ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS FOR A 5- STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING



TH 1087 C518 2001

x

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Universiti Malaysia Sarawak 2001

Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS FOR A 5-STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING



by Cheng Hock Tian

•

•

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering (Hons) in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK March 2001

Universiti Malaysia Sarawak Kota Samarahan



Saya CHENG HOCK TIAN

(HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis ini disimpan di Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Hakmilik kertas projek adalah di bawah nama penulis melainkan penulisan sebagai projek bersama dan dibiayai oleh UNIMAS, hakmiliknya adalah kepunyaan UNIMAS.
- Naskhah salinan di dalam bentuk kertas atau mikro hanya boleh dibuat dengan kebenaran bertulis daripada penulis.
- 3. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, UNIMAS dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk pengajian mereka.
- 4. Kertas projek hanya boleh diterbitkan dengan kebenaran penulis. Bayaran royalti adalah mengikut kadar yang dipersetujui kelak.
- Saya membenarkan/tidak membenarkan Perpustakaan membuat salinan kertas projek ini sebagai bahan pertukaran di antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 6. ** Sila tandakan (🗸)

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan





- CATATAN * Peteng yang tidak berkenaan.
 - Jika Kortas Projek ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila immpirism surat daripada pihak berkanan/ organisasi berkanaan dengan menyertakan sekali tempah kortas projek. Ini perin dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

ii

Phs/2001

APPROVAL SHEET

This project report attached here to, entitled "Analysis and Design of Structural Elements

for a 5-storey Residential Building" prepared and submitted by Cheng Hock Tian in

partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Engineering (Civil) is

hereby accepted.



(Dr. Ng Chee Khoon)

Lecturer

Civil Engineering Department

Date: 27/3/2001

Faculty of Engineering

University Malaysia Sarawak

Hoatraw

٠

(Cheng Hock Tian)

3, Jalan Kampung Rawa,

31600 Gopeng,

27/3/200 Date:

Perak.

iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I wish to express my grateful thanks to my project supervisor, Dr. Ng

Chee Khoon for his invaluable guidances, suggestions and helpful advice for contents

improvement. Thanks also for his helping hand in solving various design problems. In

addition, deep appreciation to him for allocating time in our frequent discussions and

proofread preliminary drafts which led to the accomplishment of this design project eventually.

I also wish to acknowledge my previous boss, Ir. Wilson Voon, managing director

of Hashim & Neh (Sarawak) Sdn. Bhd. for permission to use extracts from the firm

calculation sheets and detailings. Special thanks forwards to Civil Core Group Head

Programme, Dr. Law Puong Ling for allowing me to borrow Staad III dongle to run slabs

analysis. Furthermore, kind co-operation from all lecturers and staffs of UNIMAS is

gratefully appreciated too.

Finally. I wish to convey my heartful thanks to my parents who have given me

constant support and encouragement throughout the project.

İV

ABSTRACT

The project presented herein is the design of main structural elements for a 5-

storey residential building namely beams, slabs and columns using various softwares for

design and comparison purposes. In reinforced concrete beam design, 3 critical beams

had been chosen amongst approximate 450 beams for structural analysis and design.

Similarly, a critical continuous 5-span slab with different cross sections at interval was

selected for reinforced concrete slab design. Eventually, one critical external column and

one critical internal column were selected as typical columns for reinforced concrete column design.

At fourth floor, a 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' was

chosen as one of the critical beam. This was followed by an overhanging 2-span

continuous beam marked as 'TB55' and 'TB56' with both spans of different cross

sections. Finally, the last continuous beam marked as '4B80' to '4B81' was selected

which comprised of two spans where each span supported a stiffener.

For the analysis and design purposes, 3 structural softwares were adopted for

beam design to BS 8110 (1985) code of practices. Two of them are Cbeam and AxisVm

Ver.5.0. Output results from these two softwares were studied and compared. Since

these two softwares had no design function, another software, Reinforced Concrete

Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design) was selected as an alternative

software for the beam design.

Another two different softwares were used to design reinforced concrete slabs.

They are Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet [RCC94 Two-Way Slabs

V

(Tables)] and Staad III Iteractive Design Rel. 22.3W 32-bit. The latter software designs slabs to ACI 318 (1995) code requirements while RCC spreadsheet designs slabs to BS 8110 (1985) code. A continuous slab marked as 'S3' to 'S7' located at typical floor was designed using both softwares. Likewise, comparison of output results yielded by these two softwares was carried out thereafter.

Similarly, two spreadsheets were used in the design of reinforced concrete

columns. The first one is the Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC54

Column Design) which designs columns to BS 8110 (1985) standard while the other

spreadsheet known as ShortCol designs column to ACI 318 (1995) code requirement.

The output results from both spreadsheets were analyzed and commented accordingly.

vi

ABSTRAK

Projek ini merekabentuk 3 elemen struktur utama bagi 5 tingkat pangsapuri 'Class

G' iaitu rasuk, papak dan tiang dengan menggunakan pelbagai perisian komputer untuk

tujuan rekabentuk dan perbandingan keputusan. Dalam merekabentuk rasuk konkrit

bertetulang, 3 rasuk kritikal telah dipilih antara kira-kira 450 rasuk unutk tujuan analisa

struktur. Selain itu, satu papak ala-berterusan 5 rentang yang mempunyai keratan rentas

berbeza-beza di antara satu sama lain telah dipilih untuk merekabentuk papak konkrit

bertetulang. Akhir sekali, satu tiang bahagian luar dan satu tiang bahagian dalam

bangunan akan dijadikan tiang tipikal untuk merekabentuk tiang konkrit bertetulang.

Di tingkat keempat bangunan tersebut, rasuk ala-berterusan yang terdiri daripada

9 rentang ditanda dari '4B26' ke '4B34' telah dipilih sebagai salah satu rasuk kritikal. Ia

diikuti oleh rasuk separuh sokong tepi ditanda 'TB55' dan 'TB56' di mana keratan rentas

kedua-dua rentang tersebut adalah berlainan. Akhir sekali, rasuk ala-berterusan ditanda

'4B80' dan '4B81' telah dipilih di mana ia terdiri daripada 2 rentang dengan setiap rentang menyokong satu tiang rentas tingkat.

Selain itu, 3 perisian struktur telah digunakan dalam rekabentuk rasuk mengikut

piawai kode BS 8110 (1985). 2 daripada perisian struktur itu ialah Cbeam dan AxisVm

Ver.5.0. Keputusan keluaran daripada kedua-dua perisian ini akan dikaji dan kerja-kerja

perbandingan keputusan akan dijalankan sejurus selepas itu. Oleh kerana kedua-dua

perisian ini tidak membekalkan sebarang rekabantuk tetulang bagi rasuk, maka

Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC 11 Element Design) telah dipilih

vii

sebagai perisian alternatif bagi mengira bilangan besi tetulang untuk rasuk-rasuk tersebut

supaya dapat menghabiskan seluruh rekabentuk rasuk dengan lebih sempurna.

2 lagi perisian telah diperoleh daripada tapak jaringan komputer untuk merekabentuk konkrit bertetulang bagi papak. Kedua-dua perisian ini adalah Reinforced

Concrete council, RCC spreadsheet [RCC94 Two-way slabs (Tables)] dan Staad III

Iteractive Design Rel. 22.3 w 32-bit. Perisian yang kedua disebut itu merekabentuk

papak berdasarkan keperluan kode ACI 318 (1995) manakala Reinforced Concrete

Council, RCC spreadsheet [RCC94 Two-way slabs (Tables)] merekabentukl papak

mengikuti piawai kode BS 8110 (1985). Satu papak ala-berterusan ditanda dari 'S3' ke

'S7' yang berada di tingkat tipikal akan direkabantuk menggunakan kedua-dua perisian

tersebut. Sama seperti rekabentuk rasuk, perbandingan keputusan keluaran akan dilaksanakan selepas itu.

Tambahan pula, 2 perisian akan terlibat dalam merekabentuk konkrit bertetulang

untuk tiang. Perisian pertama adalah Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC54 Column Design) di mana ia merekabentuk tiang mengikut piawai kod BS 8110

(1985) manakala perisian kedua yang dikenali ShortCol merekabentuk tiang berdasarkan

keperluan kod ACI 318 (1995). Keputusan keluaran daripada kedua-dua perisian akan

dianalisa dan diberi komen selepas kerja-kerja perbandingan dilakukan.

viii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS

PAGE

1

BORANG PENYERAHAN THESISiiAPPROVAL SHEETiiiACKNOWLEDGEMENTivABSTRACTv

ABSTRAK	V	
TABLE OF CON	i	
LIST OF FIGUR	ES	X
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	General	
1.2	Project Review	
1.3	Softwares Overview	
1.4	Objectives	

CHAPTER 2 DESIGN OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS

2.1	Structural Elements Design Consideration for 5-Storey Residential Building	
2.2	Reinforced Concrete Slabs and Beams Design Procedures	7
	2.2.1 Slabs Design Method	7
	2.2.2 Loads Transfer from Structural Elements	9
	2.2.3 Determination of Slabs Edges Conditions	12
2.3	Reinforced Concrete Slabs Design by Softwares	17
	2.3.1 Reinforced Concrete Slabs Design by Staad III Iteractive Design Ver 22.3 w	17
	2.3.2 Reinforced Concrete Slabs Design by Reinforced	

[RCC94 Two-way Slab (Tables)] 20

2.4Reinforced Concrete Beams Design by Softwares212.4.1Reinforced Concrete Beams Design by AxisVm Ver. 5.021

ix

2.4.2	Reinforce	ed Concrete Beams Design by Cbeam	24
2.4.3	Reinforce Concrete	ed Concrete Beams Design by Reinforced Council, RCC Spreadsheet	
	(RCC111	Element Design)	3(
	2.4.3.1	Design for Reinforcements	3(
	2.4.3.2	Design for Shear Links	32
Reinfo	orced Conc	rete Columns Design by Softwares	33
2.5.1	Predetern	nination of Columns Sizes and Reinforcements	3:

2.5.2 Reinforced Concrete Columns Design by ShortCol

- Spreadsheet 35 2.5.3 Reinforced Concrete Columns Design by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC Spreadsheet (RCC54 Column Design) 38 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS** CHAPTER 3 41 Comparison of Softwares Output Results on Reinforced Concrete 3.1 Slabs Design 41 Continuous Slab Marked as 'S3' To'S7' 3.1.1 41 Comparison of Softwares Output Results on Reinforced Concrete 3.2 Beams Design 50
 - 50

58

- 3.2.1 9-Span Continuous Beam
- Overhanging 2-Span Continuous Beam & 2-Span 3.2.2 Continuous Beam
- Comparison of Softwares Output Results on Reinforced Concrete 3.3 Columns Design **69**

CHAPTER 4	CONCLUSION	93
	REFERENCES	95
	APPENDIX A	96

.

X

LIST OF FIGURES

Indicative bending moment for a typical 3-span continuous slab Fig. 2.1 designed in two different ways.

Support reactions for unfactored dead loads and live loads of slab **Fig. 2.2** marked as 'S4'

- Extracted key plan showing reactions on slabs transferred to related **Fig. 2.3** beams as uniform distributed loads.
- Reactions on slab marked as 'S4' tranferred to related beams as uniform **Fig. 2.4** distributed loads.
- Location of continuous slab marked as 'S3' to 'S7' extracted from 1st to Fig. 2.5 3rd floor key plan.
- Slab marked as 'S3' with 4 edges conditions. Fig. 2.6

Slab marked as 'S4' with 4 edges condition. Fig. 2.7

Slab marked as 'S5' with 4 edges conditions. Fig. 2.8

Slab marked as 'S6' with 4 edges conditions. Fig. 2.9

Slab marked as 'S7' with 4 edges conditions. Fig. 2.10

Design parameters to be entered in Staad III Iteractive Design Fig. 2.11 VER. 22.3 w.

Slab continuity condition in Staad III Iteractive Design VER. 22.3 w. Fig. 2.12

Slab edges continuity conditions of typical slab marked as 'S1' Fig. 2.13

Design parameters to be entered in Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC **Fig. 2.14** spreadsheet [RCC94 Two-way Slab (Tables)].

xi

Drawing of beam spans using nodes and lines by AxisVm Ver. 5.0 Fig. 2.15

Fig. 2.16 Drawing of beam supports by AxisVm Ver. 5.0

Input of concentrated loads on beam by AxisVm Ver. 5.0 Fig. 2.17

Input of uniform distributed loads on beam by AxisVm Ver. 5.0 Fig. 2.18

Main screen showing all the entered loads on a beam by AxisVm Ver. Fig. 2.19

- 5.0
- Bending moment envelope for three loading cases on a typical beam **Fig. 2.20** by AxisVm Ver. 5.0
- Cbeam geometry interface in MS-DOS environment. **Fig. 2.21**
- Cbeam loads section in MS-DOS environment. Fig. 2.22

Cbeam checkboard for loads classified into 3 choices. Fig. 2.23

- Unfactored dead loads on 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' **Fig. 2.24** to '4B34'.
- Unfactored live loads on 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to Fig. 2.25 **'4B34'**.
- Details loadings on overhanging 2-span continuous beam marked as Fig. 2.26 'TB55' and 'TB56'.
- Details loadings on 2-span continuous beam marked as '4B80' and Fig. 2.27 '4B81' with 1 heavy stiffener sitting on each span.
- Tension reinforcements and compression reinforcements computed Fig. 2.28

using Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design)

Shear links of beam designed by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC Fig. 2.29 spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design)

xii

Fig. 2.30 Column size and required reinforcements computed manually to resist the applied axial loadings and bending moments.

Fig. 2.31 Column design by ShortCol spreadsheet to ACI 318 (1995) code requirements.

Fig. 2.32 Size of a typical column and the amount of reinforcements

Fig. 2.33 Column design by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC54 Column Design) to BS 8110 (1985) code of practice.

Fig. 2.34 Clear height of column.

Fig. 3.1 Output results of reinforcements for slab marked as 'S3'.

Fig. 3.2 Output results of reinforcements for slab marked as 'S4'.

Fig. 3.3 Output results of reinforcements for slab marked as 'S5'.

Fig. 3.4 Output results of reinforcements for slab marked as 'S6'.

Fig. 3.5 Output results of reinforcements for slab marked as 'S7'.

Fig. 3.6 Reinforcement detailing in continuous slab marked as 'S3' to 'S7'.

Fig. 3.7 Detail output results on 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' generated by 2 different softwares.

Fig. 3.8 Detail output results on 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' generated by 2 different softwares.

Fig. 3.9 Detail output results on 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' generated by 2 different softwares.

xiii

Fig. 3.10 Top and bottom reinforcements and links for 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' obtained from Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design) with bending moments and shear forces from AxisVm Ver. 5.0

Fig. 3.11 Top and bottom reinforcements and links for 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' obtained from Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design) with bending moments and shear forces from Cbeam.

Fig.3.12 Reinforcement detailing of 9-span continuous beam marked as '4B26' to '4B34' drawn using results given by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design).

Fig. 3.13 Detail output results of overhanging 2-span continuous beam marked as 'TB55' and 'TB56' generated by 2 different softwares.

Fig. 3.14 Detail output results of overhanging 2-span continuous beam marked as 'TB55' and 'TB56' generated by 2 different softwares.

Fig. 3.15 Top and bottom reinforcements and links of overhanging 2-span continuous beam marked as 'TB55' and 'TB56' computed using Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design).

Fig. 3.16 Beam detailing of overhanging 2-span continuous beam marked as 'TB55' and 'TB56' with different cross sections drawn using results given by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design).

Fig. 3.17 Detail output results of 2-span continuous beam marked as '4B80' and '4B81' generated by 2 different softwares.

Fig. 3.18 Detail output results of 2-span continuous beam marked as '4B80' and '4B81' generated by 2 different softwares.

xiv

Fig. 3.19 Top and bottom reinforcements and links of 2-span continuous beam marked as '4B80' and '4B81' computed using Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design).

Fig. 3.20 Reinforcement detailing of 2-span continuous beam marked as '4B80' and '4B81' with each span supporting a stiffener drawn using results given by Reinforced Concrete Council, RCC spreadsheet (RCC11 Element Design).

Fig. 3.21 A stiffener acted as a typical example obtained from 2 different spreadsheets with different provision of steel bars.

Fig. 3.22 External short column marked as 'C3' which was eccentrically loaded from 4th floor to ground floor designed in staggered manner.

Fig. 3.23 Detail output results of column marked as 'C3' obtained from 2 softwares.

Fig. 3.24 Reinforcement detailing of external column marked as 'C3' for elevation 'A'.

Fig. 3.25 Reinforcement detailing on external column marked as 'C3' for elevation 'B'.

Fig. 3.26 Internal short column marked as 'C4' which was eccentrically loaded from roof to ground floor designed in staggered manner.

Fig. 3.27 Detail output results of column marked as 'C4' obtained from 2 softwares.

Fig. 3.28 Reinforcement detailing of internal column marked as 'C4' for elevation 'A'.

XV

Fig. 3.29 Reinforcement detailing of internal column marked as 'C4' for elevation 'B'.

Fig. 3.30 Column schedule for column marked as 'C3' and 'C4'.

Fig. A1 Front elevation of 5-storey residential building.

Fig. A2 Rear elevation of 5-storey residential building.

Fig. A3 Side elevation of 5-storey residential building.

Fig. A4 Roof key plan

Fig. A5 Fourth floor key plan.

Fig. A6 First to third floor key plan.

Fig. A7 Ground floor key plan.

xvi

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

There are many softwares developed by firms, organizations, group of software

developers as well as individuals. Some of them are user-friendly and the output results

are reliable as well. Most the softwares developers provide trial Demo version but these

softwares may contain analysis limitation. However, freewares for structural concrete

design also available for public distribution on internet. Normally, these softwares are

developed by non-profit organization with financial support from both government and private agencies.

A total of seven softwares are downloaded from engineering freewares website

except AxisVM Ver.5.0 and Staad III where all of them play an important role in this

design project. Amongst them, three softwares were used in reinforced concrete beams

design, two softwares for reinforced concrete slabs design and the remaining two

softwares run the reinforced concrete columns design. All output results generated by

these softwares would be studied and the differences, if available, would be figured out

and comparison between output results were to be done accordingly thereafter. Two

widely practiced codes namely BS 8110 (1985) and ACI 318 (1995) which incorporated

in these softwares would become the main reference sources particularly during output

results comparison and discussion tasks. Reasons and causes contributed to output

differences would be identified and generalized conclusion would be drawn eventually.

1.2 **Project Review**

The proposed Royal Malaysia Naval Base at Teluk Sepangar, Kota Kinabalu,

Sabah will become the biggest naval base throughout Malaysia. There are eight phases

of building construction works where it will take approximately five years to complete.

The 8 packages of design projects are residentials (15 buildings), workshops & stores (11

buildings), offices (7 buildings), community buildings (7 buildings), recreations (5 buildings), trainings (7 buildings), naval air station (4 buildings) and hospital (1 building).

Defence Ministry is recruiting more and more amateur naval armed forces troops

yearly. The authority faces shortage of accommodation facilities in Sabah. In order to

temporary eradicates this residential problem, expenses have been allotted in building residential flats.

The design project namely 5-storey residential building is one of the highest

buildings in package 1 (Residential phase). The building which consists of three

apartments in each storey making a total of twelve apartments for the whole block is

17.5m in height. The height of each storey including the car park basement (also known as ground floor) is 3.2 m. The length and the width of the building is 37m and 14.1m

respectively hence the total area of the whole building is 521.7m² on ground surface. An

apartment which takes up a total area of 173.9m² has three bedrooms, two toilets, one

living/dining room, one kitchen, one utility room, one store room and one balcony. The

residential building which takes approximate eight months to complete can accommodate

2

seventy-two people for the whole block.

1.3 Softwares Overview

There were altogether seven softwares used in designing this 5-storey residential

building. Most of them are freewares downloaded from websites. Brief descriptions on these softwares are as follows.

Reinforced Concrete Council was founded in 1988 to promote knowledge and

understanding of efficient reinforced concrete design and construction. Publications from the council include design guides, newsletters, videos, articles and many reading materials in electronics forms. The council offers a CD with twenty-six Excel spreadsheets files for design structural elements to BS 8110 (1985) code. This CD can be obtained free of charge from the council. As far as this project was concerned, RCC11

Element Design, RCC94 Two-way Slabs (Tables) and RCC53 Column Design were used

for structural elements design of this 5-storey residential building.

ShortCol is a spreadsheet written by Yakov Polyakov, P.E. and it is distributed

free of charge in website entitled "Spreadsheet Solution For Structural Engineer". It is an

Excel template used to generate iteraction diagram for short reinforced concrete column

of rectangular cross section. This spreadsheet design is based on ACI 318 (1995) code

requirements and AASHTO codes of practices. Both SI and English units are adopted for

the design. In this design project, ShortCol was used to generate iteraction diagrams for

two critical columns.

Staad III is a structural analysis software developed by Research Engineer, a

netGuru company incorporated in 1981. Currently, the headquarter is situated in Yorba

Linda, California. Staad III provides 2D/3D graphical model generation using Finite

3

Element Analysis method. In this project, Staad III Iteractive Design VER 22.3 w was used for slabs design to ACI 318 (1995) code requirements.

Cbeam is a software used for structural analysis of continuous beams operating

under MS DOS environment. It was developed by BenArit Ltd and the author is Eli Ben-

Ari. The software can run analysis up to nine spanning beam with different cross

sections at interval. However, the software can only generate bending moment envelopes

and shear force envelopes using moment distribution method without computation of

reinforcements. It was used to generate both above-mentioned envelopes for three critical beams in this design project.

AxisVm Ver.5.0 is a high productivity Finite Element Analysis software tool for

civil engineers. It had been applied in design for international airports, steel microwave

towers, mega-shopping complexes, fire stations and many other construction projects in

European countries. The software was designed by a team of civil engineers under

registered trademark of Inter-CAD Kft. since 1991. In this design project, AxisVm Ver.

5.0 was used to obtain the shear force envelopes and bending moment envelopes of three

critical beams.

1.4 Objectives

The main objective of this design project is to carry out structural analysis and

reinforced concrete design for beams, slabs and columns using various softwares. Output

results generated by these softwares will be compared and general conclusion pertaining

4

this design project will be drawn subsequently.

CHAPTER 2

DESIGN OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS

2.1 Structural Elements Design Considerations for 5-Storey Residential Building

The economical design was to be carried out with consideration for architectural

esthetical aspects. In order to fully utilize the available budget, there were a mutual

agreement between the developer and client on some design matters by imposing some

design restriction on beams, slabs, and columns on the building.

There were about 90 beams in each floor which make a total of approximate 450

beams for the whole block. Beam sizes for the design was kept at maximum 200mm x

500mm except for ground floor beam which may reach until 200mm x 600mm

maximum. The maximum length for beam span was 6m. The type of reinforcements

used during construction was high yield tensile hot rolled steels (Y type steels). A

maximum of three layers of 2Y16 were restricted for beam 150mm in width regardless of

beam height.

Furthermore, the maximum number and size of bars to be used were 3Y25 for

beam width of 200mm and 250mm but could be provided into layers. The shear link was

always kept at R8 size where it might reach 2R8-75 in some critical cases. If there was a

drop of slab from higher level to lower level, staggered design was expected on beam

underneath with beam soffits remained at the same level throughout the whole continuous

beam.

For slab design, the maximum depth of each slab was 115mm (for economical

purpose). Slab reinforcements were to be kept at size of Y10-200 and Y10-200 for top and bottom steel respectively.

There were nine stiffeners supporting the roof excluding additional supports from

columns. The stiffeners were sat on fourth floor beams. The maximum cross-sectional

area for each stiffener was 150mm x 150mm. Staggered columns were to be designed as

well where their sizes gradually increased from roof to ground floor. As far as possible,

column width was to be kept at maximum 200mm from first floor onwards until 4th

floor. This was to enhance the esthetical aspect for interior renovation later on since the

kicker cause by the width of a column was only 50mm.

•

6

Reinforced Concrete Slabs and Beams Design Procedures 2.2

2.2.1 **Slabs Design Method**

For this particular project, slabs were analyzed one after another by two softwares

although they were continuously connected. Lets take a 3-span slab as an example as

shown in Fig. 2.1. The design in this project was different as what was conventionally

done whereby these types of continuous joined slabs were supposed to be designed

continuous on a 3-span basis as shown in Fig. 2.1(a). Contrary, as far as this project was

concerned, all slabs were treated individually where every single slab was to be designed

simply supported as shown in Fig. 2.1(b). This kind of design was adopted to save time

and design efforts since less tedious design jobs were to be done. Moreover, restriction

on reinforcements that had been discussed earlier in which top steels and bottom steels

for all slabs were set at Y10-200 and Y10-200 respectively also accounted for this type of design.

Referring to Fig. 2.1, the continuous edge between slab marked as 'S1' and 'S2'

needed less reinforcements since reinforcements here could be reduced according to code

requirements. In this case, the design still adhered to the type of reinforcements stated above for top steels and bottom steels.

However, it was to be noted that some big heavy slabs might need more

reinforcements. In this case, such a slab was supposed to be split into two small slabs in

between by adding an extra beam underneath. Such amendment was carried out in order

7

to fit the above-mentioned reinforcements into all slabs of the building eventually.