



**Faculty of Resource Science and Technology**

**Fish Composition and Physicochemical Parameters at the Upper Stretch of  
Baram River, Sarawak**

**Juliana Sambai anak Sibat**

**Master of Science  
2018**

Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

Please tick (✓)

Final Year Project Report

Masters

PhD

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This declaration is made on the .....day of.....<sup>2018</sup>2016.

Student's Declaration:

I Juliana Sambai anak Sibat, 15020821, Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

(PLEASE INDICATE STUDENT'S NAME, MATRIC NO. AND FACULTY) hereby declare that the work entitled, Fish Composition and Physicochemical Parameters at the Upper Stretch of Baram River, Sarawak is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

8/10/18

Date submitted

Juliana Sambai anak Sibat (15020821)

Name of the student (Matric No.)

Supervisor's Declaration:

I Prof Dr. Lee Nyanti (SUPERVISOR'S NAME) hereby certifies that the work entitled, Fish Composition and Physicochemical Parameters at the Upper Stretch of Baram River, Sarawak (TITLE) was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a \* partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of Master of Science (Aquatic Science) (PLEASE INDICATE THE DEGREE), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work

Received for examination by: Prof Dr. Lee Nyanti  
(Name of the supervisor)

Date: 8 October 2018

I declare this Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick (✓)):

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)\*  
 **RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)\*  
 **OPEN ACCESS**

**Validation of Project/Thesis**

I therefore duly affirmed with free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies for the purpose of academic and research only and not for other purpose.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitise the content to for the Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic exchange between Higher Learning Institute.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student itself neither third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student except with UNIMAS permission.

Student's signature \_\_\_\_\_ 8/10/18  
(Date)

Supervisor's signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)  
8/10/2018

Current Address:  
Lot 6593, Desa Pujut, Bandar Baru Pemyjaya, 98000, Miri, Sarawak.

Notes: \* If the Project/Thesis is **CONFIDENTIAL** or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the period and reasons of confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument was duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

Fish Composition and Physicochemical Parameters at the Upper Stretch of  
Baram River, Sarawak

Juliana Sambai anak Sibat

A thesis submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

(Aquatic Science)

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the work in this thesis was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. It is original and is the result of my work, unless otherwise indicated or acknowledged as referenced work. This thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

---

(JULIANA SAMBAI ANAK SIBAT)

Faculty of Resource Science and Technology

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and above all, I praise God, for His showers of blessings of provision for me when I am in need since the very beginning and granting me the opportunity with full of blessing.

My sincere thanks go to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Lee Nyanti for giving me an opportunity to do this project. I am fully indebted for the knowledge given throughout the learning process. Thank you for spending your time to encourage, guide and teach me. To my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Ling Teck Yee, thank you for your constant support and constructive suggestion throughout the process. I would also like to thank Dr. Jongkar Grinang for his help during the sampling trip. Thanks for being such a good advisor along the journey.

Let me take this opportunity to thank the staff members of FRST, Mr Harris Norman, Mr Richard Toh, Mr Benedict Samling, Mdm Lucy and not to forget Allahyarham Zul for their helping hands throughout the field work. Besides, I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to our boatmen Mr Anyie, Mr Erang, Mr Jeffery, Mr Belawan and Mr Nyipa. Thanks for being such a good friends and cheering me up with your funny stories.

To my batchmates and friends, Jakheus, Fatin, Ainil, Kirol, Nur Ezzaty, Iskandar, Imanina, Abdul Rani, Muzakkir, Jing Jing, Mugilan, Faizul, Angie, Kasturi, Jay, Fakha, Hafida and Ezai; thanks for making my student life so wonderful. Thanks for always sticking by my side in my best and worst times. Our memories will be cherished forever.

I want to extend my deepest appreciation to my backbones, Mr Sibat and Mdm Bernadette, for their encouragement and support in everything I do. Without them I would not be who I am today. Besides, I also would like to thank my brothers and sister for their motivation throughout my adventures. Last but not least, the financial support from Sarawak Energy Berhad (SEB) through grant GL (F07)/SEB/3A/2013 (20) and facilities and transportation from Universiti Malaysia Sarawak for are greatly appreciated.

## ABSTRACT

This present study aimed to investigate the diversity and distribution of freshwater fish from four areas (Lio Mato, Long Apu, Long San and Long Kesseh) in the upper stretch of Baram River, which has not been studied before. Studies were undertaken from August 2015 to July 2016. Sampling was done along 60 to 100 m reach of each tributary using an electro-shocker. The fish were also caught using 3 layered net, cast net and gill nets of various mesh sizes (2.54 cm, 5.08 cm, 7.06 cm, 10.16 cm, and 12.7 cm). Triplicates of selected water parameters were obtained *in situ* at each sampling site using Sonde Multiparameters YSI 6920 V2.2 while the standard method of APHA (2005) was used to measure the *ex situ* water quality parameters. A total of 1,376 fishes belonging to 13 families and 58 species were caught. Cyprinidae is the most abundant freshwater family in Baram River with 63.37% of the total number of individuals caught. *Kryptopterus macrocephalus* is the most dominant species constituting 12.06% of the total individuals caught (166 individuals). Biological Indices such as Shannon-Weiner, Margalef's Index and Pielou's Index were used to determine the diversity and distribution of fish species. Long Apu (LA) recorded the highest Shannon Diversity Index  $H = 1.17$  and the lowest was at Long San (LS) with  $H = 0.93$ . The highest richness Index was recorded at Lio Mato (LM) with  $D = 12.28$  and the lowest was at Long Kesseh (LK) with  $D = 9.69$ . This showed that the total number of species at Lio Mato area is higher compared to the other sampling areas. The highest Pielou's evenness index was recorded at Long Apu (LA) with  $J = 0.36$  and the lowest was at Long San (LS) with  $J = 0.28$ . This shows that fish species in Long Apu area are equally diverse and comparable to the other three areas in Baram River. Pooled water quality readings recorded throughout the study period showed that conductivity, DO, pH, temperature and BOD<sub>5</sub> were classified as Class I, while TSS and

turbidity were categorized as Class III based on NWQS, Malaysia. This showed that the water can be used for irrigation with precaution but extensive treatment is needed before it could be used for domestic purposes. The exponent  $b$  value of LWR ranged from 2.316 (*Kryptopterus apogon*) to 3.487 (*Rasbora caudimaculata*). Length-weight relationship (LWR) and condition factor (K) of selected fish species show that only one species (*Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*) exhibited isometric growth, two species (*Pseudolais micronemus* and *Rasbora caudimaculata*) showed positive allometric growth and the remaining two species (*Kryptopterus apogon* and *Osteochillus enneaporos*) have negative allometric growth. The highest mean condition factor (K), was recorded in *B. schwanenfeldii* ( $1.21 \pm 0.23$ ) while the lowest value was observed in *K. apogon* ( $0.35 \pm 0.03$ ). Higher K value showed that Baram River provided a much better habitat for this species. HSI values varied from 0.106 for *B. collingwoodii* to 0.648 for *R. caudimaculata*. GSI of male varied from 0.39 for *H. planiceps* to 1.17 for *B. collingwoodii*. GSI of female varied from 0.80 for *P. waandersii* to 13.04 for *R. caudimaculata*. Study on the feeding habits of fishes in Baram showed that *Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*, *Luciosoma setigerum*, *Pseudolais micronemus* and *Rasbora caudimaculata* are omnivorous while *Kryptopterus apogon* is carnivorous. *C. apogon* could be classified as a euryphagous omnivore, feeding on a wide range of food of benthic organisms. *Hemibagrus planiceps* is suggested as euryphagous as they feed on wide ranges of food. The findings of this study are expected to benefit the planning and management towards conservation programs in Baram River.

**Keywords:** Fish distribution, diversity index, length-weight relationship, Pielou's index.



## ***Komposisi Ikan dan Parameter-parameter Fiziko-kimia di Ulu Batang Baram, Sarawak***

### **ABSTRAK**

*Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kepelbagaian dan taburan ikan air tawar dari empat kawasan (Lio Mato, Long Apu, Long San dan Long Kesseh) di Ulu Batang Baram, yang belum pernah dikaji sebelum ini. Kajian telah dijalankan dari Ogos 2015 hingga Julai 2016. Persampelan dijalankan pada jarak 60 hingga 100 m pada setiap anak sungai menggunakan teknik kejutan elektrik. Ikan juga ditangkap menggunakan pukot tiga lapis, jala dan pukot insang dari pelbagai saiz (2.54 cm, 5.08 cm, 7.06 cm, 10.16 cm, dan 12.7 cm). Tiga replikat sampel parameter air terpilih diperolehi in situ di setiap kawasan persampelan menggunakan Sonde Multiparameters YSI 6920 V2.2 manakala kaedah piawai APHA (2005) digunakan untuk mengukur parameter-parameter kualiti air ex situ. Sebanyak 1,376 ekor ikan daripada 13 famili dan 58 spesies telah direkodkan. Cyprinidae adalah famili ikan air tawar paling banyak di Batang Baram mewakili 63.37% daripada jumlah individu yang ditangkap. Kryptopterus macrocephalus adalah spesis paling dominan yang mewakili 12.06% daripada jumlah tangkapan (166 individu). Indeks kepelbagaian seperti Shannon-Weiner, Indeks Margalef dan Indeks Pielou digunakan untuk menganalisis kepelbagaian dan taburan spesis ikan. Long Apu (LA) mencatat nilai indeks kepelbagaian Shannon yang tertinggi,  $H = 1.17$  dan yang paling rendah direkodkan di Long San (LS) dengan  $H = 0.93$ . Nilai indeks kekayaan spesis tertinggi dicatatkan di Lio Mato (LM) dengan  $D = 12.28$  dan paling rendah direkodkan di Long Kesseh (LK) dengan  $D = 9.69$ . Ini menunjukkan bahawa bilangan spesis di kawasan Lio Mato lebih tinggi berbanding dengan kawasan yang lain. Nilai indeks kesamaan tertinggi dicatatkan di Long Apu (LA) dengan  $J = 0.36$  dan terendah di Long San (LS) dengan  $J = 0.28$ . Ini menunjukkan bahawa spesis ikan di kawasan Long Apu adalah sama rata dan setara*

dengan tiga lagi kawasan lain di Baram. Nilai semua kualiti air yang didapati sepanjang kajian menunjukkan bahawa kekonduksian, DO, pH, suhu dan BOD<sub>5</sub> diklasifikasikan sebagai Kelas I, manakala TSS dan kekeruhan sebagai Kelas III berdasarkan NWQS, Malaysia. Ini menunjukkan bahawa air sungai boleh digunakan untuk pengairan dengan terkawal tetapi rawatan yang ekstensif diperlukan sebelum ianya dapat digunakan untuk tujuan domestik. Nilai eksponen *b* bagi LWR adalah dari 2.316 (*Kryptopterus apogon*) hingga 3.487 (*Rasbora caudimaculata*). Hubungan panjang berat (LWR) merekodkan hanya satu spesis yang menunjukkan pertumbuhan isometrik (*Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*), dua spesis menunjukkan pertumbuhan alometrik positif (*Pseudolais micronemus* dan *Rasbora caudimaculata*) dan dua spesis (*Kryptopterus apogon* dan *Osteochillus enneaporos*) mengalami pertumbuhan alometrik negatif. Purata faktor keadaan (*K*) yang paling tinggi dicatatkan pada *B. schwanenfeldii* ( $1.21 \pm 0.23$ ) manakala nilai terendah direkodkan pada *K. apogon* ( $0.35 \pm 0.03$ ). Nilai HSI berjulat dari 0.106 untuk *B. collingwoodii* kepada 0.648 untuk *R. caudimaculata*. GSI jantan berjulat dari 0.39 untuk *H. planiceps* kepada 1.17 untuk *B. collingwoodii*. GSI betina berjulat dari 0.80 untuk *P. waandersii* kepada 13.04 untuk *R. caudimaculata*. Kajian pemakanan ikan di Batang Baram menunjukkan bahawa *B. schwanenfeldii*, *L. setigerum*, *P. micronemus* dan *R. caudimaculata* di Batang Baram adalah omnivora, manakala *K. apogon* adalah karnivora. *C. apogon* boleh diklasifikasikan sebagai omnivora yang memakan makanan organisma bentik. *H. planiceps* dikategorikan sebagai euryphagous kerana memakan pelbagai jenis makanan. Penemuan kajian ini diharapkan dapat memberi manfaat kepada perancangan dan pengurusan ke arah program pemuliharaan Sungai Baram.

**Kata kunci:** Taburan ikan, indeks kepelbagaian, hubungan panjang-berat, indeks Pielou.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b><i>ABSTRAK</i></b>	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxii
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objectives	5
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	6
2.1 Freshwater Fish Fauna Composition in Malaysian Waters	6
2.2 Effects of Water Quality on Freshwater Fish	8
2.2.1 Dissolved Oxygen	9
2.2.2 pH	10
2.2.3 Temperature	10
2.2.4 Turbidity	11
2.2.5 BOD <sub>5</sub>	12
2.2.6 Total Suspended Solids	12
2.3 Feeding Habit of Fishes	13

2.4 Length-Weight Relationship	15
2.5 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI)	17
2.6 Gonadosomatic Index (GSI)	17
2.7 Threat to Freshwater Fish	17
<b>CHAPTER 3: FISH FAUNA COMPOSITION AND PHYSICO- CHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF UPPER BARAM RIVER</b>	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Materials and Methods	20
3.2.1 Study Sites	20
3.2.2 Fish Fauna	25
3.2.2.1 Fish Sampling	25
3.2.2.2 Fish Preservation	25
3.2.2.3 Fish Species Identification	25
3.2.2.4 Fish Measurement	26
3.2.3 Water Quality Parameters	26
3.2.3.1 <i>In-situ</i> Water Quality	26
3.2.3.2 <i>Ex-situ</i> Water Quality	26
3.2.3.2.1 Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	26
3.2.3.2.2 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	27
3.2.3.2.3 BOD <sub>5</sub>	29
3.2.4 Biological Indices	30
3.2.5 Statistical Analysis	31
3.3 Results	32
3.3.1 Fish Fauna Composition	32

3.3.1.1 Lio Mato, Baram	32
3.3.1.2 Long Apu, Baram	42
3.3.1.3 Long San, Baram	52
3.3.1.4 Long Kesseh, Baram	61
3.3.1.5 Overall Fish Fauna Composition in Baram River	70
3.3.1.6 Biological Indices	77
3.3.2 Physicochemical Parameters	78
3.3.2.1 Lio Mato, Baram	78
3.3.2.1.1 Conductivity	78
3.3.2.1.2 Dissolved Oxygen	79
3.3.2.1.3 pH	80
3.3.2.1.4 Temperature	81
3.3.2.1.5 Turbidity	82
3.3.2.1.6 BOD <sub>5</sub>	83
3.3.2.1.7 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	84
3.3.2.1.8 Total Suspended Solids	85
3.3.2.2 Long Apu, Baram	86
3.3.2.2.1 Conductivity	86
3.3.2.2.2 Dissolved Oxygen	87
3.3.2.2.3 pH	88
3.3.2.2.4 Temperature	89
3.3.2.2.5 Turbidity	90
3.3.2.2.6 BOD <sub>5</sub>	91
3.3.2.2.7 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	92

3.3.2.2.8 Total Suspended Solids	93
3.3.2.3 Long San, Baram	94
3.3.2.3.1 Conductivity	94
3.3.2.3.2 Dissolved Oxygen	95
3.3.2.3.3 pH	96
3.3.2.3.4 Temperature	97
3.3.2.3.5 Turbidity	98
3.3.2.3.6 BOD <sub>5</sub>	99
3.3.2.3.7 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	100
3.3.2.3.8 Total Suspended Solids	101
3.3.2.4 Long Kesseh, Baram	102
3.3.2.4.1 Conductivity	102
3.3.2.4.2 Dissolved Oxygen	103
3.3.2.4.3 pH	104
3.3.2.4.4 Temperature	105
3.3.2.4.5 Turbidity	106
3.3.2.4.6 BOD <sub>5</sub>	107
3.3.2.4.7 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	108
3.3.2.4.8 Total Suspended Solids	109
3.3.2.5 Physicochemical Parameter at Whole Study Area	110
3.3.2.5.1 Conductivity	110
3.3.2.5.2 Dissolved Oxygen	111
3.3.2.5.3 pH	112
3.3.2.5.4 Temperature	113

3.3.2.5.5 Turbidity	114
3.3.2.5.6 BOD <sub>5</sub>	115
3.3.2.5.7 Chlorophyll- $\alpha$	116
3.3.2.5.8 Total Suspended Solids	117
3.3.3 Correlation between Abundance of Fish Fauna and Physicochemical Parameters in Upper Baram River	118
3.4 Discussion	123
3.5 Conclusion	130
<b>CHAPTER 4: LENGTH WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTOR OF SELECTED FISH SPECIES IN UPPER BARAM RIVER, SARAWAK</b>	131
4.1 Introduction	131
4.2 Materials and Methods	133
4.2.1 Length-Weight Relationship	133
4.2.2 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI)	135
4.2.2.1 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) of fishes in Lio Mato, Baram	136
4.2.2.2 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) of fishes in Long Apu, Baram	136
4.2.2.3 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) of fishes in Long San, Baram	136
4.2.2.4 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI) of fishes in Log Kesseh, Baram	136
4.2.3 Gonadosomatic Index (GSI)	137
4.2.4 Data Analysis	137
4.3 Results	137
4.3.1 Length-Weight Relationship	137
4.3.1.1 Length-weight Relationship of <i>Barbonymus schwanenfeldii</i>	137

4.3.1.2 Length-weight Relationship of <i>Osteochilus enneaporos</i>	141
4.3.1.3 Length-weight Relationship of <i>Rasbora caudimaculata</i>	141
4.3.1.4 Length-weight Relationship of <i>Pseudolais micronemus</i>	142
4.3.1.5 Length-weight Relationship of <i>Kryptopterus apogon</i>	142
4.3.2 Whole Study Area of Baram	143
4.3.3 Hepatosomatic Index (HSI)	143
4.3.3.1 HSI of fishes in Lio Mato, Baram	143
4.3.3.2 HSI of fishes in Long Apu, Baram	144
4.3.3.3 HSI of fishes in Long San, Baram	145
4.3.3.4 HSI of fishes in Long Kesseh, Baram	145
4.3.3.5 Pooled HSI data for fishes in whole study area in Baram	146
4.3.4 Gonadosomatic Index (GSI)	149
4.3.4.1 GSI of fishes in whole study area in Baram	149
4.4 Discussion	151
4.5 Conclusion	154
<b>CHAPTER 5: FEEDING HABITS OF SEVEN SELECTED FISH SPECIES</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>IN UPPER BARAM RIVER</b>	
5.1 Introduction	156
5.2 Materials and Methods	157
5.2.1 Stomach Content Analysis	157
5.2.2 Frequency of Occurrence	158
5.2.3 Gravimetric Method	158
5.3 Results	159
5.3.1 Stomach contents of <i>Barbonymus schwanenfeldii</i>	159



5.3.2 Stomach contents of <i>Cyclocheilichthys apogon</i>	160
5.3.3 Stomach contents of <i>Hemibagrus planiceps</i>	161
5.3.4 Stomach contents of <i>Kryptopterus apogon</i>	163
5.3.5 Stomach contents of <i>Luciosoma setigerum</i>	164
5.3.6 Stomach contents of <i>Pseudolais micronemus</i>	165
5.3.7 Stomach contents of <i>Rasbora caudimaculata</i>	167
5.4 Discussion	169
5.5 Conclusion	172
<b>CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND</b>	173
<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
6.1 General Discussion	173
6.2 General Conclusions	176
6.3 Recommendations	177
<b>REFERENCES</b>	178
<b>APPENDICES</b>	195

## LIST OF TABLES

		<b>Page</b>
Table 3.1	The sampling stations, coordinates and mean depth of each station	22
Table 3.2	List of fish family, species, number of individuals (N) and percentage (%) caught from all sampling stations at Lio Mato	34
Table 3.3	Fish composition at each station at Lio Mato, Baram River, Sarawak and their biological indices	37
Table 3.4	Fish family, species, number of individual (N) caught from main river (LMMR) and its tributaries (LMTR) at each station at Lio Mato, Baram and their standard length, total length and body weight	40
Table 3.5	List of fish family, species, number of individuals (N) and percentage (%) caught from all sampling stations at Long Apu	44
Table 3.6	Fish composition at each station at Long Apu, Baram, Sarawak and their biological indices	47
Table 3.7	Fish family, species, number of individual (N) caught from main river (LAMR) and its tributaries (LATR) at each station at Long Apu, Baram and their standard length, total length and body weight	50
Table 3.8	List of fish family, species, number of individuals (N) and percentage (%) caught from all sampling stations at Long San	54

Table 3.9	Fish composition at each station at Long San of midstream Baram River, Sarawak and their biological indices	57
Table 3.10	Fish family, species, number of individual (N) caught from main river (LSMR) and its tributaries (LSTR) at each station at Long San, Baram and their standard length, total length and body weight	59
Table 3.11	List of fish family, species, number of individuals (N) and percentage (%) caught from all sampling stations at Long Kesseh	63
Table 3.12	Fish composition at each station at Long Kesseh of downstream Baram River, Sarawak and their biological indices	66
Table 3.13	Fish family, species, number of individual (N) caught from tributaries (LKTR) and main river (LKMR) at each station at Long Kesseh, Baram and their standard length, total length and body weight	69
Table 3.14	List of fish family, species, number of individual (N) and percentage (%) caught from all sampling stations	74
Table 3.15	Fish diversity indices for each sampling area	78
Table 3.16	Canonical correspondence analysis summary statistics for composition of fish species in Baram River	119
Table 3.17	The abbreviation codes for fish species used in CCA ordination	122
Table 4.1	Length and weight of five selected fish species caught in the Baram River	139

Table 4.2	The number of fish individuals (N), length-weight relationship and condition factor (K) for five selected species in Baram River.	140
Table 4.3	Descriptive statistics and parameters of the length-weight relationship of pooled data from whole study area in Baram	143
Table 4.4:	Descriptive statistics and mean of hepatosomatic index for selected fish species caught from Lio Mato, Baram	144
Table 4.5	Descriptive statistics and mean of hepatosomatic index for selected fish species caught from Long Apu, Baram	144
Table 4.6	Descriptive statistics and mean of hepatosomatic index for selected fish species caught from Long San, Baram	145
Table 4.7	Descriptive statistics and mean of hepatosomatic index for selected fish species caught from Long Kesseh, Baram	146
Table 4.8	Descriptive statistics and mean of hepatosomatic index for selected fish species caught from whole study area in Baram River	148
Table 4.9	Mean of gonadosomatic index of male fish caught from whole study area in Baram River	149
Table 4.10	Mean of gonadosomatic index of female fish caught from whole study area in Baram River	150
Table 5.1	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Barbonymus schwanenfeldii</i> (n = 63)	160

Table 5.2	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Cyclocheilichthys apogon</i> (n = 29)	161
Table 5.3	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence item observed in stomach of <i>Hemibagrus planiceps</i> (n = 28)	162
Table 5.4	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Kryptopterus apogon</i> (n = 48)	164
Table 5.5	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Luciosoma setigerum</i> (n = 29)	165
Table 5.6	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Pseudolais micronemus</i> (n = 74)	166
Table 5.7	Food item, gravimetric method and frequency of occurrence observed in stomach of <i>Rasbora caudimaculata</i> (n = 38)	168

## LIST OF FIGURE

		<b>Page</b>
Figure 3.1	Location of the four sampling areas at upper Baram River and its tributaries	21
Figure 3.2	Percentage of fish family caught in all six stations at Lio Mato	32
Figure 3.3	Percentages of the five dominant fish species caught at Lio Mato	33
Figure 3.4	Percentage of fish family caught in all seven stations at Long Apu.	42
Figure 3.5	Percentages of the five dominant fish species caught at Long Apu	43
Figure 3.6	Percentage of fish family caught in all eight stations at Long San	52
Figure 3.7	Percentages of the five dominant fish species caught at Long San	53
Figure 3.8	Percentage of fish family caught in all eight stations at Long Kesseh	61
Figure 3.9	Percentages of the five dominant fish species caught at Long Kesseh	62
Figure 3.10	Percentage of each fish family caught from the whole study area	70
Figure 3.11	Number of fish family caught in all sampling sites in Baram River	71
Figure 3.12	Number of fish species caught in all sampling sites in Baram River	72
Figure 3.13	Percentage of the ten dominant species caught at whole sampling sites in Baram River	73

Figure 3.14	Number of fish individual caught in all sampling sites in Baram River	74
Figure 3.15	Mean conductivity at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	79
Figure 3.16	Mean DO at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	80
Figure 3.17	Mean pH at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	81
Figure 3.18	Mean temperature at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	82
Figure 3.19	Mean turbidity at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	83
Figure 3.20	Mean BOD <sub>5</sub> at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	84
Figure 3.21	Mean chlorophyll- $\alpha$ at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	85
Figure 3.22	Mean total suspended solids at the six stations in Lio Mato, Baram	86
Figure 3.23	Mean conductivity at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram.	87
Figure 3.24	Mean DO at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	88
Figure 3.25	Mean pH at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	89
Figure 3.26	Mean temperature at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	90
Figure 3.27	Mean turbidity at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	91
Figure 3.28	Mean BOD <sub>5</sub> at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	92
Figure 3.29	Mean chlorophyll- $\alpha$ at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	93

Figure 3.30	Mean total suspended solids at the seven stations in Long Apu, Baram	94
Figure 3.31	Mean conductivity at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	95
Figure 3.32	Mean DO at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	96
Figure 3.33	Mean pH at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	97
Figure 3.34	Mean temperature at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	98
Figure 3.35	Mean turbidity at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	99
Figure 3.36	Mean BOD <sub>5</sub> at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	100
Figure 3.37	Mean chlorophyll- $\alpha$ at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	101
Figure 3.38	Mean total suspended solids at the eight stations in Long San, Baram	102
Figure 3.39	Mean conductivity at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	103
Figure 3.40	Mean DO at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	104
Figure 3.41	Mean pH at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	105
Figure 3.42	Mean temperature at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	106
Figure 3.43	Mean turbidity at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	107
Figure 3.44	Mean BOD <sub>5</sub> at the eight stations in Long Kesseh, Baram	108