

The Determinants of Capital Structure and Its Speed of Adjustment: An Optimal Capital Structure Case of ASEAN-5 Listed Companies

Lewis Liew Teo Piaw

Doctor of Philosophy (Finance) 2016

THE DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND ITS SPEED OF ADJUSTMENT: AN OPTIMAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE CASE OF ASEAN-5 LISTED COMPANIES

Lewis Liew Teo Piaw

A thesis submitted

In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of philosophy

Faculty of Economics and Business

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

2016

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my father and mother for their faith, encouragement, and love, and also to my brother and sister and nephew who provided me with endless support.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

Grade:	
or a ca c	

Please tick (√) Final Year Project Report Masters PhD

DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK

This declaration is made on the 24 day March 2016.

Student's Declaration:

I, LEWIS LIEW TEO PIAW, 11011521, FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS, hereby declare that the work entitled, THE DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND ITS SPEED OF ADJUSTMENT: AN OPTIMAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE CASE OF ASEAN-5 LISTED COMPANIES is my original work. I have not copied from any other students' work or from any other sources except where due reference or acknowledgement is made explicitly in the text, nor has any part been written for me by another person.

24 March 2016

LEWIS LIEW TEO PIAW (11011521)

Supervisor's Declaration:

I, ASSOC. PROF. DR. MOHAMAD JAIS, hereby certifies that the work entitled, THE DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND ITS SPEED OF ADJUSTMENT: AN OPTIMAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE CASE OF ASEAN-5 LISTED COMPANY was prepared by the above named student, and was submitted to the "FACULTY" as a * partial/full fulfillment for the conferment of THE DEGREE OF PHILOSOPHY (FINANCE), and the aforementioned work, to the best of my knowledge, is the said student's work

Associate I

Received for examination by:

ASSOC: PROP. DR. MOHAMAD JAIS) Date: 24 MARCH 2016

I declare this Project/Thesis is classified as (Please tick $(\sqrt{)}$):

CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)* (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)*

OPEN ACCESS

Student's signature

Validation of Project/Thesis

I therefore duly affirmed with free consent and willingness declared that this said Project/Thesis shall be placed officially in the Centre for Academic Information Services with the abide interest and rights as follows:

- This Project/Thesis is the sole legal property of Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS).
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies for the purpose of academic and research only and not for other purpose.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to digitise the content to for the Local Content Database.
- The Centre for Academic Information Services has the lawful right to make copies of the Project/Thesis for academic exchange between Higher Learning Institute.
- No dispute or any claim shall arise from the student itself neither third party on this Project/Thesis once it becomes sole property of UNIMAS.
- This Project/Thesis or any material, data and information related to it shall not be distributed, published or disclosed to any party by the student except with UNIMAS permission.

Date: 24 MARCH 2016

Mohamad Jais Supervisor's signature.te P Date: 24 MARCH 2016 Business

aculty of Economics and Business Universiti Malaysia Sarawak

Current Address: 126, TAMAN STUTONG INDAH, JALAN SETIA RAJA, 93350 KUCHING, SARAWAK

Notes: * If the Project/Thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or **RESTRICTED**, please attach together as annexure a letter from the organisation with the period and reasons of confidentiality and restriction.

[The instrument was duly prepared by The Centre for Academic Information Services]

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere recognition to my main supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Mohamad Jais for all the valuable discussions, advice and encouragement throughout this study. Without his assistance, constructive comments and expert guidance, this thesis would not have been completed. Sincere regards are also due to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Abu Hassan who provided efficient supervision and academic advice during my study. Special thanks to Professor Dr. Law Siong Hook from Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Dr. Zainudin Arsad from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for their advice on the statistical aspects and data analysis of this study.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the lecturers in the Faculty for their constructive suggestions on earlier drafts of this study during the Research Colloquium (2011) and Postgraduate Conference on Social Science and Humanities (2012). Thanks also to the participants, referees and editors at the Global Conference on Business, Economics and Social Science Research (GBSR 2013) and 2nd International Conference on Management, Economics and Finance (ICMEF 2013) for their useful comments when parts of this thesis were presented.

I also owe many thanks to the administrative support I enjoyed from the administration office at the Faculty and also the ever helpful administrative personnel at the Centre of Graduate School. I would also like to gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Ministry of Education under the "Hadiah Latihan Persekutuan" Scheme.

Finally, my deepest gratitude goes to my parents, brother and sister for their constant support and love.

iii

ABSTRACT

Following the outbreak of the Asian Financial Crisis 1997, the issue of overleveraged became important as several highly leveraged firms in ASEAN declared bankruptcy or were rescued by the governments while the financial institutions became preoccupied with the nonperforming loans. The ASEAN countries soon realized the importance of sustaining future growth and development through resourceful financing and thereby have undergone several phases of financial reforms in the last decade to strengthen their capital market and financial market. In light of this development, it is of interest to inquire whether the reforms have successfully provided the firms with incentives and financing facilities to adopt an optimizing behavior in their financing mode.

In fact, there is only little understanding about whether ASEAN firms have learnt the pitfalls of excessive debt financing during the crisis and whether the firms have both incentive and ability to move towards their optimal capital structure in the aftermath of Asian Financial Crisis 1997. Hence, this study attempts to capture some of these unanswered questions by looking at how they have chosen and subsequently adjusted towards their optimal capital structure in the aftermath of the crisis. By applying panel data methods to a sample of firms from five ASEAN countries—Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand for the period 2001-2010, a dynamic capital structure model is developed that links firm-macroeconomic-institutional characteristics. Besides, this study also considers the capital structure theories as complementary rather than mutually exclusive in formulating the optimal capital structure. The idea derives from prior findings which demonstrate that the capital structure is affected by the environment in which the firms are operating and that none of the traditional capital structure theories is conclusive but conditional upon the environment in which the firms operate.

Hence, the capital structure model developed should gain benefits from the organizational-environmental fit and also the synergy of various capital structure theories. This is crucial as a better estimation of the optimal capital structure will enhance the accuracy of the capital structure adjustment speed. Hence, this study contributes to the literature as it strives to identify what constitute organizational and environmental fit to the capital structure decision in ASEAN. The disclosure of the roles of these factors can further provide insights to the practitioners into the adaptation strategy and performance relationships. Besides, as the findings detect the adjustment behavior, it also provides reference basis for ASEAN governments to make related policy to develop and diversify the sources of funds in respective countries.

The results are conclusive in supporting the effects of country idiosyncrasies as the determinants of capital structure significantly diverge in terms of sign, magnitude of coefficient and/or significance level when firms are grouped by country. These results suggest that the institutional setting of each country does not only affect firms' capital structure but also creates the conditions to explain a differential effect of the common determinants of firms' capital structure. It is the fit between the firm's characteristics and the environmental factors that enable the firms to derive at the optimal capital structure. As different countries have their own set of institutional setting and macroeconomic environment, there is no universal set of capital structure strategy which is optimal for all firms but different strategies should be planned to fit with the environment.

The positive and statistically significant coefficient of lagged debt ratio in each country suggests that firms have a target optimal capital structure to which they partially adjust. It is to highlight that the relative smaller estimates of the speed of adjustment in these countries reveal that ASEAN firms have lower financing flexibility. This also reflects relative inefficiency of

lending institutions and financial market compared to those in developed countries. As such, ASEAN firms face relatively large transaction costs when they borrow external funds, and this leads to higher agency costs between creditors and shareholders. Besides, it is also likely that after the outbreak of Asian Financial Crisis 1997, ASEAN firms have become more risk averse. When the cost of deviating from the optimal level is not large enough to motivate costly external capital market transactions, they began to favor internal financing over debt financing to safeguard them from any crisis. The findings also exhibit different rates of adjustment behavior when compared by country. Hence, it is the efforts of the countries to provide resourceful financing sources that would inculcate the motivation of the firms to adjust faster towards the optimal capital structure.

Faktor-faktor Penentu Struktur Modal dan Kelajuan Pelarasan Terhadap Struktur Modal Optimum: Satu Kes Di Kalangan Syarikat Awam Di ASEAN-5

ABSTRAK

Ekoran Krisis Kewangan Asia 1997, isu keberhutangan yang berlebihan di kalangan syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN telah menarik tumpuan di mana sebilangan syarikat yang berleveraj tinggi telah diisytiharkan bankrup ataupun terpaksa diselamatkan oleh kerajaan masing-masing. Pada masa yang sama, institusi-institusi kewangan dilaporkan kemelut dengan tanggungan hutang lapuk yang tinggi. Maka, negara-negara ASEAN menyedari peri pentingnya mewujudkan sumber-sumber pembiayaan yang dapat menjaminkan pertumbuhan dan pembangunan masa depan. Justeru, pelbagai reformasi kewangan telah dilaksanakan sejak dekad yang lepas untuk memperkasakan pasaran modal dan pasaran kewangan. Dengan ini, adalah penting untuk menilai samada reformasi tersebut telah berjaya mewujudkan insentif dan kemudahan pembiayaan kepada syarikat-syarikat tersenarai agar mengamalkan gelagat yang menuju ke arah tahap struktur modal yang optimum.

Hakikatnya, pengetahuan adalah amat kurang tentang samada syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN telah mempelajari dan memahami risiko pembiayaan hutang secara berlebihan dan samada syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN mempunyai insentif dan keupayaan untuk melaraskan struktur modal ke tahap yang optimum selepas Krisis Kewangan Asia 1997. Maka, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menjawab soalan-soalan tersebut dengan mengkaji bagaimana syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN menentukan struktur modal dan sejauh mana syarikat-syarikat tersebut mampu membuat pelarasan ke arah tahap struktur modal yang optimum. Dengan menggunakan sampel syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN-5 - Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapura dan Thailand, data panel telah dibina dari tahun 2001-2010 dan satu model struktur modal dinamik yang menjalinkan interaksi firma-makroekonomi-institusi telah dibangunkan. Kajian ini mengambilkira teori-teori struktur modal sebagai pelengkap dan bukan saling bersandaran dalam formulasi struktur modal optimum. Idea ini tercetus dari hasil kajian lepas yang menunjukkan bahawa struktur modal syarikat dipengaruhi oleh persekitaran di mana syarikat tersebut beroperasi dan tiada satu teori struktur modal yang mampu memberikan penjelasan secara menyeluruh di mana teori-teori tersebut hanya dapat diapplikasikan dalam keadaan tertentu.

Oleh yang demikian, model struktur modal yang dibangunkan seharusnya dapat memanfaatkan "fit" di antara firma dan persekitaran serta memperolehi sinergi daripada teoriteori struktur modal. Ini adalah penting kerana pengukuran struktur modal optimum yang lebih tepat akan dapat menentukan kelajuan pelarasan ke arah struktur modal optimum dengan lebih tepat. Maka, kajian ini memberi sumbangan dari segi pengenalpastian "fit" di antara firma dan persekitaran dalam membuat keputusan struktur modal. Penemuan faktor-faktor ini mendedahkan hubungan faktor-faktor tersebut dengan pencapaian syarikat dan seterusnya membolehkan para pengurus kewangan mengatur strategi adaptasi untuk mencapai prestasi yang lebih cemerlang. Di samping itu, hasil kajian ini turut mengukur kelajuan pelarasan ke arah tahap struktur modal optimum bagi syarikat-syarikat tersenarai di ASEAN-5. Perbandingan kelajuan pelarasan di antara negara-negara ASEAN membolehkan kerajaan masing-masing membuat referens dan dijadikan sebagai asas dalam merangka polisi kerajaan untuk membangunkan dan mempelbagaikan sumber pembiayaan pada masa akan datang.

Keputusan kajian ini menyokong faktor negara sebagai penentu struktur modal di mana faktor-faktor penentu struktur modal yang dilaporkan di setiap negara adalah berlainan dari segi tanda, nilai beta dan/atau tahap signifikan. Ini menunjukkan bahawa latar belakang institusi bukan sekadar mempengaruhi struktur modal syarikat tetapi mewujudkan situasi yang berlainan untuk menjelaskan mengapa faktor-faktor penentu struktur modal boleh mendatangkan kesan yang berlainan di bawah situasi yang berlainan. Hakikatnya, untuk mencapai struktur modal optimum, syarikat perlu mengecapi "fit" di antara ciri-cirinya dengan persekitaran di mana ia beroperasi. Ini menunjukkan kepentingan peranan yang dimainkan oleh faktor-faktor tersebut. Memandangkan setiap negara mempunyai latarbelakang institusi dan persekitaran makroekonomi yang tersendiri, maka tidak wujud satu strategi struktur modal universal yang optimum untuk semua syarikat. Sebaliknya, strategi yang berlainan seharusnya dirangka untuk mencapai "fit" dengan persekitaran.

Nilai positif yang signifikan bagi "lagged" nisbah hutang di setiap negara menunjukkan bahawa syarikat-syarikat di ASEAN mempunyai sasaran tahap struktur modal yang optimum dan menunjukkan gelagat pelarasan ke arah mencapai tahap tersebut. Secara amnya, kelajuan pelarasan di ASEAN-5 adalah perlahan secara relatifnya berbanding dengan negara-negara maju. Ini menunjukkan bahawa syarikat-syarikat di ASEAN mempunyai sumber pembiayaan yang kurang fleksibel. Ia juga menandakan ketidakcekapan institusi kewangan dan pasaran kewangan berbanding dengan negara-negara maju. Dengan ini, syarikat-syarikat di ASEAN menghadapi kos transaksi yang lebih tinggi apabila memperolehi pembiayaan luaran dan ini turut meningkatkan kos agensi di antara kreditor dan pemegang saham. Di samping itu, syarikatsyarikat di ASEAN didapati lebih prihatin terhadap risiko selepas Krisis Kewangan Asia 1997. Apabila kos tersisih dari tahap struktur modal optimum adalah tidak cukup besar untuk memotivasikan pengurus kewangan memperolehi sumber pembiayaan luaran yang berkos tinggi, mereka cenderung menggunakan sumber pembiayaan dalaman untuk melindungi syarikat daripada sebarang krisis. Hasil kajian turut menunjukkan kadar pelarasan yang berlainan di kalangan ASEAN-5. Maka, usaha kerajaan dalam mewujudkan pelbagai sumber pembiayaan memainkan peranan penting dalam memotivasikan dan membolehkan syarikat untuk membuat pelarasan yang lebih pantas ke arah tahap struktur modal optimum.

Table of contents

Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Abstrak	vii
List of tables	xv

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1	Background of study	1
1.2	Statement of the problem	9
1.3	Objective of the research	11
1.4	Hypotheses development	14
1.5	Significance of the research	17

Chapter 2 Literature review

2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Theories of capital structure	19
	2.2.1 Trade-off theory	21
	2.2.2 The Pecking Order Theory	23
2.3	Cross countries capital structure	27
2.4	Determinants of capital structure	36
	2.4.1 Organizational factors	36

	2.4.1.1 Tangibility	37
	2.4.1.2 Profitability	37
	2.4.1.3 Size of firm	38
	2.4.1.4 Non debt tax shields	39
	2.4.1.5 Growth	39
	2.4.1.6 Liquidity	40
	2.4.1.7 Dividend	41
	2.4.1.8 Uniqueness	41
	2.4.1.9 Interest coverage ratio	41
	2.4.1.10 Distance from bankruptcy	42
2.4.2	Environmental level factors	43
	2.4.2.1 GDP growth	46
	2.4.2.2 Lending rate	48
	2.4.2.3 Consumer price index	49
	2.4.2.4 Stock market development	50
	2.4.2.5 Bond market development	51
	2.4.2.6 Size of banking sector	52
The p	roxy for capital structure	54
The pi	roxy for optimal capital structure	56
The ca	apital structure adjustment	59

Chapter 3 Research methodology

2.5

2.6

2.7

3.1	Introd	uction	62
3.2	Resea	rch philosophical basis	62
3.3	Resea	rch design	65
3.4	Econo	metric model -static panel	67
3.5	Econo	metric model -dynamic model	71
3.6	Data a	and sample	79
3.7	Varial	bles	81
Chapt	er 4 Res	search findings	
4.1	Introd	uction	89
4.2	Descri	ptive statistics	89
4.3	Varia	nce Inflation Factor (VIF) test	97
4.4	Static	model	103
	4.4.1	Breausch & Pagan LM test	103
	4.4.2	Hausman test	106
	4.4.3	Heteroskedasticity results	107
	4.4.4	Wooldridge test for autocorrelation	108
	4.4.5	Regression results based on static model	109
		4.4.5.1 Regression results for Malaysia	109
		4.4.5.2 Regression results for Singapore	113
		4.4.5.3 Regression results for Thailand	117
		4.4.5.4 Regression results for Indonesia	120
		4.4.5.5 Regression results for Philippines	122

	4.4.6	Comparative analysis based on static model	124
4.5	Dynar	nic panel	133
	4.5.1	Sargan test	133
	4.5.2	Serial correlation tests	135
	4.5.3	Dynamic model regression results	138
		4.5.3.1 Regression results for Malaysia	138
		4.5.3.2 Regression results for Singapore	141
		4.5.3.3 Regression results for Thailand	144
		4.5.3.4 Regression results for Indonesia	146
		4.5.3.5 Regression results for Philippines	148
		4.5.3.6 Comparative analysis based on dynamic model	150

Chapter 5 Conclusions

5.1	Conclusions	161
5.2	Policy implication	162
5.3	Limitation and avenue for future research	166

References

List of tables

Table 2.1: Firm specific factors and the expected impact on capital structure	43
Table 3.1: Contrasting implications of positivism and social constructionism	63
Table 3.2: A comparison of nomothetic and ideographic methods	64
Table 3.3: The interpretation of the half-life	74
Table 3.4: The proxies for the organizational factors	82
Table 3.5: The proxies for the environmental factors	88
Table 4.1: Descriptive statistics of the dependent variable (debt ratio)	90
Table 4.2(A): Descriptive statistics of the independent variable (organizational level factors)	91
Table 4.2(B): Descriptive statistics of the independent variable (environmental level factors)	92
Table 4.3(A): The Result of Multicollinearity Between the Independent Variables for Indonesia	98
Table 4.3(B): The Result of Multicollinearity Between the Independent Variables for Malaysia	99
Table 4.3(C): The Result of Multicollinearity Between the Independent Variables for Philippines	100
Table 4.3(D): The Result of Multicollinearity Between the Independent Variables for Singapore	101
Table 4.3(E): The Result of Multicollinearity Between the Independent Variables for Thailand	102
Table 4.4(A): The Results of Breusch & Pagan LM Test for Indonesia	103
Table 4.4(B) : The Results of Breusch & Pagan LM Test for Malaysia	104
Table 4.4(C): The Results of Breusch & Pagan LM Test for Philippines	104

Table 4.4(D): The Results of Breusch & Pagan LM Test for Singapore	105
Table 4.4(E): The Results of Breusch & Pagan LM Test for Thailand	105
Table 4.5: Hausman Test Results	106
Table 4.6: Heteroskedasticity Results	107
Table 4.7: The Results of Serial Correlation	108
Table 4.8(A): The regression results for Malaysia based on static model	110
Table 4.8(B) The regression results for Singapore based on static model	116
Table 4.8(C): The regression results for Thailand based on static model	117
Table 4.8(D): The regression results for Indonesia based on static model	120
Table 4.8(E): The regression results for Philippines based on static model	122
Table 4.9(A): A comparison of the effects of the organizational factors on capital structure	125
Table 4.9(B): A comparison of the effects of the environmental factors on capital structure	129
Table 4.10(A): Sargan Test Results for one step estimator in GMM Difference	133
Table 4.10(B): Sargan Test Results for one step estimator in GMM System	134
Table 4.10(C): Sargan Test Results for two step estimator in GMM Difference	134
Table 4.10 (D): Sargan Test Results for two step estimator in GMM System	134
Table 4.11(A): Serial Correlation Test for two step with robust standard errors in GMM Difference	136
Table 4.11(B): Serial Correlation Test for two step with robust standard errors in GMM System	137
Table 4.12 (A): The regression results for Malaysia based on dynamic model	139
Table 4.12(B): The regression results for Singapore based on dynamic model	142
Table 4.12(C): The regression results for Thailand based on dynamic model	144

Table 4.12(D): The regression results for Indonesia based on dynamic model	146
Table 4.12(E): The regression results for Philippines based on dynamic model	148
Table 4.13: A comparison of the determinants of capital structure for ASEAN 5	156
Table 4.14: The speed of adjustment towards optimal capital structure for ASEAN 5	157

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Capital structure refers to the way a firm finances its assets through some combination of equity and debt. It has become one of the most important strategic financial decisions as the value of a firm depends upon its capital structure for the following reasons. First, it affects the taxes imposed on the firm as interest payments are tax deductible. Second, it affects information costs, including the cost arising from financial distress or bankruptcy. And third, it influences management's operating and investment decisions. Hence, the configuration of financing mix may exert different impacts on firms as it results in different cost of funds and financial risk. Furthermore, the combination of different sources of funds may also lead to different degree of informational asymmetries and conflicts between manager and share holder or debt holder.

Although there are costs and benefits of employing debt financing, it is no doubt that debt financing has played both hero and villain in ASEAN-5 over the past centuries. As the hero, debt financing has ultimately contributed to the growth of the firms as it enabled the firms to implement expansionary and investment activities that otherwise might not be able to finance. On the other hand, debt financing has been reported to be one of the major factors for causing the Asian Financial Crisis 1997 or at least accelerating the deterioration as firms showed high degree of dependence on debt financing (Suto, 2003).

Following the outbreak of the Asian Financial Crisis 1997, several literature have highlighted the issues of excessive leverage or the Asian High Debt Model that caused the ASEAN firms for being vulnerable to the economic turmoil (Wade and Veneroso, 1998; Claessens, Djankov and Xu, 2000; Driffield and Pal, 2001, 2010). Prior to the Asian Financial Crisis 1997, the macroeconomic fundamentals in ASEAN countries were fine. They had low inflation, budget surpluses and only small deficits while the foreign exchange reserves were stable or rising. Indeed, they were growing fast. Nevertheless, the governments undertook financial deregulation that removed or loosened the controls on companies' borrowings but at the same time failed to strengthen the banks' supervision. This had led to the Asian High Debt Model that became one of the major causes of the Asian Financial Crisis 1997 (Wade and Veneroso, 1998).

In the high-debt economies, firms had to use more of their gross profits to fulfill the obligation on interest charges. When there was a significant rise in interest rate, the firms were unable to meet the obligation by using the profits earned. As a result, it was recapitalized into debt. The situation worsened as the high real interest rate policy also depressed the aggregate demand. As a result, the cash inflows were further reduced and the indebtedness of the corporate sector accelerated (Wade and Veneroso, 1998). The issue of overleveraged became crucial as the higher the debt ratio in corporate sector, the higher the possibility that any depressive shock will cause illiquidity, default and bankruptcy. Following the Asian Financial Crisis 1997, not only the distressed firms were adversely affected but the financial institutions were preoccupied with non-performing loans (NPL) (Ariff et al., 2008). Millions of people were at risk of losing jobs and felt robbed of their lifetime savings and security. The situation was worsened as a substantial share of the debts were foreign debt and as the currencies depreciated, the fixed interest payments on the foreign debt rose in domestic currencies.

As firms that are being overleveraged would impair their value, it is vital for firms to determine the optimal capital structure, a combination of equity and debt that will maximize the value of the firm. Basically, the tax benefits of debt and control of free cash flow problem are argued to push firms to use more debt financing while bankruptcy costs and other agency problems cause firms with incentives to use less. However, how to determine the optimal capital structure have been a focal point and a topic of rigorous debate for a number of decades. Although classical capital structure theories (Trade-off Theory and Pecking Order Theory) do not unanimously agree on the existence of the optimal capital structure, there are evidences in support of whether a firm adjusts its capital structure round an optimal level. A survey made by Graham and Harvey (2001) shows that firm managers act in ways that are consistent with the Trade-off Theory where about 80% of the investigated firms claimed having a target range or a strict target level for their capital structure. In conjunction, Fama and French (2002) demonstrate the property of mean reversion of corporate capital structure. This is further supported by empirical findings that exhibit the behavior of firms in adjusting their capital structure towards a target level (De Miguel and Pindado, 2001; Banerjee, Heshmati and Wihlborg, 2004; Leary and Roberts, 2005; Nivorozhkin, 2005; De Haas and Peeters, 2006; Drobetz and Wanzenried, 2006; Flannery and Rangan, 2006; Kim, Heshmati and Aoun, 2006; Drobetz, Pensa and Wanzenried, 2007; Kayhan and Titman, 2007; Ariff, Taufiq and Shamsher, 2008; Huang and Ritter, 2009; Qian, Tian and Wirjanto, 2009; Mukherjee and Mahakud, 2010).

However, while the general consensus of the literature is that companies tend to have optimal capital structure, there is no consensus on the determinants of the capital structure. Although numerous firm characteristics have been proposed by the traditional capital structure theories as determinants of capital structure, the issue on which factors are reliably important is still unanswered. Indeed, only few of them present consistent results when the study is carried out across the countries. It is to note that the capital structure studies in the early age focused on firm characteristics in a single country when investigating the determinants of capital structure. One of the reasons is the incompleteness of international databases. Most international databases do not contain enough cross-sectional or time-series data of firms in the world, especially data from the developing countries. Consequently, the lack of complete data has long been a serious obstacle to progress in international comparison of capital structure. This has prevented earlier studies from answering the effects of country idiosyncrasies on firm's capital structure and thereby has prevented earlier related studies from establishing more comprehensive conclusion and answering puzzles raised in capital structure across the countries.

Hence, a major drawback of the traditional capital structure theories relates to the extent that firms' financing decisions are driven by their own characteristics instead of the institutional and environmental factors. In addition, the theories are developed to explain the capital structure decision in western developed economies which may not necessarily portable to emerging economies. In view of this, cross countries comparisons are essential not only for the understanding of the difference in capital structure across the countries, but to advocate for the development of new approaches to unveil the actual factors affecting the capital structure.

In accordance with the advancement and availability of international database since the 90's, empirical studies have started to develop cross countries capital structure comparison in order to provide a more comprehensive insight into the financing decision. It is crucial to note that different countries have different institutional settings in terms of legal codes and enforcement, the corporate governance measures and the infrastructure of the financial and capital market. There are also variations in the level of economic development. This has added to the complexity of the financing decision as empirical findings show that the determinants of capital structure of firms vary across the countries (Rajan and Zingales, 1995; Wald, 1999; Fan,