality and Comparative as well as Competitive Advantage (H9)	211

5.3.4	Destination Image and Rural Tourism Destination Competitiveness	212
5.3.4.1	Destination Image and Sustainable Management (H10)	212
5.3.4.2	Destination Image and Destination Marketing Efforts (H11)	213
5.3.4.3	Destination Image and Comparative as well as Competitive Advantage	
	(H12)	214
5.3.5	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Rural Tourism	
	Destination Competitiveness	215
5.3.5.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and	
	Sustainable Management (13)	215
5.3.5.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts (H14)	216
5.3.5.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Appeal and Comparative as	
	well as Competitive Advantage (H15)	218
5.3.6	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Rural	
	Tourism Destination Competitiveness	219
5.3.6.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and	
	Sustainable Management (H16)	220
5.3.6.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts (H17)	221
5.3.6.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Tourism Infrastructure and Comparative	
	as well as Competitive Advantage (H18)	221

5.3.7	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Rural Tourism	
	Destination Competitiveness	222
5.3.7.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Sustainable	
	Management (H19)	223
5.3.7.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Destination	
	Marketing Efforts (H20)	224
5.3.7.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Service Quality and Comparative as	
	well as Competitive Advantage (H21)	225
5.3.8	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Rural Tourism	
	Destination Competitiveness	226
5.3.8.1	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Sustainable	22.
	Management (H22)	226
5.3.8.2	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Destination	227
	Marketing Efforts (H23)	227
5.3.8.3	Knowledge Sharing Moderates Destination Image and Comparative as well as Competitive Advantage (H24)	228
5.4		
	Implications	229
5.4.1	Theoretical Implications	229
5.4.2	Practical Implications	232
5.5	Strenghts and Potential Limitations of the Research	238
5.6	Directions for Future Research	240
5 7	Conclusion	242

REFERENCES	244
APPENDICES	338

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Tourist Arrivals and Receipts to Malaysia	12
Table 1.2	Tourist Arrivals to Sarawak by Domestic, International	
	and Overall	13
Table 2.1	Types of Term Used for Rural Tourism	34
Table 2.2	Definition of Destination Competitiveness	38
Table 2.3	Summary of Variables Covered by Competitiveness Theory,	
	Stakeholder Theory and Social Exchange Theory (SET)	75
Table 3.1	Characteristics of the Selected Research Sites	113
Table 3.2	Summary of the Measurement of the Constructs	131
Table 3.3	Outcomes of Pre-testing Questionnaire	138
Table 3.4	Assessing Measurement Models	147
Table 3.5	Assessing Structural Models	148
Table 4.1	Demographic Profile of Respondents	155
Table 4.2	Total Variance Explained	159
Table 4.3	Mean and Standard Deviation	160
Table 4.4	The Skewness and Excess Kurtosis	164
Table 4.5	The Results of Measurement Model	165

Table 4.6	Loading and Cross Loadings	168
Table 4.7	Summary Results of the Model Constructs	171
Table 4.8	Discriminant Validity of Constructs	174
Table 4.9	Results of the Reliability Test	175
Table 4.10	The R2 Values (R-squared)	177
Table 4.11	The Q2 Values (Q-squared)	178
Table 4.12	Path Coefficients and Hypotheses Testing	180