

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330235692>

# Larger gains from improved management over sparing–sharing for tropical forests

**Article** · January 2019  
DOI: 10.1038/s41893-018-0203-0

CITATIONS 0 READS 355

21 authors, including:

 **Rebecca K Runtu**  
The University of Queensland

28 PUBLICATIONS 427 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

 **Bronson W. Griscom**  
The Nature Conservancy

43 PUBLICATIONS 1,193 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

 North Borneo Leopard Cat Project [View project](#)

 Optimal management of populations threatened by illegal trade [View project](#)

All content following this page was uploaded by [Rebecca K Runtu](#) on 15 January 2019.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.  
[Ruslandi Ruslandi](#)

U  
n  
i  
v  
e  
r  
s  
i  
t  
y  
o  
f  
F  
l  
i  
o  
r  
i  
d  
a



33 PUBLICATIONS 134 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



M  
a  
t  
t  
h  
e  
w  
S  
t  
r  
u  
e  
b  
i  
g  
U  
n  
i  
v  
e  
r  
s  
i  
t  
y  
o  
f  
K  
e  
n

94 PUBLICATIONS 2,742 CITATIONS

# Larger gains from improved management over sparing–sharing for tropical forests

Rebecca K. Runtu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ruslandi<sup>3</sup>, Bronson Griscom<sup>4,5</sup>, Matthew J. Struebig<sup>6</sup>, Musnanda Satar<sup>3</sup>, Erik Meijaard<sup>7,8</sup>, Zuzana Burivalova<sup>9</sup>, Susan M. Cheyne<sup>10,11</sup>, Nicolas J. Deere<sup>6</sup>, Edward T. Game<sup>12,13</sup>, F.E. Putz<sup>14</sup>, Jessie A. Wells<sup>1,4</sup>, Andreas Wilting<sup>15</sup>, Marc Ancrenaz<sup>8,16</sup>, Peter Ellis<sup>4</sup>, Faisal A.A. Khan<sup>17</sup>, Sara M. Leavitt<sup>4</sup>, Andrew J. Marshall<sup>18</sup>, Hugh P. Possingham<sup>12</sup>, James E.M. Watson<sup>1,19</sup>, Oscar Venter<sup>20</sup>.

1. School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.
2. The Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.
3. The Nature Conservancy Indonesia Program, Kebayoran Baru, Jakarta, Indonesia.
4. The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
5. Department of Biology, James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia, USA.
6. Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom.
7. ARC Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia.
8. Borneo Futures Project, Bandar Seri Begawan, Brunei Darussalam.
9. Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
10. Borneo Nature Foundation, Palangka Raya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia.
11. Department of Social Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom.
12. The Nature Conservancy, South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.
13. School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.
14. Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, 32641, USA.
15. Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, 10315 Berlin, Germany.
16. HUTAN–Kinabatangan Orangutan Conservation Programme, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
17. Faculty of Resource Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia.
18. Department of Anthropology, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Program in the Environment, and School of Environment and Sustainability, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.
19. Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, Bronx, New York, USA.
20. Natural Resource and Environmental Studies Institute, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, Canada.

\* [rebecca.runting@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:rebecca.runting@unimelb.edu.au)

This is the submitted (and revised) version of the following article: Runtu R K, Ruslandi, Griscom B W, Struebig M J, Satar M, Meijaard E, Burivalova Z, Cheyne S M, Deere N J, Game E T, Putz F E, Wells J A, Wilting A, Ancrenaz M, Ellis P, Khan F A A, Leavitt S M, Marshall A J, Possingham H P, Watson J E M and Venter O. 2019. Larger gains from improved management over sparing–sharing for tropical forests. *Nature Sustainability*. **2** 53–61.

It has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0203-0>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with the Springer Nature Self-Archiving Policy [<https://www.nature.com/authors/policies/license.html>].

## Abstract

Tropical forests are globally significant for both biodiversity conservation and the production of economically valuable wood products. To deliver both simultaneously, two contrasting approaches have been suggested; one partitions forests (sparing), the other integrates both objectives in the same location (sharing). To date, the ‘sparing or sharing’ debate has focused on agricultural landscapes, with scant attention paid to forest management. We explore the delivery of biodiversity and wood products, in a continuum of sparing-to-sharing scenarios, using spatial optimisation with set economic returns in East Kalimantan, Indonesia – a biodiversity hotspot. We found that neither sparing nor sharing extremes are optimal, although the greatest conservation value was attained towards the sparing end of the continuum. Critically, improved management strategies, such as reduced-impact logging, provided larger conservation gains than altering the balance between sparing and sharing, particularly for endangered species. Ultimately, debating sparing versus sharing has limited value while larger gains remain from improving forest management.