



**Faculty of Engineering**

**POTENTIAL OF RAZOR CLAM (AMBAL) AS COAGULANT IN  
WATER TREATMENT PROCESS**

**AMIRUL BIN ADENAN**

**Bachelor of Engineering with Honours  
(Civil Engineering)  
2008/2009**

# UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAWAK

## BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS \*

**JUDUL: PONTENTIAL OF RAZOR CLAM (AMBAL) AS COAGULANT IN WATER TREATMENT PROCESS**

**SESI PENGAJIAN: 2008 / 2009**

Saya

**AMIRUL BIN ADENAN**

**(HURUF BESAR)**

mengaku membenarkan tesis \* ini disimpan di Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.
2. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Membuat pendigitan untuk membangunkan Pangkalan Data Kandungan Tempatan.
4. Pusat Khidmat Maklumat Akademik, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai pertukaran antara institut pengajian tinggi.
5. \*\* Sila tandakan ( / ) di kotak yang berkenaan

☐

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972).

☐

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan).

☒

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan Oleh:

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap: NO 11, JUNIOR SERVICE FLAT.  
JALAN AWANG RAMLI AMIT.  
96000 SIBU, SARAWAK.

JETHRO HENRY ADAM

Nama Penyelia

Tarikh : \_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh : \_\_\_\_\_

**CATATAN :** \* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah, Sarjana dan Sarjana Muda.

\*\* Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa / organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD

“ I hereby declare that I have read this report and in my opinion this report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the purpose for award of the Degree of Bachelor of Engineering (Civil) with Honors ”.

Signature : .....

Name of Supervisor : MR. JETHRO HENRY ADAM

Date : .....

“I hereby declare that this report is prepared personally with my own effort unless references that have been cited accordingly in any part of the report”.

Signature : .....

Name of Author : AMIRUL BIN ADENAN

Date : .....

**APPROVAL SHEET**

This project report attached here to, entitles **“POTENTIAL OF RAZOR CLAM (AMBAL) AS COAGULANT IN WATER TREATMENT PROCESS”** prepared and submitted by **AMIRUL BIN ADENAN (13711)** as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Bachelor of Engineering with Honours in Civil Engineering is hereby read and approved by:

---

MR. JETHRO HENRY ADAM

SUPERVISOR

---

Date

**POTENTIAL OF RAZOR CLAM (AMBAL) AS COAGULANT IN WATER  
TREATMENT PROCESS**

**AMIRUL BIN ADENAN**

This Thesis Is Propose To  
Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak  
For Fulfillment of The Requirements for Bestowal  
The Degree of Bachelor of Engineering with Honours  
(Civil Engineering)

2009

*This project report is dedicated to my beloved mother and father,  
my dearest brothers and sisters  
for their love and support*

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my deepest gratitude to ALLAH the Almighty (SWT) for the blessings that enrich me with more health and wisdom throughout my lifetime.

With the most respect, I would like to express sincere gratitude to my thesis supervisor Mr. Jethro Henry Adam for his numerous advise, guidance and ideas, and enable the completion of my thesis work. I am really thankful to him for his encouragement and help in improving my thesis.

Grateful and acknowledgement is also forwarded to Mr. Eswaran Balakrishnan for his helping hand and never tiring moral support for this project and at all time. Special thanks to the lab technicians, Mr. Mohd Sopian for his unwavering assistance in using the apparatus and preparing the materials during the laboratory works.

I wish to express my appreciation to my family members for their concern and love, which constantly provide the needed vitality in progressing all the way through.

Last but not least, I would like express my cordial thanks to all there who contributed intellectually, materially and morally, in words and in deeds, to the successful of this project.



# CONTENTS

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>Page</b>
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	4
1.3 AIM AND OBJECTIVE	5
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 MEASUREMENT OF WATER QUALITY	6
2.1.1 Water Quality Parameter	7
2.1.2 Drinking Water Quality Standard	10
2.2 WATER TREATMENT PROCESS	12
2.2.1 The Jar Test	14
2.2.2 Coagulant and Flocculation Process	15
2.2.2 Sedimentation Process	16

2.2.3	Filtration Process	16
2.2.4	Disinfection Process	17
2.2.5	Storage	17
2.3	COAGULATION	18
2.3.1	Common Coagulant	19
2.3.2	Commercially Made Coagulant	21
2.4	NATURAL RESOURCES AS COAGULANT	24
2.4.1	Chitosan as Sources of Coagulants	24
2.4.1.1	Properties of Chitosan	24
2.4.1.2	Chitosan in Water Treatment	27
2.4.1.3	Mechanism of Chitosan Coagulation	30
2.4.2	Razor Clam (Ambal) as Coagulant	31
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT	33
3.1.1	Chitosan Extraction	34
3.1.2	Chitosan Solution	35
3.1.3	Alum Solution	35
3.1.4	Jar Test Experiment	36
3.2	ANALYTICAL METHOD	37
3.2.1	pH Test	37
3.2.2	Turbidity Test	38
3.2.3	Floc Size	38
3.2.4	Depth of Sludge	39

3.3	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	39
3.3.1	Chitosan Preparation	41
3.1.1.1	Sample Preparation	41
3.1.1.2	Deproteinization	42
3.1.1.3	Demineralization	44
3.1.1.2	Deacetylation	45
3.3.2	Chitosan Solution Preparation	46
3.3.3	Aluminum Sulphahte Solution Preparation	47
3.3.4	Chitosan Jar Test	48
3.3.5	Aluminum Sulphate Jar Test	48
3.4	OVERALL EXPERIMENT PROCESS	<b>49</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>52</b>
4.1	CHITOSAN COAGULANT JAR TEST RESULT	53
4.1.1	Effect of pH on Flocculation of River Sample Using Chitosan	53
4.1.2	Determination of Optimum Dosage of Chitosan	54
4.1.3	Relationship between Intial Turbidity and Dosage of Chitosan	56
4.1.4	Relationship between Depth of Sludge and Dosage of Chitosan	56
4.1.5	Relationship between Floc Size and Dosage of Chitosan	58
4.1.6	Relationship between pH and Dosage of Chitosan	59

4.2 ALUMINUM SULPHATE COAGULANT JAR TEST	60
RESULT	
4.2.1 Determination of Optimum Dosage of Aluminum Sulphate	61
4.2.2 Relationship between Initial Turbidity and Dosage of Aluminum Sulphate	62
4.2.3 Relationship between Depth of Sludge and Dosage of Aluminum Sulphate	62
4.2.4 Relationship between Floc Size and Dosage of Aluminum Sulphate	64
4.2.5 Relationship between pH and Dosage of Aluminum Sulphate	65
4.3 COMPARISON OF CHITOSAN AND ALUMINUM SULPHATE COAGULANT OPTIMUM DOSAGE	67
<b>5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1 CONCLUSION	71
5.2 RECOMMENDATION	72
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>77</b>
APPENDIX A	78

APPENDIX B	81
APPENDIX C	83
APPENDIX D	84

# ABSTRAK

Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan kebolehlaksanaan penggunaan kitosan yang diekstrak daripada kerang ambal sebagai bahan pengumpul semula jadi untuk memulihkan kekeruhan dalam proses rawatan air. Kitosan ini dikaji bertujuan untuk menyelesaikan masalah pencemaran yang disebabkan oleh pembebasan mendakan ke alam sekitar yang terhasil daripada pengumpul alum. Mendakan dan air yang dirawat menggunakan pengumpul konvensional ini mengandungi  $Al^{3+}$  yang boleh mencemarkan sungai dan menyumbang kepada pelbagai penyakit. Kitosan telah dipilih sebagai alternatif kepada masalah ini kerana kitosan merupakan sumber semula jadi, bahan bukan toksik dan 100% sumber terbiodegradasi. Dalam kajian ini, proses kajian telah dibahagikan kepada dua bahagian iaitu proses pengekstrakan kitosan dan proses menentukan dos optimum melalui eksperimen ujian jar. Dalam ujian jar ini, kekeruhan 150 hingga 180 NTU air Sungai Muara Tuang telah digunakan untuk menentukan keberkesanan pengumpul kitosan dan aluminium sulfat dalam memulihkan kekeruhan. Daripada keputusan kajian, dos optimum yang terhasil dan peratusan kekeruhan yang dipulih menggunakan kedua-dua pengumpul adalah hampir sama. Kepekatan 40 mg/L pengumpul kitosan mampu memulihkan kekeruhan sebanyak 99.39 % . Manakala 37.5 mg/L alum mampu memulihkan kekeruhan sebanyak 99.37%. Kesimpulannya, kitosan yang diekstrak daripada kerang ambal boleh diaplikasi dalam proses rawatan air.

# ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was to determine the feasibility of using chitosan that was extracted from razor clam (ambal) crustacean shells as a natural coagulant to remove the turbidity in water treatment process. This chitosan coagulant was studied in order to solve the pollutant problem that was obtained by the sludge treated by alum backfill into the environment. The sludge and the water treated by this conventional coagulant containing  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  can polluted the river and induce many diseases. The chitosan has being chosen as an alternative to this problem due to its neutrality, non toxic material and 100% biodegradable resources. In this study, the process has been divided into two parts which were chitosan extraction process and the determination of coagulant optimum dosage process through the jar test experiment. In the jar test, the 150 to 180 NTU turbidity range of Muara Tuang River have been used to determine the effectiveness of using chitosan and aluminum sulphate coagulant in turbidity removed. From the result, the optimum dosage determined and the percentage of the turbidity removed by both coagulants are almost similar. The 40 mg/L concentration chitosan coagulants manage to remove 99.39 % turbidity and the 37.5 mg/L of alum manage to remove 99.37% turbidity. From the result, it can conclude that the chitosan extracted from razor clam crustacean shell can be applied as coagulant in water treatment process.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Degree of Hardness	9
2.2	Drinking Water Quality Standard	11
4.1	Effect Of Chitosan Dosage (in mg/L) on the Floc Size Form during the Coagulation Process	58
4.2	Effect Of Alum Dosage (in mg/L) on the Floc Size Form During the Coagulation Process	65
4.3	Comparison of Chitosan and Aluminum Sulphate Coagulant at Optimum Dosage	71



# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Typical Water Treatment Plant Process	13
2.2	A Typical Jar Test Apparatus	15
2.3	Structure of Chitin	25
2.4	Structure of Chitosan	26
2.5	The Chitosan Breakdown Processes	29
2.6	Type of Razor Clam ( Ambal )	32
3.1	Apparatus	36
3.2	Extraction Process Flow Chart	40
3.3 a	Razor Clam Crustacean Shell Oven Dried Process	42
3.3 b	Razor Clam Crustacean Shell Crushing Process	42
3.4 a	10 g of Razor Clam Crustacean Shell Sieved Sample	43

3.4 b	Deprotenization Process with 4% NaOH	43
3.5a	Dimineralized Process with 1.0% HCL	44
3.5 b	1 Hour Decompose Process with 2%NaOH	44
3.6 a	Deactylation Process with 50% NaOH	46
3.6 b	Creamy-white Chitosan Formed	46
3.7	Flow Chart of Jar Test Experiment	51
4.1	Effect of pH on the Removal of Turbidity in River Water using Chitosan	54
4.2	Effect of Chitosan (in mg/L) on the % Turbidity Removed	55
4.3	Effect of Chitosan Dosages (in mg/L) on the Depth of Sludge	57
4.4	Effect of Chitosan Dosage (in mg/L) on the Initial pH	60
4.5	Effect of Alum Dosages (in mg/L) on the % Turbidity Removed	61
4.6	Effect of Alum Dosage( in mg/L) on the Depth of Sludge	63
4.7	Effect of Alum Dosage( in mg/L) on the Initial pH	66
4.8	Comparison of Chitosan and Alum Dosage (in mg/L) on % Turbidity Removed	70

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al	-	Aluminum
$\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$	-	Aluminum sulfate
Alum	-	Aluminum sulfate
AWWA	-	American Water Works Association
CH <sub>3</sub> -CO	-	Acetyl groups
COD	-	Chemical oxygen demand
Fe	-	Ferric
FeCl <sub>3</sub>	-	Ferric chloride
[Fe <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ]	-	Ferric sulphate
HCL	-	Hydrochloric
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya
MC	-	MC is the moisture content (%)
W <sub>ws</sub>	-	Weight of the wet sample (g)
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
NDWQSP		National Drinking Water Quality Standard
PAC	-	Polyaluminium chloride
PDADMA	-	Polydiallyl dimethyl
PPM	-	Part per million
W <sub>ds</sub>	-	Weight of the dry sample (g).

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Introduction**

Water is an important resource in human civilization. It gives a lot of benefit to human, such as drinking water, preparing food, bathing, cleaning, washing, irrigating crops and many others daily use. In the past, when human start settling in one place and growing crops, they start to build house near the water resources such as river, lake, sea, rain, and ground water for their daily usage. They use the water directly from those sources without knowing the sanitary of water and term of the water treatment.

Water treatment can be describes as a process used to make water more acceptable for a desired end-use such as drinking water, industrial processes and medical. The goal of all water treatment process is to remove existing components in the water, improving it for subsequent utilization.

This water treatment process generally begins with intake at the source, followed by pretreatment, mixing, coagulation and flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, disinfection, and distribution to the tap. In all this step, coagulation and flocculation is the main and important process of the water treatment. There are variety of chemical reagent have been use as coagulant and one of the common coagulant is alum.

. In the coagulation and flocculation process, the raw water flows and mixed with suitable amount of alum into large basins. In there, the alum clings to other chemicals and impurities in the water (coagulation), causing them to form larger, and heavier particles called floc. These larger particles will settle to the bottom of the basins because of the gravity force.

According to Wikipedia (2008) in civil engineering term, the flocculation definition is a condition in which polymers, clays or other small charged particles become attached and form a fragile structure, a floc. In dispersed clay slurries, flocculation occurs after mechanical agitation ceases and the dispersed clay platelets

spontaneously form flocs because of attractions between negative face charges and positive edge charges. While, the coagulation is describe as the destabilization of colloids by neutralizing the forces that keep them apart.

In conventional water treatment process we have using aluminum sulfate  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  has been use as coagulant reagent and is also commonly known as filter alum. Alum is used in such everyday products as baking powders and deodorants. In water treatment, alum is used as a coagulant, which binds together very fine suspended particles into larger particles that can be removed by settling and filtration. This way, objectionable color and turbidity (cloudiness), as well as the aluminum itself, are removed from the drinking water.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

There are many potential natural resources that can be use as coagulants in water treatment process. One of the potential resources is razor clam (ambal). Razor clam is one of the sea life which can be found in stable, sandy, surf-swept beaches of the open coast and some coastal bays in all Southeast Asia, but is at its best in intertidal sandy Sarawak beaches. The intertidal sandy beaches include Asajaya, Muara Tebas, Bako,

Buntal, Lundu, Sematan, Kuala Matu Kuala Matu and Kuala Matu (Kanakaraju D. and Siti Akmar Khatijah, 2008).

Essentially, the shell of the razor clam is one of the potential resources as coagulants in water treatment process. From Juang et al (1996) and Lasco & Hurst (1999) studies, chitosan is a cationic polysaccharide which can be extracted from the shell crustaceans is an important polymer coagulants in water treatment. This prospect can be used to replace the conventional method since its resources can be easily found and available in our country.

Chitosan is chitin derivative products, which is naturally occurring biopolymer derived from recycled crustacean shells, insect exoskeletons or fungi. Chitin is harvested from the seafood processing industry throughout the world to supply the base material for the manufacturing of chitosan.

In conventional method of water treatment in Malaysia, most of the water treatment plants are using aluminum sulfate as coagulants in the treatment process. From these water treatments process, the sludge treated by alum backfill into the environment and became pollutants. This alum also pollutes drinking water and it cans adversely mankind's health because the  $Al^{3+}$  will induce many diseases such as premature aging, nowadays for our water supplies water treatments.

### **1.3 Aim and Objective**

The primary aim of the study is to find potential of natural resource as coagulant in water treatment. In accordance with the aim of the study, the objectives of the study are as follow:

- i. To extract chitosan from razor clam (ambal) crustacean shell.
- ii. To use the chitosan extracted from razor clam as coagulant for the jar test.
- iii. To determine the optimum dosage of using the chitosan as coagulant.
- iv. To compare the chitosan coagulant with the conventional coagulant.